Open Access

Complete moment convergence for weighted sums of negatively superadditive dependent random variables

Zhen Xue^{*}, Liangliang Zhang, Yingjie Lei and Zhigang Chen

*Correspondence: mathnuc@126.com School of Science, North University of China, Taiyuan, 030051, P.R. China

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the complete moment convergence for maximal partial sum of negatively superadditive dependent (NSD) random variables under some more general conditions. The results obtained in the paper generalize and improve some known ones.

MSC: 60F15

Keywords: complete moment convergence; negatively superadditive dependent random variables

1 Introduction

Let $\{X_{ni}, 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1\}$ be an array of rowwise random variables defined on a fixed probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) and $\{b_{ni}, 1 \le i \le n, n \ge 1\}$ be an array of real numbers. As we know, the limiting behavior for the maximum of weighted sums $\max_{1 \le m \le n} \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{ni}X_{ni}$ is very useful in many probabilistic derivations and stochastic models. There exist several versions available in the literature for independent random variables with assumption of control on their moments. If the independent case is classical in the literature, the treatment of dependent variables is more recent.

One of the dependence structures that has attracted the interest of probabilists and statisticians is negative association. The concept of negatively associated random variables was introduced by Alam and Saxena [1] and carefully studied by Joag-Dev and Proschan [2].

A finite family of random variables $\{X_i, 1 \le i \le n\}$ is said to be negatively associated (NA, in short) if for every pair of disjoint subsets $A, B \subset \{1, 2, ..., n\}$,

 $\operatorname{Cov}(f(X_i, i \in A), g(X_j, j \in B)) \leq 0,$

whenever f and g are coordinatewise nondecreasing such that this covariance exists. An infinite family of random variables is negatively associated if every finite subfamily is negatively associated.

The next dependence notion is negatively superadditive dependence, which is weaker than negative association. The concept of negatively superadditive dependent random variables was introduced by Hu [3] as follows.

© 2015 Xue et al.; licensee Springer. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited.



Definition 1.1 (*cf.* Kemperman [4]) A function $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is called superadditive if $\phi(\mathbf{x} \lor \mathbf{y}) + \phi(\mathbf{x} \land \mathbf{y}) \ge \phi(\mathbf{x}) + \phi(\mathbf{y})$ for all $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where \lor stands for componentwise maximum and \land stands for componentwise minimum.

Definition 1.2 (*cf.* Hu [3]) A random vector $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$ is said to be negatively superadditive dependent (NSD) if

$$E\phi(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \le E\phi(X_1^*, X_2^*, \dots, X_n^*),$$
(1.1)

where $X_1^*, X_2^*, \ldots, X_n^*$ are independent such that X_i^* and X_i have the same distribution for each *i* and ϕ is a superadditive function such that the expectations in (1.1) exist.

A sequence $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ of random variables is said to be NSD if for all $n \ge 1$, (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) is NSD.

An array $\{X_{ni}, i \ge 1, n \ge 1\}$ of random variables is said to be rowwise NSD if for all $n \ge 1$, $\{X_{ni}, i \ge 1\}$ is NSD.

The concept of NSD random variables was introduced by Hu [3], which was based on the class of superadditive functions. Hu [3] gave an example illustrating that NSD does not imply NA, and he posed an open problem whether NA implies NSD. In addition, Hu [3] provided some basic properties and three structural theorems of NSD. Christofides and Vaggelatou [5] solved this open problem and indicated that NA implies NSD. NSD structure is an extension of negatively associated structure and sometimes more useful than it and can be used to get many important probability inequalities. Eghbal *et al.* [6] derived two maximal inequalities and strong law of large numbers of quadratic forms of NSD random variables under the assumption that $\{X_i, i \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of nonnegative NSD random variables with $EX_i^r < \infty$ for all $i \ge 1$ and some r > 1. Shen *et al.* [7] established the strong limit theorems for NSD random variables. Wang et al. [8] investigated the complete convergence for arrays of rowwise NSD random variables and gave its applications to nonparametric regression model. Wang et al. [9] obtained the complete convergence for weighted sums of NSD random variables and its application in the EV regression model. The main purpose of this work is to further study the complete convergence for weighted sums of arrays of rowwise NSD random variables without identical distribution, which generalizes and improves some known results of random variables.

