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#### Abstract

In this paper, we prove a general theorem for the stability of a large class of $n$-dimensional functional equations of the form $D f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0$ by applying the direct method.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $V$ and $W$ be real vector spaces and let $n$ be a positive integer. For a given mapping $f: V \rightarrow W$, we define a mapping $D_{n} f: V \times V \rightarrow W$ by

$$
D_{n} f(x, y):=\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-i}{ }_{n} C_{i} f(i x+y)-n!f(x)
$$

for all $x, y \in V$, where ${ }_{n} C_{i}=\frac{n!}{i!(n-i)!}$. A mapping $f: V \rightarrow W$ is called a monomial mapping of degree $n$ if $f$ satisfies the monomial functional equation $D_{n} f(x, y)=0$ for all $x, y \in V$. For example, a mapping $f: V \rightarrow W$ is called an additive mapping (or a quadratic mapping) if $f$ satisfies the functional equation $D_{1} f(x, y)=0$ (or $D_{2} f(x, y)=0$ ) for all $x, y \in V$. We notice that if a mapping $f: V \rightarrow W$ is a monomial mapping of degree $n$, then $f(r x)=r^{n} f(x)$ for all $x \in V$ and all rational numbers $r$ (see [1, 2]).

In the study of Hyers-Ulam stability problems of monomial functional equations, we have followed out a routine and monotonous procedure for proving the stability of the monomial functional equations under various conditions. We can find in the books [3-6] a lot of references concerning the Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equations (see also [7-12]).

In this paper, we prove a general stability theorem that can be easily applied to the (generalized) Hyers-Ulam stability of a large class of $n$-dimensional functional equations of the form $D f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0$, which includes the monomial functional equations.

Throughout this paper, for a given mapping $f: V \rightarrow W$, we define a mapping $D f: V^{n} \rightarrow$ $W$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right):=\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i} f\left(a_{i 1} x_{1}+a_{i 2} x_{2}+\cdots+a_{i n} x_{n}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ is a positive integer and $c_{i}, a_{i j}$ are real constants.
Indeed, this stability theorem can save us much trouble of proving the stability of relevant solutions repeatedly appearing in the stability problems for various functional equations (see [13-15]).
It should be remarked that Bahyrycz and Olko [16] applied the fixed point method to investigate the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the general linear equation

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{i}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j}\right)+A=0
$$

Moreover, there are numerous recent results concerning the Hyers-Ulam stability of some particular cases of equation (1). Some of them were described in the survey paper [17].
In this paper, let $V$ be a real vector space and let $X$ and $Y$ be a real normed space resp. a real Banach space if there is no specification.

## 2 Preliminaries

We introduce a lemma from the paper [18], Corollary 3.5.
Lemma 2.1 Let $k \neq 1$ be a positive real constant, let be beal constant, and let $Y$ be a real normed space. Assume, moreover, that $\phi: V \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a function satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(x):=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi\left(k^{i} x\right)}{k^{b i}}<\infty \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in V \backslash\{0\}$ and $f: V \rightarrow Y$ is an arbitrarily given mapping. If there exists a mapping $F: V \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-F(x)\| \leq \Phi(x) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in V \backslash\{0\}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(k x)=k^{b} F(x) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in V$, then $F$ is a unique mapping satisfying (3) and (4).
We denote by $\mathbf{N}_{0}$ the set of all nonnegative integers, i.e., $\mathbf{N}_{0}=\mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$.

Lemma 2.2 Let $k \neq 1$ be a positive real constant and let be a real constant. Assume that a function $\phi: V \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfies condition (2) for all $x \in V \backslash\{0\}$. If a mapping $f: V \rightarrow Y$ satisfies $f(0)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f(x)-\frac{f(k x)}{k^{b}}\right\| \leq \phi(x) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in V \backslash\{0\}$, then there exists a unique mapping $F: V \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (3) and (4) for all $x \in V \backslash\{0\}$ resp.for all $x \in V$.

