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#### Abstract

Lutwak defined the dual Blaschke combination of star bodies. In this paper, based on the $L_{p}$-dual Blaschke combination of star bodies, we define the general $L_{p}$-dual Blaschke bodies and obtain the extremal values of their volume and $L_{p}$-dual affine surface area. Further, as the applications, we study two negative forms of the $L_{p}$-Busemann-Petty problems.
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## 1 Introduction and main results

Let $\mathcal{K}^{n}$ denote the set of convex bodies (compact, convex subsets with nonempty interiors) in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n} . \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}$ denotes the set of convex bodies whose centroid lies at the origin in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Let $S^{n-1}$ denote the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $V(K)$ denote the $n$-dimensional volume of a body $K$. For the standard unit ball $B$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, its volume is written by $\omega_{n}=V(B)$.

If $K$ is a compact star shaped (about the origin) in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, then its radial function $\rho_{K}=\rho(K, \cdot)$ is defined on $S^{n-1}$ by letting (see $[1,2]$ )

$$
\rho(K, u)=\max \{\lambda \geq 0: \lambda \cdot u \in K\}, \quad u \in S^{n-1} .
$$

If $\rho_{K}$ is positive and continuous, then $K$ will be called a star body (about the origin). For the set of star bodies containing the origin in their interiors and the set of origin-symmetric star bodies in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, we write $\mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{o s}^{n}$, respectively. Two star bodies $K$ and $L$ are said to be dilates (of one another) if $\rho_{K}(u) / \rho_{L}(u)$ is independent of $u \in S^{n-1}$.

The notion of dual Blaschke combination was given by Lutwak (see [3]). For $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}$, $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$ (not both zero), $n \geq 2$, the dual Blaschke combination $\lambda \circ K \oplus \mu \circ L \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}$ of $K$ and $L$ is defined by

$$
\rho(\lambda \circ K \oplus \mu \circ L, \cdot)^{n-1}=\lambda \rho(K, \cdot)^{n-1}+\mu \rho(L, \cdot)^{n-1}
$$

where the operation ' $\oplus$ ' is called dual Blaschke addition and $\lambda \circ K$ denotes dual Blaschke scalar multiplication.

Combining with the definition of dual Blaschke combination, Lutwak [3] gave the concept of dual Blaschke body as follows: For $K \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}$, take $\lambda=\mu=1 / 2, L=-K$, the dual Blaschke body $\bar{\nabla} K$ is given by

$$
\bar{\nabla} K=\frac{1}{2} \circ K \oplus \frac{1}{2} \circ(-K) .
$$

In this paper, we define the notion of $L_{p}$-dual Blaschke combination as follows: For $K, L \in$ $\mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}, \lambda, \mu \geq 0$ (not both zero), $n>p>0$, the $L_{p}$-dual Blaschke combination $\lambda \circ K \oplus_{p} \mu \circ L \in$ $\mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}$ of $K$ and $L$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(\lambda \circ K \oplus_{p} \mu \circ L, \cdot\right)^{n-p}=\lambda \rho(K, \cdot)^{n-p}+\mu \rho(L, \cdot)^{n-p}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operation ' $\oplus_{p}$ ' is called $L_{p}$-dual Blaschke addition and $\lambda \circ K=\lambda^{\frac{1}{n-p}} K$.
Let $\lambda=\mu=\frac{1}{2}$ and $L=-K$ in (1.1), then the $L_{p}$-dual Blaschke body $\bar{\nabla}_{p} K$ of $K \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\nabla}_{p} K=\frac{1}{2} \circ K \oplus_{p} \frac{1}{2} \circ(-K) . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, by (1.1) we define the general $L_{p}$-dual Blaschke bodies as follows: For $K \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}$, $n>$ $p>0$ and $\tau \in[-1,1]$, the general $L_{p}$-dual Blaschke body $\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K$ of $K$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K, \cdot\right)^{n-p}=f_{1}(\tau) \rho(K, \cdot)^{n-p}+f_{2}(\tau) \rho(-K, \cdot)^{n-p}, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}(\tau)=\frac{1+\tau}{2}, \quad f_{2}(\tau)=\frac{1-\tau}{2} . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1.4), we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}(\tau)+f_{2}(\tau)=1,  \tag{1.5}\\
& f_{1}(-\tau)=f_{2}(\tau), \quad f_{2}(-\tau)=f_{1}(\tau) \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