Definition 1.3 A sequence of random variables $\{U_n, n \ge 1\}$ is said to converge completely to a constant *a* if for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\big(|U_n-a|>\varepsilon\big)<\infty.$$

In this case, we write $U_n \rightarrow a$ completely. This notion was given first by Hsu and Robbins [10].

Definition 1.4 Let $\{Z_n, n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of random variables and $a_n > 0$, $b_n > 0$, q > 0. If

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n E \left\{ b_n^{-1} |Z_n| - \varepsilon \right\}_+^q < \infty \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0,$$

then the above result was called the complete moment convergence by Chow [11].

Let $\{X_{nk}, k \ge 1, n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of NSD random variables, $\{a_n, n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers such that $a_n \uparrow \infty$ and $\{\Psi_k(t), k \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of positive even functions such that

$$\frac{\Psi_k(|t|)}{|t|^q} \uparrow \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\Psi_k(|t|)}{|t|^p} \downarrow \quad \text{as} |t| \uparrow$$
(1.2)

for some $1 \le q < p$ and each $k \ge 1$. In order to prove our results, we mention the following conditions:

$$EX_{nk} = 0, \quad k \ge 1, n \ge 1,$$
 (1.3)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} E \frac{\Psi_k(X_{nk})}{\Psi_k(a_n)} < \infty, \tag{1.4}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} E\left(\frac{X_{nk}}{a_n}\right)^2 \right)^{\nu/2} < \infty,$$
(1.5)

where $\nu \ge p$ is a positive integer.

The following examples of function $\Psi_k(t)$ satisfying assumption (1.2): $\Psi_k(t) = |t|^{\beta}$ for some $q < \beta < p$ or $\Psi_k(t) = |t|^q \log(1 + |t|^{p-q})$ for $t \in (-\infty, +\infty)$. Note that these functions are nonmonotone on $t \in (-\infty, +\infty)$, while it is simple to show that, under condition (1.2), the function $\Psi_k(t)$ is an increasing function for t > 0. In fact, $\Psi_k(t) = \frac{\Psi_k(t)}{|t|^q} \cdot |t|^q$, t > 0, and $|t|^q \uparrow as |t| \uparrow$, then we have $\Psi_k(t) \uparrow$.

Recently Shen *et al.* [7] obtained the following complete convergence for weighted sums of NSD random variables.

Theorem A Let $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of NSD random variables. Assume that $\{g_n(x), n \ge 1\}$ is a sequence of even functions defined of \mathbb{R} , positive and nondecreasing on the half-line x > 0. Suppose that one or the other of the following conditions is satisfied for every $n \ge 1$:

- (i) for some $0 < r \le 1$, $x^r/g_n(x)$ is a nondecreasing function of x on the half-line x > 0;
- (ii) for some $1 < r \le 2$, $x/g_n(x)$ and $g_n(x)/x^r$ are nonincreasing functions of x on the half-line x > 0, $EX_n = 0$.

For any positive sequence $\{a_n, n \ge 1\}$ *with* $a_n \uparrow \infty$ *, if we assume that*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{Eg_n(X_n)}{g_n(a_n)} < \infty, \tag{1.6}$$

then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{X_n}{a_n}$ converges almost surely and therefore $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = 0$, *a.s.*

(2.3)

For more details about this type of complete convergence, one can refer to Wu [12, 13], Gan and Chen [14], Yang [15], Shao [16], Wu [17, 18], Chen and Sung [19], and so on. The purpose of this paper is extending Theorem A to the complete moment convergence, which is a more general version of the complete convergence. In this work, the symbol *C* always stands for a generic positive constant, which may vary from one place to another.

2 Preliminary lemmas

In this section, we give the following lemmas which will be used to prove our main results.

Lemma 2.1 (cf. Hu [3]) If $(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$ is NSD and $g_1, g_2, ..., g_n$ are nondecreasing functions, then $(g_1(X_1), g_2(X_2), ..., g_n(X_n))$ is NSD.