Proof It follows from (5) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\frac{f\left(k^{m} x\right)}{k^{b m}}-\frac{f\left(k^{m+l} x\right)}{k^{b(m+l)}}\right\| & \leq \sum_{i=m}^{m+l-1}\left\|\frac{f\left(k^{i} x\right)}{k^{b i}}-\frac{f\left(k^{i+1} x\right)}{k^{b(i+1)}}\right\| \\
& =\sum_{i=m}^{m+l-1} \frac{1}{k^{b i}}\left\|f\left(k^{i} x\right)-\frac{1}{k^{b}} f\left(k \cdot k^{i} x\right)\right\| \\
& \leq \sum_{i=m}^{m+l-1} \frac{\phi\left(k^{i} x\right)}{k^{b i}} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in V \backslash\{0\}$ and $m, l \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$. Thus, in view of (2), it is easy to show that the sequence $\left\{\frac{f\left(k^{m} x\right)}{k^{b m}}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in V \backslash\{0\}$. Since $Y$ is complete and $f(0)=0$, the sequence $\left\{\frac{f\left(k^{m} x\right)}{k^{b m}}\right\}$ converges for all $x \in V$. Hence, we can define a mapping $F: V \rightarrow Y$ by

$$
F(x):=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(k^{m} x\right)}{k^{b m}}
$$

for all $x \in V$.
We easily see that equality (4) is true by the definition of $F$. Moreover, we put $m=0$ and let $l \rightarrow \infty$ in (6) to get inequality (3). Obviously, in view of Lemma 2.1, the mapping $F: V \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (3) and (4) is uniquely determined.

Lemma 2.3 Let $k \neq 1, b, V, Y$ be as in Lemma 2.2, letf $: V \rightarrow Y$ and $F: V \rightarrow Y$ be arbitrary mappings, and let $n$ be a fixed integer greater than 1 . Assume that a function $\varphi:(V \backslash\{0\})^{n} \rightarrow$ $[0, \infty)$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi\left(k^{i} x_{1}, k^{i} x_{2}, \ldots, k^{i} x_{n}\right)}{k^{b i}}<\infty \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in V \backslash\{0\}$. Iff satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right\| \leq \varphi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in V \backslash\{0\}$ and if $F$ satisfies the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
D F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{D f\left(k^{m} x_{1}, k^{m} x_{2}, \ldots, k^{m} x_{n}\right)}{k^{b m}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in V \backslash\{0\}$, then $F$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
D F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in V \backslash\{0\}$.

Proof It follows from (7), (8), and (9) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|D F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right\| & =\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\frac{D f\left(k^{m} x_{1}, k^{m} x_{2}, \ldots, k^{m} x_{n}\right)}{k^{b m}}\right\| \\
& \leq \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi\left(k^{m} x_{1}, k^{m} x_{2}, \ldots, k^{m} x_{n}\right)}{k^{b m}} \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in V \backslash\{0\}$. In other words, $D F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0$ holds for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in$ $V \backslash\{0\}$.

Lemma 2.4 Let a be a real constant satisfying a $\notin\{-1,0,1\}$, let $N$ be an integer, and let $n$ be a fixed integer greater than 1 . Assume that $\mu: V \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a function satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu\left(a^{i} x\right)}{|a|^{N i}}<\infty \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in V \backslash\{0\}$. If a mapping $f: V \rightarrow Y$ satisfies $f(0)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f(a x)-a^{N} f(x)\right\| \leq \mu(x) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in V \backslash\{0\}$, then there exists a unique mapping $F: V \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(a x)=a^{N} F(x) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in V$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-F(x)\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu\left(a^{i} x\right)}{|a|^{N i+N}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in V \backslash\{0\}$.