From (1.3), it easily follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K=f_{1}(\tau) \circ K \oplus_{p} f_{2}(\tau) \circ(-K) . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Besides, by (1.2), (1.4) and (1.7), we see that if $\tau=0$, then $\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{0} K=\bar{\nabla}_{p} K$; if $\tau= \pm 1$, then $\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{+1} K=K, \bar{\nabla}_{p}^{-1} K=-K$.

The main results of this paper can be stated as follows: First, we give the extremal values of the volume of general $L_{p}$-dual Blaschke bodies.

Theorem 1.1 If $K \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}, n>p>0, \tau \in[-1,1]$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(\bar{\nabla}_{p} K\right) \leq V\left(\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K\right) \leq V(K) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\tau \neq 0$, equality holds in the left inequality if and only if $K$ is origin-symmetric, if $\tau \neq \pm 1$, then equality holds in the right inequality if and only if $K$ is also origin-symmetric.

Moreover, based on the $L_{p}$-dual affine surface area $\widetilde{\Omega}_{p}(K)$ of $K \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}$ (see (2.7)), we give another class of extremal values for general $L_{p}$-dual Blaschke bodies.

Theorem 1.2 If $K \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}, n>p>0, \tau \in[-1,1]$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\Omega}_{p}\left(\bar{\nabla}_{p} K\right) \leq \widetilde{\Omega}_{p}\left(\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K\right) \leq \widetilde{\Omega}_{p}(K) . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\tau \neq 0$, equality holds in the left inequality if and only if $K$ is origin-symmetric, if $\tau \neq \pm 1$, then equality holds in the right inequality if and only if $K$ is also origin-symmetric.

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 belong to a part of new and rapidly evolving asymmetric $L_{p}$ BrunnMinkowski theory that has its origins in the work of Ludwig, Haberl and Schuster (see [4-9]). For the studies of asymmetric $L_{p}$ Brunn-Minkowski theory, also see [10-22].
Haberl and Ludwig [5] defined the $L_{p}$-intersection body as follows: For $K \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}, 0<p<1$, the $L_{p}$-intersection body $I_{p} K$ of $K$ is the origin-symmetric star body whose radial function is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{I_{p} K}^{p}(u)=\int_{K}|u \cdot x|^{-p} d x \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in S^{n-1}$. Haberl and Ludwig [5] pointed out that the classical intersection body which was introduced by Lutwak (see [3]) IK of $K$ is obtained as a limit of the $L_{p}$-intersection body of $K$, more precisely, for all $u \in S^{n-1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(I K, u)=\lim _{p \rightarrow 1^{-}}(1-p) \rho\left(I_{p} K, u\right)^{p} . \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Associated with the $L_{p}$-intersection bodies, Haberl [4] obtained a series of results, Berck [23] investigated their convexity. For further results on $L_{p}$-intersection bodies, also see [1, 2, 18, 24-27]. In particular, Yuan and Cheung (see [26]) gave the negative solutions of $L_{p}$-Busemann-Petty problems as follows.

Theorem 1.A Let $K \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}$ and $0<p<1$, if $K$ is not origin-symmetric, then there exists $L \in \mathcal{S}_{o s}^{n}$ such that

$$
I_{p} K \subset I_{p} L,
$$

but

$$
V(K)>V(L) .
$$

As the application of Theorem 1.1, we extend the scope of negative solutions of $L_{p}$-Busemann-Petty problems from origin-symmetric star bodies to star bodies.

Theorem 1.3 Let $K \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}$ and $0<p<1$, if $K$ is not origin-symmetric, then there exists $L \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}$ such that

$$
I_{p} K \subset I_{p} L,
$$

but

$$
V(K)>V(L) .
$$

Similarly, applying Theorem 1.2, we get the form of $L_{p}$-dual affine surface areas for the negative solutions of $L_{p}$-Busemann-Petty problems.