Lemma 2.2 (cf. Wang et al. [8]) Let p > 1. Let $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of NSD random variables with $E|X_i|^p < \infty$ for each $i \ge 1$. Then, for all $n \ge 1$,

$$E\left(\max_{1 \le k \le n} \left|\sum_{i=1}^{k} X_{i}\right|^{p}\right) \le 2^{3-p} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E|X_{i}|^{p} \quad \text{for } 1
(2.1)$$

and

$$E\left(\max_{1\le k\le n}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{k} X_{i}\right|^{p}\right) \le 2\left(\frac{15p}{\ln p}\right)^{p}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} E|X_{i}|^{p} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} EX_{i}^{2}\right)^{p/2}\right] \quad for \ p>2.$$
(2.2)

Lemma 2.3 Let $\{X_{nk}, k \ge 1, n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of NSD random variables, and let $\{a_n, n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers such that $a_n \uparrow \infty$. Also, let $\{\Psi_k(t), k \ge 1\}$ be a positive even function satisfying (1.2) for $1 \le q < p$. Then (1.4) implies the following statements: (i) for $r \ge 1, 0 < u \le q$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{E|X_{nk}|^{u} I(|X_{nk}| > a_n)}{a_n^{u}} \right)^r < \infty;$$

(ii) for $v \ge p$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{E|X_{nk}|^{\nu} I(|X_{nk}| \le a_n)}{a_n^{\nu}} < \infty.$$
(2.4)

Proof From (1.2) and (1.4), we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{E|X_{nk}|^{\mu}I(|X_{nk}| > a_n)}{a_n^{\mu}} \right)^r$$
$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{E|X_{nk}|^q I(|X_{nk}| > a_n)}{a_n^q} \right)^r$$
$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} E \frac{\Psi_k(X_{nk})}{\Psi_k(a_n)} \right)^r$$
$$\leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} E \frac{\Psi_k(X_{nk})}{\Psi_k(a_n)} \right)^r < \infty$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{E|X_{nk}|^{\nu} I(|X_{nk}| \le a_n)}{a_n^{\nu}}$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{E|X_{nk}|^{p} I(|X_{nk}| \le a_n)}{a_n^{p}}$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} E \frac{\Psi_k(X_{nk})}{\Psi_k(a_n)} < \infty,$$

where $r \ge 1$, $0 < u \le q$ and $v \ge p$. The proof is complete.

3 Main results and their proofs

Theorem 3.1 Let $\{X_{nk}, k \ge 1, n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of NSD random variables, and let $\{a_n, n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers such that $a_n \uparrow \infty$. Also, let $\{\Psi_k(t), k \ge 1\}$ be a positive even function satisfying (1.2) for $1 \le q . Then, under conditions (1.3) and (1.4), we have$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-q} E \left\{ \max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^j X_{nk} \right| - \varepsilon a_n \right\}_+^q < \infty, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$
(3.1)

Proof For $n \ge 1$, denote $M_n(X) = \max_{1 \le j \le n} |\sum_{k=1}^j X_{nk}|$. It is easy to check that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-q} E\{M_n(X) - \varepsilon a_n\}_+^q$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-q} \int_0^{\infty} P\{M_n(X) - \varepsilon a_n > t^{1/q}\} dt$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-q} \left(\int_0^{a_n^q} P\{M_n(X) > \varepsilon a_n + t^{1/q}\} dt + \int_{a_n^q}^{\infty} P\{M_n(X) > \varepsilon a_n + t^{1/q}\} dt\right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\{M_n(X) > \varepsilon a_n\} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-q} \int_{a_n^q}^{\infty} P\{M_n(X) > t^{1/q}\} dt \doteq I_1 + I_2.$$

To prove (3.1), it suffices to prove that $I_1 < \infty$ and $I_2 < \infty$. Now let us prove them step by step. Firstly, we prove that $I_1 < \infty$.