Proof It follows from (12) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\frac{f\left(a^{m} x\right)}{a^{N m}}-\frac{f\left(a^{m+l} x\right)}{a^{N(m+l)}}\right\| & \leq \sum_{i=m}^{m+l-1}\left\|\frac{f\left(a^{i} x\right)}{a^{N i}}-\frac{f\left(a^{i+1} x\right)}{a^{N(i+1)}}\right\| \\
& =\sum_{i=m}^{m+l-1} \frac{\left\|f\left(a \cdot a^{i} x\right)-a^{N} f\left(a^{i} x\right)\right\|}{|a|^{N(i+1)}} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=m}^{m+l-1} \frac{\mu\left(a^{i} x\right)}{|a|^{N i+N}} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x \in V \backslash\{0\}$ and all $m, l \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$. Thus, in view of (11), it is easy to show that the sequence $\left\{\frac{f\left(a^{m} x\right)}{a^{N m}}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in V \backslash\{0\}$. Since $Y$ is complete and $f(0)=0$,
the sequence $\left\{\frac{f\left(a^{m} x\right)}{a^{N M}}\right\}$ converges for all $x \in V$. Hence, we can define a mapping $F: V \rightarrow Y$ by

$$
F(x):=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(a^{m} x\right)}{a^{N m}}
$$

for all $x \in V$, from which it follows that (13).
Moreover, if we put $m=0$ and let $l \rightarrow \infty$ in (15), then we obtain inequality (14). Finally, using Lemma 2.1, we conclude that the mapping $F$ satisfying (13) and (14) is uniquely determined, since we have

$$
\|f(x)-F(x)\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu\left(a^{i} x\right)}{|a|^{N i+N}}=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{|a|^{N} \mu\left(a^{2 i} x\right)+\mu\left(a \cdot a^{2 i} x\right)}{|a|^{2 N i+2 N}}=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi\left(k^{i} x\right)}{k^{N i}}
$$

for all $x \in V$, where we set $k:=a^{2}$ and $\phi(x):=\frac{\mu(x)}{|a|^{N}}+\frac{\mu(a x)}{|a|^{2 N}}$.
Lemma 2.5 Let $a, N, V, Y$ be defined as in Lemma 2.4, let $f: V \rightarrow Y$ and $F: V \rightarrow Y$ be arbitrary mappings, and let $n$ be a fixed integer greater than 1 . Assume that a function $\varphi:(V \backslash\{0\})^{n} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi\left(a^{i} x_{1}, a^{i} x_{2}, \ldots, a^{i} x_{n}\right)}{|a|^{N i}}<\infty \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in V \backslash\{0\}$. Iff satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right\| \leq \varphi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in V \backslash\{0\}$, and if $F$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
D F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{D f\left(a^{m} x_{1}, a^{m} x_{2}, \ldots, a^{m} x_{n}\right)}{a^{N m}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in V \backslash\{0\}$, then $F$ satisfies the equation

$$
D F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in V \backslash\{0\}$.

Proof It easily follows from (16), (17), and (18) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|D F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right\| & =\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\frac{D f\left(a^{m} x_{1}, a^{m} x_{2}, \ldots, a^{m} x_{n}\right)}{a^{N m}}\right\| \\
& \leq \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi\left(a^{m} x_{1}, a^{m} x_{2}, \ldots, a^{m} x_{n}\right)}{|a|^{N m}} \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in V \backslash\{0\}$, which implies that $D F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0$ holds for all $x_{1}, x_{2}$, $\ldots, x_{n} \in V \backslash\{0\}$.

## 3 Main results

In this section, we denote by $V, X$, and $Y$ a real vector space, a real normed space, and a real Banach space, respectively, if there are no specifications.
We combine Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 in the following theorem to formulate the main theorem which is easily applicable. We here notice that conditions (9) and (18) are satisfied in usual cases provided we apply the direct method for proving the (generalized) Hyers-Ulam stability of various functional equations of the form $\operatorname{Df}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0$.