Theorem 1.4 For $K \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}, 0<p<1$, if $K$ is not origin-symmetric, then there exists $L \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}$ such that

$$
I_{p} K \subset I_{p} L,
$$

but

$$
\widetilde{\Omega}_{p}(K)>\widetilde{\Omega}_{p}(L) .
$$

In this paper, the proofs of Theorems 1.1-1.4 will be given in Section 4. In Section 3, we obtain some properties of general $L_{p}$-dual Blaschke bodies.

## 2 Preliminaries

## $2.1 L_{p}$-Dual mixed volume

For $K, L \in S_{o}^{n}, p>0$ and $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$ (not both zero), the $L_{p}$-radial combination, $\lambda \cdot K \tilde{f}_{p} \mu \cdot L \in$ $S_{o}^{n}$, of $K$ and $L$ is defined by (see $[4,28]$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(\lambda \cdot K \tilde{千}_{p} \mu \cdot L, \cdot\right)^{p}=\lambda \rho(K, \cdot)^{p}+\mu \rho(L, \cdot)^{p}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda \cdot K$ denotes the $L_{p}$-radial scalar multiplication, and we easily know $\lambda \cdot K=\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}} K$.
Associated with (2.1), Haberl in [4] (also see [28]) introduced the notion of $L_{p}$-dual mixed volume as follows: For $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}, p>0, \varepsilon>0$, the $L_{p}$-dual mixed volume $\widetilde{V}_{p}(K, L)$ of $K$ and $L$ is defined by

$$
\frac{n}{p} \tilde{V}_{p}(K, L)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{V\left(K \tilde{+}_{p} \varepsilon \cdot L\right)-V(K)}{\varepsilon} .
$$

And he got the following integral form of $L_{p}$-dual mixed volume:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{V}_{p}(K, L)=\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_{K}^{n-p}(u) \rho_{L}^{p}(u) d u \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integration is with respect to spherical Lebesgue measure on $S^{n-1}$.
From (2.2), we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{V}_{p}(K, K)=V(K)=\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_{K}^{n}(u) d u . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Minkowski inequality of $L_{p}$-dual mixed volume is as follows (see [4,28]): If $K, L \in$ $S_{o}^{n}$, then for $0<p<n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{V}_{p}(K, L) \leq V(K)^{\frac{n-p}{n}} V(L)^{\frac{p}{n}} ; \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $p>n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{V}_{p}(K, L) \geq V(K)^{\frac{n-p}{n}} V(L)^{\frac{p}{n}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In every case, equality holds if and only if $K$ is a dilate of $L$. For $p=n$, (2.4) (or (2.5)) is identical.
From (2.4) and (2.5), we easily get the following result.
Proposition 2.1 If $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}, p>0$, and for any $Q \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}$,

$$
\tilde{V}_{p}(K, Q)=\tilde{V}_{p}(L, Q)
$$

or

$$
\widetilde{V}_{p}(Q, K)=\widetilde{V}_{p}(Q, L)
$$

then

$$
K=L .
$$

## 2.2 $L_{p}$-Dual affine surface area

The notion of $L_{p}$-dual affine surface area was given by Wang, Yuan and He (see [29]). For $K \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}, 0<p<n$, the $L_{p}$-dual affine surface area $\widetilde{\Omega}_{p}(K)$ of $K$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{-\frac{p}{n}} \widetilde{\Omega}_{p}(K)^{\frac{n+p}{n}}=\sup \left\{n \widetilde{V}_{p}\left(K, Q^{*}\right) V(Q)^{\frac{p}{n}}: Q \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}\right\} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $E^{*}$ is the polar set of a nonempty set $E$ which is defined by (see [1])

$$
E^{*}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: x \cdot y \leq 1 \text { for all } y \in E\right\} .
$$

For the sake of convenience of our work, we improve definition (2.6) from $Q \in \mathcal{K}_{c}^{n}$ to $Q \in \mathcal{S}_{o s}^{n}$ as follows: For $K \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}, 0<p<n$, the $L_{p}$-dual affine surface area $\widetilde{\Omega}_{p}(K)$ of $K$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{-\frac{p}{n}} \widetilde{\Omega}_{p}(K)^{\frac{n+p}{n}}=\sup \left\{n \widetilde{V}_{p}\left(K, Q^{*}\right) V(Q)^{\frac{p}{n}}: Q \in \mathcal{S}_{o s}^{n}\right\} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 Some properties of general $L_{p}$-dual Blaschke bodies

In this section, we give some properties of general $L_{p}$-dual Blaschke bodies.