For all $n \ge 1$, define

$$X_k^{(n)} = X_{nk}I(|X_{nk}| \le a_n), \qquad T_j^{(n)} = \frac{1}{a_n}\sum_{k=1}^j (X_k^{(n)} - EX_k^{(n)}),$$

then for all $\varepsilon > 0$, it is easy to have

$$P\left(\max_{1\leq j\leq n} \left| \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^{j} X_{nk} \right| > \varepsilon\right)$$

$$\leq P\left(\max_{1\leq j\leq n} |X_{nk}| > a_n\right) + P\left(\max_{1\leq j\leq n} |T_j^{(n)}| > \varepsilon - \max_{1\leq j\leq n} \left| \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^{j} EX_k^{(n)} \right| \right).$$
(3.2)

By (1.2), (1.3), (1.4) and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^{j} EX_k^{(n)} \right|$$

$$= \max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^{j} EX_{nk} I(|X_{nk}| \le a_n) \right|$$

$$= \max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{k=1}^{j} EX_{nk} I(|X_{nk}| > a_n) \right|$$

$$\le \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{E|X_{nk}|I(|X_{nk}| > a_n)}{a_n} \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$
(3.3)

From (3.2) and (3.3), it follows that for *n* large enough,

$$P\left(\max_{1\leq j\leq n}\left|\frac{1}{a_n}\sum_{k=1}^j X_{nk}\right| > \varepsilon\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n P\left(|X_{nk}| > a_n\right) + P\left(\max_{1\leq j\leq n}\left|T_j^{(n)}\right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right).$$

Hence we only need to prove that

$$I \doteq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} P(|X_{nk}| > a_n) < \infty,$$

$$(3.4)$$

$$II \doteq \sum_{n=1} P\left(\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| T_j^{(n)} \right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) < \infty.$$
(3.5)

For *I*, it follows by Lemma 2.3 that

$$I = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} EI(|X_{nk}| > a_n) \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{E|X_{nk}|^q I(|X_{nk}| > a_n)}{a_n^q} < \infty.$$

For *II*, taking $r \ge 2$. Since $p \le 2$, $r \ge p$, we have by Markov's inequality, Lemma 2.1, C_r -inequality, and Lemma 2.3 that

$$\begin{split} II &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{-r} E \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |T_{j}^{(n)}|^{r} \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{-r} \frac{1}{a_{n}^{r}} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} E |X_{k}^{(n)}|^{r} + \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} E |X_{k}^{(n)}|^{2}\right)^{r/2}\right] \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{E |X_{k}^{(n)}|^{r}}{a_{n}^{r}} + C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{E |X_{k}^{(n)}|^{2}}{a_{n}^{2}}\right)^{r/2} \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{E |X_{nk}|^{p} I(|X_{nk}| \leq a_{n})}{a_{n}^{p}} + C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{E |X_{nk}|^{p} I(|X_{nk}| \leq a_{n})}{a_{n}^{p}}\right)^{r/2} \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{E |X_{nk}|^{p} I(|X_{nk}| \leq a_{n})}{a_{n}^{p}} + C \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{E |X_{nk}|^{p} I(|X_{nk}| \leq a_{n})}{a_{n}^{p}}\right)^{r/2} < \infty. \end{split}$$

Next we prove that $I_2 < \infty$. Denote $Y_{nk} = X_{nk}I(|X_{nk}| \le t^{1/q})$, $Z_{nk} = X_{nk} - Y_{nk}$, and $M_n(Y) = \max_{1 \le j \le n} |\sum_{k=1}^{j} Y_{nk}|$. Obviously,

$$P\{M_n(X) > t^{1/q}\} \le \sum_{k=1}^n P\{|X_{nk}| > t^{1/q}\} + P\{M_n(Y) > t^{1/q}\}.$$

Hence,

$$I_{2} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{n}^{-q} \int_{a_{n}^{q}}^{\infty} P\{|X_{nk}| > t^{1/q}\} dt + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{-q} \int_{a_{n}^{q}}^{\infty} P\{M_{n}(Y) > t^{1/q}\} dt$$

$$\doteq I_{3} + I_{4}.$$

For I_3 , by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$I_{3} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{n}^{-q} \int_{a_{n}^{q}}^{\infty} P\{|X_{nk}|I(|X_{nk}| > a_{n}) > t^{1/q}\} dt$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{n}^{-q} \int_{0}^{\infty} P\{|X_{nk}|I(|X_{nk}| > a_{n}) > t^{1/q}\} dt$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{E|X_{nk}|^{q}I(|X_{nk}| > a_{n})}{a_{n}^{q}} < \infty.$$

Now let us prove that $I_4 < \infty$. Firstly, it follows by (1.3) and Lemma 2.3 that

$$\max_{t \ge a_n^q} \max_{1 \le j \le n} t^{-1/q} \left| \sum_{k=1}^j EY_{nk} \right|$$