Theorem 3.1 Let $k \neq 1, b$, and $n>1$ be a positive real constant, a real constant, and an integer, respectively. Assume that $\phi: V \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a function satisfying condition (2) for all $x \in V \backslash\{0\}$. If a mapping $f: V \rightarrow Y$ satisfies $f(0)=0$ and

$$
\left\|f(x)-\frac{f(k x)}{k^{b}}\right\| \leq \phi(x)
$$

for all $x \in V \backslash\{0\}$, then there exists a unique mapping $F: V \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$
\|f(x)-F(x)\| \leq \Phi(x)
$$

for all $x \in V \backslash\{0\}$ and

$$
F(k x)=k^{b} F(x)
$$

for all $x \in V$. In particular, if there exists a function $\varphi:(V \backslash\{0\})^{n} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfying condition (7) for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in V \backslash\{0\}$ and iff satisfies

$$
\left\|D f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right\| \leq \varphi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

and if $F$ satisfies

$$
D F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{D f\left(k^{m} x_{1}, k^{m} x_{2}, \ldots, k^{m} x_{n}\right)}{k^{b m}}
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in V \backslash\{0\}$, then $F$ satisfies the equation

$$
D F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in V \backslash\{0\}$.

In the following theorem, let $a$ be a real number not in $\{-1,0,1\}$. We can easily prove this theorem by using Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5. We also note that condition (18) is satisfied in usual cases when we use the direct method for proving the stability of functional equations of the form $D f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0$.

Theorem 3.2 Let $a \notin\{-1,0,1\}, N$, and $n>1$ be a real constant and integers, respectively. Assume that $\mu: V \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a function satisfying condition (11) for all $x \in V \backslash\{0\}$. If a mapping $f: V \rightarrow Y$ satisfies $f(0)=0$ and

$$
\left\|f(a x)-a^{N} f(x)\right\| \leq \mu(x)
$$

for all $x \in V \backslash\{0\}$, then there exists a unique mapping $F: V \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
F(a x)=a^{N} F(x)
$$

for all $x \in V$ and

$$
\|f(x)-F(x)\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu\left(a^{i} x\right)}{|a|^{N i+N}}
$$

for all $x \in V \backslash\{0\}$. In particular, if there exists a function $\varphi:(V \backslash\{0\})^{n} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfying condition (16) for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in V \backslash\{0\}$ and iff satisfies

$$
\left\|D f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right\| \leq \varphi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

and if $F$ satisfies

$$
D F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{D f\left(a^{m} x_{1}, a^{m} x_{2}, \ldots, a^{m} x_{n}\right)}{a^{N m}}
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in V \backslash\{0\}$, then $F$ satisfies the equation

$$
D F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in V \backslash\{0\}$.

We now introduce a corollary to Lemma 2.2.

Corollary 3.3 Assume that $k, b, p, \theta, \delta$ are real constants such that $k>0, k \neq 1, p \neq b$, $\theta>0$, and $\delta>0$. If a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies $f(0)=0$ and

$$
\left\|f(k x)-k^{b} f(x)\right\| \leq \delta\|x\|^{p}
$$

for all $x \in X \backslash\{0\}$, then there exists a unique mapping $F: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (4) for all $x \in X$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-F(x)\| \leq \frac{\delta\|x\|^{p}}{\left|k^{b}-k^{p}\right|} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X \backslash\{0\}$.
Proof If $(k, p) \in(1, \infty) \times(-\infty, b)$ or $(k, p) \in(0,1) \times(b, \infty)$, then $\phi(x):=\frac{\delta}{k^{b}}\|x\|^{p}$ satisfies condition (2) with

$$
\Phi(x)=\frac{\delta\|x\|^{p}}{k^{b}-k^{p}} .
$$

For the case of $(k, p) \in(0,1) \times(-\infty, b)$ or $(k, p) \in(1, \infty) \times(b, \infty)$, we set $k^{\prime}:=\frac{1}{k}$ to get $\left(k^{\prime}, p\right) \in(1, \infty) \times(-\infty, b)$ or $\left(k^{\prime}, p\right) \in(0,1) \times(b, \infty)$, and

$$
\left\|f\left(k^{\prime} x\right)-k^{\prime b} f(x)\right\|=k^{\prime b}\left\|k^{b} f\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)-f(x)\right\| \leq k^{\prime b+p} \delta\|x\|^{p}
$$

for all $x \in X \backslash\{0\}$. By applying the same argument as in the first case, we can see that $\phi(x):=$ $k^{\prime p} \delta\|x\|^{p}$ satisfies condition (2) with

$$
\Phi(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi\left(k^{\prime i} x\right)}{k^{\prime b i}}=\frac{\delta\|x\|^{p}}{k^{p}-k^{b}}
$$

for all $x \in X \backslash\{0\}$.
According to Lemma 2.2, there exists a unique mapping $F: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (4) for all $x \in X$ and (19) for all $x \in X \backslash\{0\}$.