Theorem 3.1 If $K \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}, n>p>0$ and $\tau \in[-1,1]$, then

$$
\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{-\tau} K=\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau}(-K)=-\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K .
$$

Proof From (1.6) and (1.7), we obtain that for $n>p>0$ and $\tau \in[-1,1]$,

$$
\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{-\tau} K=f_{1}(-\tau) \circ K \oplus_{p} f_{2}(-\tau) \circ(-K)=f_{2}(\tau) \circ K \oplus_{p} f_{1}(\tau) \circ(-K)=\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau}(-K)
$$

Further, we have that for any $u \in S^{n-1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho\left(-\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K, u\right)^{n-p} & =\rho\left(\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K,-u\right)^{n-p} \\
& =f_{1}(\tau) \rho(K,-u)^{n-p}+f_{2}(\tau) \rho(-K,-u)^{n-p} \\
& =f_{1}(\tau) \rho(-K, u)^{n-p}+f_{2}(\tau) \rho(-(-K), u)^{n-p} \\
& =\rho\left(\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau}(-K), u\right)^{n-p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we get

$$
\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau}(-K)=-\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K .
$$

Theorem 3.2 For $K \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}, n>p>0$ and $\tau \in[-1,1]$, if $\tau \neq 0$, then $\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K=\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{-\tau} K$ if and only if $K \in \mathcal{S}_{o s}^{n}$.

Proof From (1.3) and (1.6), we get that for all $u \in S^{n-1}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho\left(\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K, u\right)^{n-p}=f_{1}(\tau) \rho(K, u)^{n-p}+f_{2}(\tau) \rho(-K, u)^{n-p}  \tag{3.1}\\
& \rho\left(\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{-\tau} K, u\right)^{n-p}=f_{2}(\tau) \rho(K, u)^{n-p}+f_{1}(\tau) \rho(-K, u)^{n-p} . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, if $K \in \mathcal{S}_{o s}^{n}$, i.e., $K=-K$, then by (3.1), (3.2) and (1.5) we get, for all $u \in S^{n-1}$,

$$
\rho\left(\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K, u\right)^{n-p}=\rho\left(\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{-\tau} K, u\right)^{n-p} .
$$

Thus

$$
\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K=\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{-\tau} K .
$$

Conversely, if $\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K=\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{-\tau} K$, then together with (3.1) and (3.2) it yields

$$
\left[f_{1}(\tau)-f_{2}(\tau)\right] \rho(K, u)^{n-p}=\left[f_{1}(\tau)-f_{2}(\tau)\right] \rho(-K, u)^{n-p} .
$$

Since $f_{1}(\tau)-f_{2}(\tau) \neq 0$ when $\tau \neq 0$, thus it follows that $\rho(K, u)=\rho(-K, u)$ for all $u \in S^{n-1}$, i.e., $K \in \mathcal{S}_{o s}^{n}$.

From Theorem 3.2, it immediately yields the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1 For $K \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}, n>p>0$ and $\tau \in[-1,1]$, if $K$ is not origin-symmetric, then $\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K=\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{-\tau} K$ if and only if $\tau=0$.

Theorem 3.3 If $K \in \mathcal{S}_{o s}^{n}, n>p>0$ and $\tau \in[-1,1]$, then

$$
\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K=K .
$$

Proof Since $K \in \mathcal{S}_{o s}^{n}$, i.e., $K=-K$, by (1.3) and (1.5) we know that, for any $u \in S^{n-1}$,

$$
\rho\left(\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K, u\right)^{n-p}=f_{1}(\tau) \rho(K, u)^{n-p}+f_{2}(\tau) \rho(-K, u)^{n-p}=\rho(K, u)^{n-p} .
$$

That is,

$$
\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K=K
$$

## 4 Proofs of theorems

In this section, we complete the proofs of Theorems 1.1-1.4.