= $\max_{t \ge a_n^q} \max_{1 \le j \le n} t^{-1/q} \left| \sum_{k=1}^j EZ_{nk} \right|$
 $\le \max_{t \ge a_n^q} t^{-1/q} \sum_{k=1}^n E|X_{nk}| I(|X_{nk}| > t^{1/q})$
 $\le \sum_{k=1}^n a_n^{-1} E|X_{nk}| I(|X_{nk}| > a_n)$
 $\le \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{E|X_{nk}|^q I(|X_{nk}| > a_n)}{a_n^q} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$

Therefore, for *n* sufficiently large,

$$\max_{1\leq j\leq n}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{j} EY_{nk}\right| \leq \frac{t^{1/q}}{2}, \quad t\geq a_n^q.$$

Then, for *n* sufficiently large,

$$P\{M_n(Y) > t^{1/q}\} \le P\left\{\max_{1 \le j \le n} \left|\sum_{k=1}^j (Y_{nk} - EY_{nk})\right| > \frac{t^{1/q}}{2}\right\}, \quad t \ge a_n^q.$$
(3.6)

Let $d_n = [a_n] + 1$. By (3.6), Lemma 2.1, and C_r -inequality, we can see that

$$\begin{split} I_4 &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-q} \int_{a_n^q}^{\infty} t^{-2/q} E\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^j (Y_{nk} - EY_{nk}) \right| \right)^2 dt \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-q} \int_{a_n^q}^{\infty} t^{-2/q} \sum_{k=1}^n E(Y_{nk} - EY_{nk})^2 dt \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n a_n^{-q} \int_{a_n^q}^{\infty} t^{-2/q} EY_{nk}^2 dt \\ &= C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n a_n^{-q} \int_{a_n^q}^{\infty} t^{-2/q} EX_{nk}^2 I(|X_{nk}| \leq d_n) dt \\ &+ C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n a_n^{-q} \int_{d_n^q}^{\infty} t^{-2/q} EX_{nk}^2 I(d_n < |X_{nk}| \leq t^{1/q}) dt \\ &\doteq I_{41} + I_{42}. \end{split}$$

For I_{41} , since q < 2, we have

$$\begin{split} I_{41} &= C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_n^{-q} E X_{nk}^2 I(|X_{nk}| \le d_n) \int_{a_n^q}^{\infty} t^{-2/q} dt \\ &\le C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{E X_{nk}^2 I(|X_{nk}| \le d_n)}{a_n^2} \\ &= C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{E X_{nk}^2 I(|X_{nk}| \le a_n)}{a_n^2} + C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{E X_{nk}^2 I(a_n < |X_{nk}| \le d_n)}{a_n^2} \\ &\doteq I_{41}' + I_{41}''. \end{split}$$

Since $p \le 2$, by Lemma 2.3, it implies $I'_{41} < \infty$. Now we prove that $I''_{41} < \infty$. Since q < 2 and $(a_n + 1)/a_n \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$, by Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\begin{split} I_{41}'' &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{d_n^{2-q}}{a_n^2} E|X_{nk}|^q I(a_n < |X_{nk}| \le d_n) \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\frac{a_n+1}{a_n}\right)^{2-q} \frac{E|X_{nk}|^q I(|X_{nk}| > a_n)}{a_n^q} \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{E|X_{nk}|^q I(|X_{nk}| > a_n)}{a_n^q} < \infty. \end{split}$$

Let $t = u^q$ in I_{42} . Note that for q < 2,

$$\int_{d_n}^{\infty} u^{q-3} E X_{nk}^2 I(d_n < |X_{nk}| \le u) du$$
$$= \int_{d_n}^{\infty} u^{q-3} E X_{nk}^2 I(|X_{nk}| > d_n) \cdot I(|X_{nk}| \le u) du$$

$$= E\left[X_{nk}^2 I(|X_{nk}| > d_n) \int_{|X_{nk}|}^{\infty} u^{q-3} I(|X_{nk}| \le u) du\right]$$
$$= E\left[X_{nk}^2 I(|X_{nk}| > d_n) \int_{|X_{nk}|}^{\infty} u^{q-3} du\right]$$
$$\le CE|X_{nk}|^q I(|X_{nk}| > d_n).$$