The following corollary can be easily proved by using Lemma 2.4.

Corollary 3.4 Assume that $N$ is an integer and $a, p, \theta, \delta$ are real constants such that $a \notin\{-1,0,1\}, p \neq N, \theta>0$, and $\delta>0$. If a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies $f(0)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f(a x)-a^{N} f(x)\right\| \leq \delta\|x\|^{p} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X \backslash\{0\}$, then there exists a unique mapping $F: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (13) for all $x \in X$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-F(x)\| \leq \frac{\delta\|x\|^{p}}{\|\left. a\right|^{N}-|a|^{p} \mid} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X \backslash\{0\}$.
Proof If $(|a|, p) \in(1, \infty) \times(-\infty, N)$ or $(|a|, p) \in(0,1) \times(N, \infty)$, then $\mu(x):=\delta\|x\|^{p}$ satisfies condition (11) with

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu\left(a^{i} x\right)}{|a|^{N i}}=\frac{|a|^{N} \delta\|x\|^{p}}{|a|^{N}-|a|^{p}}
$$

When $(|a|, p) \in(0,1) \times(-\infty, N)$ or $(|a|, p) \in(1, \infty) \times(N, \infty)$, we set $a^{\prime}:=\frac{1}{a}$ to get $\left(\left|a^{\prime}\right|, p\right) \in(1, \infty) \times(-\infty, N)$ or $\left(\left|a^{\prime}\right|, p\right) \in(0,1) \times(N, \infty)$, and

$$
\left\|f\left(a^{\prime} x\right)-a^{\prime N} f(x)\right\|=\left\|f\left(\frac{x}{a}\right)-\frac{1}{a^{N}} f(x)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{|a|^{N}} \delta\left\|\frac{x}{a}\right\|^{p}=\left|a^{\prime}\right|^{p+N} \delta\|x\|^{p}
$$

for all $x \in X \backslash\{0\}$. By arguing similarly as in the first case, we see that $\mu(x):=\left|a^{\prime}\right|^{p+N} \delta\|x\|^{p}$ satisfies condition (11) with

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu\left(a^{\prime i} x\right)}{\left|a^{\prime}\right|^{N i}}=\frac{\left|a^{\prime}\right|^{p+2 N} \delta\|x\|^{p}}{\left|a^{\prime}\right|^{N}-\left|a^{\prime}\right|^{p}}=\frac{1}{|a|^{N}} \frac{\delta\|x\|^{p}}{|a|^{p}-|a|^{N}}
$$

for all $x \in X \backslash\{0\}$.
Therefore, by Lemma 2.4, there exists a unique mapping $F: X \rightarrow Y$ such that (13) and (21) hold for all $x \in X$ resp. for all $x \in X \backslash\{0\}$.

## 4 Applications

In this section, by $V, X$, and $Y$, we denote a real vector space, a real normed space, and a real Banach space, respectively, if there is no specification.

For a given mapping $f: V \rightarrow Y$, we use the abbreviations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{1} f(x, y):=f(a x+b y)-a f(x)-b f(y) \\
& D_{2} f(x, y):=f(a x+b y)+a b f(x-y)-a(a+b) f(x)-b(a+b) f(y),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are fixed nonzero real numbers with $a+b \neq 0$ and $a b \neq 0$. (The definitions of $D_{1} f$ and $D_{2} f$ just above have nothing to do with the $D_{n} f$ given in the Introduction.)