Lemma 4.1 If $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_{o}^{n}, \lambda, \mu \geq 0$ (not both zero), $n>p>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(\lambda \circ K \oplus_{p} \mu \circ L\right)^{\frac{n-p}{n}} \leq \lambda V(K)^{\frac{n-p}{n}}+\mu V(L)^{\frac{n-p}{n}} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $K$ and $L$ are dilates.

Proof Associated with (1.1), (2.2), (2.3) and inequality (2.4), we know that, for any $Q \in S_{o}^{n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{V}_{p}\left(\lambda \circ K \oplus_{p} \mu \circ L, Q\right) & =\lambda \tilde{V}_{p}(K, Q)+\mu \tilde{V}_{p}(L, Q) \\
& \leq\left[\lambda V(K)^{\frac{n-p}{n}}+\mu V(L)^{\frac{n-p}{n}}\right] V(Q)^{\frac{p}{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $Q=\lambda \circ K \oplus_{p} \mu \circ L$, it yields (4.1). From the equality condition of (2.4), we see that equality holds in (4.1) if and only if $K$ is a dilate of $L$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 By (4.1), (1.5) and (1.7), we get, for any $\tau \in[-1,1]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
V\left(\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K\right)^{\frac{n-p}{n}} & =V\left(f_{1}(\tau) \circ K \oplus_{p} f_{2}(\tau) \circ(-K)\right)^{\frac{n-p}{n}} \\
& \leq f_{1}(\tau) V(K)^{\frac{n-p}{n}}+f_{2}(\tau) V(-K)^{\frac{n-p}{n}} \\
& =V(K)^{\frac{n-p}{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we obtain, for $n>p>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K\right) \leq V(K) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives the right inequality of (1.8).
Clearly, equality holds in (4.2) if $\tau= \pm 1$. Besides, if $\tau \neq \pm 1$, then by the condition of equality in (4.1), we see that equality holds in (4.2) if and only if $K$ and $-K$ are dilates, this yields $K=-K$, i.e., $K$ is an origin-symmetric star body. This means that if $\tau \neq \pm 1$, then equality holds in the right inequality of (1.8) if and only if $K$ is origin-symmetric.
Now, we prove the left inequality of (1.8). From (1.2), (1.4) and (1.7), we know that for any $u \in S^{n-1}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{\nabla}_{p} K & =\frac{1}{2} \circ K \oplus_{p} \frac{1}{2} \circ(-K) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \frac{(1+\tau)+(1-\tau)}{2} \circ K \oplus_{p} \frac{1}{2} \frac{(1-\tau)+(1+\tau)}{2} \circ(-K) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \circ \bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K \oplus_{p} \frac{1}{2} \circ \bar{\nabla}_{p}^{-\tau} K . \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