Then, by Lemma 2.3 and $d_n > a_n$, we have

$$I_{42} = C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_n^{-q} \int_{d_n}^{\infty} u^{q-3} E X_{nk}^2 I(d_n < |X_{nk}| \le u) du$$
$$\le C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_n^{-q} E |X_{nk}|^q I(|X_{nk}| > a_n) < \infty.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.2 Let $\{X_{nk}, k \ge 1, n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of NSD random variables, and let $\{a_n, n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers such that $a_n \uparrow \infty$. Also, let $\{\Psi_k(t), k \ge 1\}$ be a positive even function satisfying (1.2) for $1 \le q < p$ and p > 2. Then conditions (1.3)-(1.5) imply (3.1).

Proof Following the notation, by a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we can easily prove that $I_1 < \infty$, $I_3 < \infty$, and that (3.2) and (3.3) hold. To complete the proof, we only need to prove that $I_4 < \infty$.

Let $\delta \ge p$ and $d_n = [a_n] + 1$. By (3.6), Markov's inequality, Lemma 2.2, and C_r -inequality, we can get

$$\begin{split} I_{4} &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{-q} \int_{a_{n}^{q}}^{\infty} t^{-\delta/q} E \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{j} (Y_{nk} - EY_{nk}) \right|^{\delta} dt \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{-q} \int_{a_{n}^{q}}^{\infty} t^{-\delta/q} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} E |Y_{nk}|^{\delta} + \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} EY_{nk}^{2} \right)^{\delta/2} \right] dt \\ &= C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{n}^{-q} \int_{a_{n}^{q}}^{\infty} t^{-\delta/q} E |Y_{nk}|^{\delta} dt + C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{-q} \int_{a_{n}^{q}}^{\infty} t^{-\delta/q} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} EY_{nk}^{2} \right)^{\delta/2} dt \\ &\doteq I_{43} + I_{44}. \end{split}$$

For I_{43} , we have

$$\begin{split} I_{43} &= C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_n^{-q} \int_{a_n^q}^{\infty} t^{-\delta/q} E |X_{nk}|^{\delta} I \big(|X_{nk}| \le d_n \big) \, dt \\ &+ C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_n^{-q} \int_{d_n^q}^{\infty} t^{-\delta/q} E |X_{nk}|^{\delta} I \big(d_n < |X_{nk}| \le t^{1/q} \big) \, dt \\ &\doteq I_{43}' + I_{43}''. \end{split}$$

By a similar argument as in the proof of $I_{41} < \infty$ and $I_{42} < \infty$ (replacing the exponent 2 by δ), we can get $I'_{43} < \infty$, $I''_{43} < \infty$.

For I_{44} , since $\delta > 2$, we can see that

$$I_{44} = C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-q} \int_{a_n^q}^{\infty} t^{-\delta/q} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n E X_{nk}^2 I(|X_{nk}| \le a_n) + \sum_{k=1}^n E X_{nk}^2 I(a_n < |X_{nk}| \le t^{1/q}) \right)^{\delta/2} dt$$

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-q} \int_{a_n^q}^{\infty} t^{-\delta/q} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n E X_{nk}^2 I(|X_{nk}| \le a_n) \right)^{\delta/2} dt$$

$$+ C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-q} \int_{a_n^q}^{\infty} \left(t^{-2/q} \sum_{k=1}^n E X_{nk}^2 I(a_n < |X_{nk}| \le t^{1/q}) \right)^{\delta/2} dt$$

$$\doteq I_{44}' + I_{44}''.$$

Since $\delta \ge p > q$, from (1.4) we have

$$I'_{44} = C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-q} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n E X_{nk}^2 I(|X_{nk}| \le a_n) \right)^{\delta/2} \int_{a_n^q}^{\infty} t^{-\delta/q} dt$$
$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{E X_{nk}^2 I(|X_{nk}| \le a_n)}{a_n^2} \right)^{\delta/2}$$
$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{E X_{nk}^2}{a_n^2} \right)^{\delta/2} < \infty.$$