Theorem 4.1 Let $a$ and $b$ be real constants such that $a+b \notin\{-1,0,1\}$ and let $\varphi$ : $(V \backslash\{0\})^{2} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying the condition

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi\left((a+b)^{i} x,(a+b)^{i} y\right)}{|a+b|^{i}}<\infty
$$

for all $x, y \in V \backslash\{0\}$. If a mapping $f: V \rightarrow Y$ satisfies $f(0)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D_{1} f(x, y)\right\| \leq \varphi(x, y) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in V \backslash\{0\}$, then there exists a unique mapping $F: V \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{1} F(x, y)=0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in V \backslash\{0\}$ and

$$
\|f(x)-F(x)\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi\left((a+b)^{i} x,(a+b)^{i} x\right)}{|a+b|^{i+1}}
$$

for all $x \in V \backslash\{0\}$.

Proof It follows from (22) that

$$
\|(a+b) f(x)-f((a+b) x)\| \leq \varphi(x, x)
$$

for all $x \in V \backslash\{0\}$. If we set $a_{1}:=a+b, \mu(x):=\varphi(x, x), n:=2, N:=1$, and $D f:=D_{1} f$, then $a_{1}$, $N, f$, and $\mu$ satisfy conditions (11) and (12). According to Lemma 2.4, there exists a unique mapping $F: V \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (13) and (14), i.e., $F$ satisfies

$$
F\left(a_{1} x\right)=a_{1}^{N} F(x)
$$

for all $x \in V$ and

$$
\|f(x)-F(x)\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi\left(a_{1}^{i} x, a_{1}^{i} x\right)}{\left|a_{1}\right|^{N i+N}}
$$

for all $x \in V \backslash\{0\}$.
Moreover, $\varphi, f$, and $F$ satisfy (16) and (17). Condition (18) is also satisfied due to the definition of $F$ given in the proof of Lemma 2.4. Hence, in view of Theorem 3.2, the mapping $F$ satisfies (23) for all $x, y \in V \backslash\{0\}$.

Theorem 4.2 Let $a$ and $b$ be real constants such that $a+b \notin\{-1,0,1\}$ and let $\varphi$ : $(V \backslash\{0\})^{2} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}|a+b|^{i} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{(a+b)^{i}}, \frac{y}{(a+b)^{i}}\right)<\infty \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in V \backslash\{0\}$. If a mapping $f: V \rightarrow Y$ satisfies $f(0)=0$ and (22) for all $x, y \in V \backslash\{0\}$, then there exists a unique mapping $F: V \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (23) for all $x \in V$ and

$$
\|f(x)-F(x)\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}|a+b|^{i} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{(a+b)^{i+1}}, \frac{x}{(a+b)^{i+1}}\right)
$$

for all $x \in V \backslash\{0\}$.

Proof It follows from (22) that

$$
\left\|\frac{1}{a+b} f(x)-f\left(\frac{x}{a+b}\right)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{|a+b|} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{a+b}, \frac{x}{a+b}\right)
$$

for all $x \in V \backslash\{0\}$. If we set $a_{1}:=\frac{1}{a+b}, \mu(x):=\left|a_{1}\right| \varphi\left(a_{1} x, a_{1} x\right), n:=2, N:=1$, and $D f:=D_{1} f$, then $a_{1}, N, f$, and $\mu$ satisfy conditions (11) and (12). In view of Lemma 2.4, there exists a unique mapping $F: V \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (13) and (14), i.e., $F$ satisfies

$$
F\left(a_{1} x\right)=a_{1}^{N} F(x)
$$

for all $x \in V$ and

$$
\|f(x)-F(x)\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi\left(a_{1}^{i+1} x, a_{1}^{i+1} x\right)}{\left|a_{1}\right|^{N i+N-1}}
$$

for all $x \in V \backslash\{0\}$.
Furthermore, $\varphi, f$, and $F$ satisfy (16) and (17). The truth of (18) follows from the definition of $F$ given in the proof of Lemma 2.4. By Theorem 3.2, the mapping $F$ also satisfies (23) for all $x, y \in V \backslash\{0\}$.