From Theorem 3.1 and (4.3), use (4.1) to yield that for $n>p>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
V\left(\bar{\nabla}_{p} K\right)^{\frac{n-p}{n}} & =V\left(\frac{1}{2} \circ \bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K \oplus_{p} \frac{1}{2} \circ \bar{\nabla}_{p}^{-\tau} K\right)^{\frac{n-p}{n}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} V\left(\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K\right)^{\frac{n-p}{n}}+\frac{1}{2} V\left(\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{-\tau} K\right)^{\frac{n-p}{n}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} V\left(\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K\right)^{\frac{n-p}{n}}+\frac{1}{2} V\left(-\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K\right)^{\frac{n-p}{n}} \\
& =V\left(\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K\right)^{\frac{n-p}{n}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives that for $n>p>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(\bar{\nabla}_{p} K\right) \leq V\left(\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is just the left inequality of (1.8).
Obviously, if $\tau=0$, then equality holds in (4.4). If $\tau \neq 0$, according to the equality condition of (4.1), we see that equality holds in (4.4) if and only if $\widehat{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K$ and $\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{-\tau} K$ are dilates, this implies $\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K=\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{-\tau} K$. Therefore, using Corollary 3.1, we obtain that if $K$ is not an originsymmetric body, then equality holds in (4.4) if and only if $\tau=0$. This shows that if $\tau \neq 0$, then equality holds in the left inequality of (1.8) if and only if $K$ is origin-symmetric.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 From definition (2.7) and (1.7), we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& n^{-\frac{p}{n}} \widetilde{\Omega}_{p}\left(\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K\right)^{\frac{n+p}{n}} \\
&= \sup \left\{\widetilde{V}_{p}\left(\widehat{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K, Q^{*}\right) V(Q)^{\frac{p}{n}}: Q \in \mathcal{S}_{o s}^{n}\right\} \\
&= \sup \left\{n \widetilde{V}_{p}\left(f_{1}(\tau) \circ K \oplus_{p} f_{2}(\tau) \circ(-K), Q^{*}\right) V(Q)^{\frac{p}{n}}: Q \in \mathcal{S}_{o s}^{n}\right\} \\
&= \sup \left\{\int_{S^{n-1}}\left[\rho\left(f_{1}(\tau) \circ K \oplus_{p} f_{2}(\tau) \circ(-K), u\right)^{n-p} \rho\left(Q^{*}, u\right)^{p}\right] d u V(Q)^{\frac{p}{n}}: Q \in \mathcal{S}_{o s}^{n}\right\} \\
&= \sup \left\{\int_{S^{n-1}}\left[f_{1}(\tau) \rho(K, u)^{n-p}+f_{2}(\tau) \rho(-K, u)^{n-p}\right] \rho\left(Q^{*}, u\right)^{p} d u V(Q)^{\frac{p}{n}}: Q \in \mathcal{S}_{o s}^{n}\right\} \\
&= \sup \left\{n f_{1}(\tau) \widetilde{V}_{p}\left(K, Q^{*}\right) V(Q)^{\frac{p}{n}}+n f_{2}(\tau) \widetilde{V}_{p}\left(-K, Q^{*}\right) V(Q)^{\frac{p}{n}}: Q \in \mathcal{S}_{o s}^{n}\right\} \\
& \leq f_{1}(\tau) \sup \left\{n \widetilde{V}_{p}\left(K, Q^{*}\right) V(Q)^{\frac{p}{n}}: Q \in \mathcal{S}_{o s}^{n}\right\} \\
&+f_{2}(\tau) \sup \left\{n \widetilde{V}_{p}\left(-K, Q^{*}\right) V(Q)^{\frac{p}{n}}: Q \in \mathcal{S}_{o s}^{n}\right\} . \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $Q \in \mathcal{S}_{o s}^{n}$, thus use $\rho(Q, u)=\rho(-Q, u)=\rho(Q,-u)$ for all $u \in S^{n-1}$ to get

$$
\widetilde{V}_{p}\left(-K, Q^{*}\right)=\widetilde{V}_{p}\left(K, Q^{*}\right)
$$

by (2.7) we know $\widetilde{\Omega}_{p}(K)=\widetilde{\Omega}_{p}(-K)$. This combining with (4.5) and (1.5), we know

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\Omega}_{p}\left(\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K\right) \leq \widetilde{\Omega}_{p}(K), \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., the right inequality of (1.9) is obtained.

If $\tau \neq \pm 1$, equality of (4.5) holds if and only if $K$ and $-K$ are dilates. This yields $K=$ $-K$, thus $K$ is an origin-symmetric star body. Since (4.5) and (4.6) are equivalent, hence equality holds in (4.6) if and only if $K$ is an origin-symmetric star body when $\tau \neq \pm 1$. Therefore, if $\tau \neq \pm 1$, equality holds in the right inequality of (1.9) if and only if $K$ is originsymmetric.