Next we prove that $I''_{44} < \infty$. To start with, we consider the case $1 \le q \le 2$. Since $\delta > 2$, by Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\begin{split} I_{44}'' &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-q} \int_{a_n^q}^{\infty} \left(t^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n E|X_{nk}|^q I(a_n < |X_{nk}| \le t^{1/q}) \right)^{\delta/2} dt \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-q} \int_{a_n^q}^{\infty} \left(t^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n E|X_{nk}|^q I(|X_{nk}| > a_n) \right)^{\delta/2} dt \\ &= C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-q} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n E|X_{nk}|^q I(|X_{nk}| > a_n) \right)^{\delta/2} \int_{a_n^q}^{\infty} t^{-\delta/2} dt \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{E|X_{nk}|^q I(|X_{nk}| > a_n)}{a_n^q} \right)^{\delta/2} < \infty. \end{split}$$

Finally, we prove that $I_{44}'' < \infty$ in the case 2 < q < p. Since $\delta > q$ and $\delta > 2$, we have by Lemma 2.3 that

$$I_{44}'' \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-q} \int_{a_n^q}^{\infty} \left(t^{-2/q} \sum_{k=1}^n E X_{nk}^2 I(|X_{nk}| > a_n) \right)^{\delta/2} dt$$
$$= C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-q} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n E X_{nk}^2 I(|X_{nk}| > a_n) \right)^{\delta/2} \int_{a_n^q}^{\infty} t^{-\delta/q} dt$$

 \Box

$$\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{E X_{nk}^2 I(|X_{nk}| > a_n)}{a_n^2} \right)^{\delta/2}$$

< ∞ .

Thus we get the desired result immediately. The proof is completed.

Corollary 3.1 Let $\{X_{nk}, k \ge 1, n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of NSD random variables with mean zero. If for some $\alpha > 0$ and $\nu \ge 2$,

$$\max_{1 \le k \le n} E|X_{nk}|^{\nu} = O(n^{\alpha}), \tag{3.7}$$

where $\frac{\nu}{q} - \alpha > \max{\{\frac{\nu}{2}, 2\}}, \nu \ge 2$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} E \left\{ \max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{j} X_{nk} \right| - \varepsilon n^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\}_{+}^{q} < \infty.$$
(3.8)

Proof Put $\Psi_k(|t|) = |t|^{\nu}$, $p = \nu + \delta$, $\delta > 0$, $a_n = n^{1/q}$. Since $\nu \ge 2$, $\frac{\nu}{q} - \alpha > \max\{\frac{\nu}{r}, 2\}$, then

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\Psi_k(|t|)}{|t|^q} = |t|^{\nu-q} \uparrow, \\ & \frac{\Psi_k(|t|)}{|t|^p} = \frac{|t|^\nu}{|t|^p} = \frac{1}{|t|^\delta} \downarrow \quad \text{ as } |t| \uparrow \infty. \end{split}$$

It follows by (3.7) and $\frac{v}{q} - \alpha > 2$ that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{E\Psi_k(X_{nk})}{\Psi_k(a_n)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{E|X_{nk}|^{\nu}}{n^{\frac{\nu}{q}}} \le C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{\nu}{q}-\alpha-1}} < \infty.$$
(3.9)

Since $\nu \ge 2$, by Jensen's inequality it follows that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{E|X_k|^2}{n^{\frac{2}{q}}} \le \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(E|X_k|^{\nu})^{\frac{2}{\nu}}}{n^{\frac{2}{q}}} \le C \frac{1}{n^{\frac{2}{q} - \frac{2\alpha}{\nu} - 1}}$$

Clearly $\frac{2}{q} - \frac{2\alpha}{\nu} - 1 > 0$. Take s > p such that $\frac{s}{2}(\frac{2}{q} - \frac{2\alpha}{\nu} - 1) > 1$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{E|X_k|^2}{n^{\frac{2}{q}}} \right]^{s/2} < \infty.$$
(3.10)

Combining Theorem 3.2 and (3.9) and (3.10), we can prove Corollary 3.1 immediately. $\hfill \Box$

Remark 3.1 Noting that, in this paper we consider the case $1 \le q \le p$, which is a wider scope than the case q = 1 in Shen *et al.* [7]. In addition, compared with NSD random variables, the arrays of NSD random variables not only have many related properties, but they also have a wide range of application. So it is very significant to study it.