Theorem 4.3 Let $a$ and $b$ be real constants satisfying $a+b \notin\{-1,0,1\}$ and let $\varphi$ : $(V \backslash\{0\})^{2} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying the condition

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi\left((a+b)^{i} x,(a+b)^{i} y\right)}{(a+b)^{2 i}}<\infty
$$

for all $x, y \in V \backslash\{0\}$. If a mapping $f: V \rightarrow Y$ satisfies $f(0)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D_{2} f(x, y)\right\| \leq \varphi(x, y) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in V \backslash\{0\}$, then there exists a unique mapping $F: V \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{2} F(x, y)=0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in V \backslash\{0\}$ and

$$
\|f(x)-F(x)\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi\left((a+b)^{i} x,(a+b)^{i} x\right)}{(a+b)^{2 i+2}}
$$

for all $x \in V \backslash\{0\}$.

Proof It follows from (25) that

$$
\left\|(a+b)^{2} f(x)-f((a+b) x)\right\| \leq \varphi(x, x)
$$

for all $x \in V \backslash\{0\}$. If we set $a_{1}:=a+b, \mu(x):=\varphi(x, x), n:=2, N:=2$, and $D f:=D_{2} f$, then $a_{1}$, $N, f$, and $\mu$ satisfy conditions (11) and (12). We then see by Lemma 2.4 that there exists a unique mapping $F: V \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (13) and (14), i.e., $F$ satisfies

$$
F\left(a_{1} x\right)=a_{1}^{N} F(x)
$$

for all $x \in V$ and

$$
\|f(x)-F(x)\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi\left(a_{1}^{i} x, a_{1}^{i} x\right)}{\left|a_{1}\right|^{N i+N}}
$$

for all $x \in V \backslash\{0\}$.
Furthermore, $\varphi, f$, and $F$ satisfy (16) and (17). Due to the definition of $F$ given in the proof of Lemma 2.4, we obtain the validity of (18). According to Theorem 3.2, the mapping $F$ satisfies (26) for all $x, y \in V \backslash\{0\}$.

Theorem 4.4 Let $a$ and $b$ be real constants such that $a+b \notin\{-1,0,1\}$ and let $\varphi$ : $(V \backslash\{0\})^{2} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(a+b)^{2 i} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{(a+b)^{i}}, \frac{y}{(a+b)^{i}}\right)<\infty \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in V \backslash\{0\}$. If a mapping $f: V \rightarrow Y$ satisfies $f(0)=0$ and (25) for all $x, y \in V \backslash\{0\}$, then there exists a unique mapping $F: V \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (26) for all $x, y \in V \backslash\{0\}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-F(x)\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(a+b)^{2 i} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{(a+b)^{i+1}}, \frac{x}{(a+b)^{i+1}}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in V \backslash\{0\}$.

Proof It follows from (25) that

$$
\left\|\frac{1}{(a+b)^{2}} f(x)-f\left(\frac{x}{a+b}\right)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{(a+b)^{2}} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{a+b}, \frac{x}{a+b}\right)
$$

for all $x \in V \backslash\{0\}$. If we put $a_{1}:=\frac{1}{a+b}, \mu(x):=a_{1}^{2} \varphi\left(a_{1} x, a_{1} x\right), n:=2, N:=2$, and $D f:=D_{2} f$, then $a_{1}, N, f$, and $\mu$ satisfy conditions (11) and (12). It then follows from Lemma 2.4 that
there exists a unique mapping $F: V \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (13) and (14), i.e., $F$ satisfies

$$
F\left(a_{1} x\right)=a_{1}^{N} F(x)
$$

for all $x \in V$ and

$$
\|f(x)-F(x)\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi\left(a_{1}^{i+1} x, a_{1}^{i+1} x\right)}{\left|a_{1}\right|^{N i+N-2}}
$$

for all $x \in V \backslash\{0\}$.
Moreover, $\varphi, f$, and $F$ satisfy (16) and (17). From the definition of $F$ given in the proof of Lemma 2.4, it follows that (18) is true. In view of Theorem 3.2, the mapping $F$ satisfies (26) for all $x, y \in V \backslash\{0\}$.
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