Further, we complete the proof of the left inequality of (1.9). From Theorem 3.1, we know that

$$
\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{-\tau} K=-\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K .
$$

Thus, (4.3) can be written as

$$
\bar{\nabla}_{p} K=\frac{1}{2} \circ \bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K \oplus_{p} \frac{1}{2} \circ\left(-\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K\right) .
$$

Similar to the proof of inequality (4.6), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\Omega}_{p}\left(\bar{\nabla}_{p} K\right) \leq \widetilde{\Omega}_{p}\left(\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This yields the left inequality of (1.9).
Similar to the proof of equality in inequality (4.6), we easily know that equality holds in (4.7) if and only if $\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K=\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{-\tau} K$ when $\tau \neq 0$. Hence, if $\tau \neq 0$, using Theorem 3.2 we get that equality holds in the left inequality of (1.9) if and only if $K$ is origin-symmetric.

In order to prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.4, the following lemma is required.

Lemma 4.2 If $K \in S_{o}^{n}, 0<p<1$ and $\tau \in[-1,1]$, then

$$
I_{p}\left(\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K\right)=I_{p} K
$$

Proof From definition (1.10), we may obtain the following polar coordinate form:

$$
\rho\left(I_{p} K, u\right)^{p}=\frac{1}{n-p} \int_{S^{n-1}}|u \cdot v|^{-p} \rho(K, v)^{n-p} d v .
$$

Thus by (1.3) we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho\left(I_{p}\left(\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K\right), u\right)^{p} & =\frac{1}{n-p} \int_{S^{n-1}}|u \cdot v|^{-p} \rho\left(\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K, v\right)^{n-p} d v \\
& =\frac{1}{n-p} \int_{S^{n-1}}|u \cdot v|^{-p}\left[f_{1}(\tau) \rho(K, v)^{n-p}+f_{2}(\tau) \rho(-K, v)^{n-p}\right] d v \\
& =f_{1}(\tau) \rho\left(I_{p} K, u\right)^{p}+f_{2}(\tau) \rho\left(I_{p}(-K), u\right)^{p} . \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

According to (1.10), we easily know $I_{p}(-K)=I_{p} K$, so combining with (4.8) and (1.5), then for any $u \in S^{n-1}$,

$$
\rho\left(I_{p}\left(\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K\right), u\right)^{p}=\rho\left(I_{p} K, u\right)^{p},
$$

i.e.,

$$
I_{p}\left(\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K\right)=I_{p} K .
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.3 Since $K$ is not an origin-symmetric star body, thus from Theorem 1.1, we know that if $\tau \neq \pm 1$, then

$$
V\left(\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K\right)<V(K) .
$$

Choose $\varepsilon>0$ such that $V\left((1+\varepsilon) \bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K\right)<V(K)$. Therefore, let $L=(1+\varepsilon) \bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K$ (for $\tau=0$, $L \in S_{o s}^{n}$; for $\left.\tau \neq 0, L \in S_{o}^{n}\right)$, then

$$
V(L)<V(K)
$$

But from Lemma 4.2, and notice that $I_{p}((1+\varepsilon) K)=(1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{n-p}{p}} I_{p} K$, we can get

$$
I_{p} L=I_{p}\left((1+\varepsilon) \bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} L\right)=(1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{n-p}{p}} I_{p}\left(\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K\right)=(1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{n-p}{p}} I_{p} K \supset I_{p} K .
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.4 Since $K$ is not an origin-symmetric star body, thus by Theorem 1.2, we know that for $\tau \neq \pm 1$,

$$
\widetilde{\Omega}_{p}\left(\bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K\right)<\widetilde{\Omega}_{p}(K)
$$

Choose $\varepsilon>0$ such that $\widetilde{\Omega}_{p}\left((1+\varepsilon) \bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K\right)<\widetilde{\Omega}_{p}(K)$. Therefore, let $L=(1+\varepsilon) \bar{\nabla}_{p}^{\tau} K$, then $L \in S_{o}^{n}$ and

$$
\widetilde{\Omega}_{p}(L)<\widetilde{\Omega}_{p}(K) .
$$

But, similar to the proof of Theorem 1.3, we may obtain $I_{p} L \supset I_{p} K$.
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