Remark 3.2 Under the condition of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\infty > \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-q} E \left\{ \max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{j} X_{nk} \right| - \varepsilon a_n \right\}_+^q$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-q} \int_0^{\infty} P \left\{ \max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{j} X_{nk} \right| - \varepsilon a_n > t^{1/q} \right\} dt$$
$$\geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{-q} \int_0^{\varepsilon^q a_n^q} P \left\{ \max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{j} X_{nk} \right| - \varepsilon a_n > \varepsilon a_n \right\} dt$$
$$= \varepsilon^q \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P \left\{ \max_{1 \le j \le n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{j} X_{nk} \right| > 2\varepsilon a_n \right\}.$$

Then $\frac{1}{a_n} \max_{1 \le j \le n} |\sum_{k=1}^j X_{nk}| \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0$, the result of Theorem A is obtained directly. So the result of Theorem 3.1 implies Theorem A, it generalizes the corresponding result of Theorem A.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank the referee very much for valuable suggestions and comments which improved the paper. It is with great pleasure that we express to him our sincere thanks.

Received: 16 December 2014 Accepted: 18 March 2015 Published online: 01 April 2015

References

- 1. Alam, K, Saxena, KML: Positive dependence in multivariate distributions. Commun. Stat., Theory Methods 10, 1183-1196 (1981)
- 2. Joag-Dev, K, Proschan, F: Negative association of random variables with applications. Ann. Stat. 11(1), 286-295 (1983)
- 3. Hu, TZ: Negatively superadditive dependence of random variables with applications. Chinese J. Appl. Probab. Statist. 16, 133-144 (2000)
- Kemperman, JHB: On the FKG-inequalities for measures on a partially ordered space. Proc. K. Ned. Akad. Wet., Ser. A, Indag. Math. 80, 313-331 (1977)
- 5. Christofides, TC, Vaggelatou, E: A connection between supermodular ordering and positive/negative association. J. Multivar. Anal. 88, 138-151 (2004)
- 6. Eghbal, N, Amini, M, Bozorgnia, A: Some maximal inequalities for quadratic forms of negative superadditive dependence random variables. Stat. Probab. Lett. **80**, 587-591 (2010)
- Shen, Y, Wang, XJ, Yang, WZ, Hu, SH: Almost sure convergence theorem and strong stability for weighted sums of NSD random variables. Acta Math. Sin. Engl. Ser. 29(4), 743-756 (2013)
- Wang, XJ, Deng, X, Zheng, LL, Hu, SH: Complete convergence for arrays of rowwise negatively superadditive dependent random variables and its applications. Statistics 48(4), 834-850 (2014)
- Wang, XJ, Shen, AT, Chen, ZY, Hu, SH: Complete convergence for weighted sums of NSD random variables and its application in the EV regression model. TEST 24, 166-184 (2015)
- Hsu, PL, Robbins, H: Complete convergence and the law of large numbers. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 33(2), 25-31 (1947)
- Chow, YS: On the rate of moment complete convergence of sample sums and extremes. Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sin. 16, 177-201 (1988)
- 12. Wu, QY: A complete convergence theorem for weighted sums of arrays of rowwise negatively dependent random variables. J. Inequal. Appl. **2012**, Article ID 50 (2012)
- 13. Wu, QY: Probability Limit Theory for Mixing Sequences. Science Press of China, Beijing (2006)
- 14. Gan, SX, Chen, PY: Some limit theorems for sequences of pairwise NQD random variables. Acta Math. Sci. 28(2), 269-281 (2008)
- Yang, SC: Maximal moment inequality for partial sum of strong mixing sequences and application. Acta Math. Sin. Engl. Ser. 23(6), 1013-1024 (2007)
- Shao, QM: Almost sure invariance principles for mixing sequences of random variables. Stoch. Process. Appl. 48(2), 319-334 (1993)
- 17. Wu, YF: Limiting behavior for arrays of rowwise ρ^* -mixing random variables. Lith. Math. J. 52, 214-221 (2012)

- Wu, YF: On limiting behavior for arrays of rowwise negatively orthant dependent random variables. J. Korean Stat. Soc. 42, 61-70 (2013)
- Chen, PY, Sung, SH: On the strong convergence for weighted sums of negatively associated random variables. Stat. Probab. Lett. 92, 45-52 (2014)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[⊗] journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com