RESEARCH

Open Access

On the (p, h)-convex function and some integral inequalities

Zhong Bo Fang^{*} and Renjie Shi

*Correspondence: fangzb7777@hotmail.com School of Mathematical Sciences, Ocean University of China, Qingdao, 266100, P.R. China

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce a new class of (p, h)-convex functions which generalize *P*-functions and convex, *h*, *p*, *s*-convex, Godunova-Levin functions, and we give some properties of the functions. Moreover, we establish the corresponding Schur, Jensen, and Hadamard types of inequalities. **MSC:** 35K65; 35B33; 35B40

Keywords: (*p*, *h*)-convex function; Schur-type inequality; Jensen-type inequality; Hadamard-type inequality

1 Introduction

Let *I* and *J* be intervals in *R*. To motivate our work, let us recall the definitions of some special classes of functions.

Definition 1 [1] A function $f : I \to R$ is said to be a Godunova-Levin function or belongs to the class Q(I) if f is non-negative and

$$f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \le \frac{f(x)}{\alpha} + \frac{f(y)}{1 - \alpha}$$

for all $x, y \in I$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

The class Q(I) was firstly described in [1] by Godunova and Levin. Some further properties of it are given in [2, 3]. It has been known that non-negative convex and monotone functions belong to this class of functions.

Definition 2 [4] Let $s \in (0,1)$ be a fixed real number. A function $f : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ is said to be an *s*-convex function (in the second sense) or belongs to the class K_s^2 , if

$$f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \le \alpha^s f(x) + (1 - \alpha)^s f(y)$$

for all $x, y \in I$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

An *s*-convex function was introduced by Breckner [4] and a number of properties and connections with *s*-convexity (in the first sense) were discussed in [5]. Of course, *s*-convexity means just convexity when s = 1.

©2014 Fang and Shi; licensee Springer. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Definition 3 [2] A function $f : I \to R$ is said to be a *P*-function or belongs to the class P(I), if f is non-negative and

$$f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$$

for all $x, y \in I$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

For some results on the class P(I), see [6, 7].

Definition 4 [8] Let *I* be a *p*-convex set. A function $f : I \to R$ is said to be a *p*-convex function or belongs to the class PC(I), if

$$f\left(\left[\alpha x^p + (1-\alpha)y^p\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \le \alpha f(x) + (1-\alpha)f(y)$$

for all $x, y \in I$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

Remark 1 [8] An interval *I* is said to be a *p*-convex set if $[\alpha x^p + (1 - \alpha)y^p]^{\frac{1}{p}} \in I$ for all $x, y \in I$ and $\alpha \in [0,1]$, where p = 2k + 1 or $p = \frac{n}{m}$, n = 2r + 1, m = 2t + 1, and $k, r, t \in N$.

Definition 5 [9] Let $h: J \to R$ be a non-negative and non-zero function. We say that $f: I \to R$ is an *h*-convex function or that *f* belongs to the class *SX*(*I*), if *f* is non-negative and

$$f(\alpha x + (1-\alpha)y) \le h(\alpha)f(x) + h(1-\alpha)f(y)$$

for all $x, y \in I$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

The h- and p-convex functions were introduced by Varšanec, Zhang and Wan, and a number of properties and Jensen's inequalities of the functions were established (*cf.* [8]). As one can see, the definitions of the *P*-function, convex, h, p, s-convex, Godunova-Levin functions have similar forms. This observation leads us to generalize these varieties of convexity.

2 Definitions and basic results

In this section, we give new definitions and properties of the (p, h)-convex function. Throughout this paper, we assume that $(0,1) \subseteq J$, f and h are real non-negative functions defined on I and J, respectively, and the set I is p-convex when $f \in ghx(p, h, I)$ or $f \in ghv(p, h, I)$. We first give a definition of the new class of convex functions.

Definition 6 Let $h: J \to R$ be a non-negative and non-zero function. We say that $f: I \to R$ is a (p, h)-convex function or that f belongs to the class ghx(h, p, I), if f is non-negative and

$$f\left(\left[\alpha x^{p} + (1-\alpha)y^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \le h(\alpha)f(x) + h(1-\alpha)f(y)$$

$$(2.1)$$

for all $x, y \in I$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Similarly, if the inequality sign in (2.1) is reversed, then f is said to be a (p, h)-concave function or belong to the class ghv(h, p, I).

Remark 2 It can be obviously seen that if $h(\alpha) = \alpha$, then all non-negative *p*-convex and *p*-concave functions belong to ghx(h, p, I) and ghv(h, p, I), respectively; if $h(\alpha) = \alpha$ and p = 1, then all non-negative convex functions belong to ghx(h, p, I); if $h(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\alpha}$ and p = 1, then Q(I) = ghx(h, p, I); if $h(\alpha) = \alpha^s$, $s \in (0, 1)$, and p = 1, then $K_s^2 \subseteq ghx(h, p, I)$; if $h(\alpha) = 1$ and p = 1, then $P(I) \subseteq ghx(h, p, I)$, and if p = 1, then $SX(I) \subseteq ghx(h, p, I)$.

Example 1 Let $h_k(\alpha) = \alpha^k$, where $k \le 1$ and $\alpha > 0$. If f is a function defined as $f(x) = x^p$, where p is an odd number and $x \ge 0$, we then have

$$f\left(\left[\alpha x^{p}+(1-\alpha)y^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \leq \alpha f(x)+(1-\alpha)f(y) \leq h_{k}(\alpha)f(x)+h_{k}(1-\alpha)f(y),$$

and hence, *f* belongs to $ghx(h_k, p, I)$.

Next, we discuss some interesting properties of (p, h)-convex (concave) functions, which include linearity, product, composition properties, and an ordered property of h and p. In addition, we give some interesting properties of the (p, h)-convex function, when h is a super(sub)-multiplicative function.

Property 1 If $f, g \in ghx(h, p, I)$ and $\lambda > 0$, then $f + g, \lambda f \in ghx(h, p, I)$. Similarly, if $f, g \in ghv(h, p, I)$ and $\lambda > 0$, then $f + g, \lambda f \in ghv(h, p, I)$.

Proof The proof immediately follows from the definitions of the classes ghx(h, p, I) and ghv(h, p, I).

Property 2 Let h_1 and h_2 be non-negative functions defined on an interval J with $h_2 \leq h_1$ in (0,1). If $f \in ghx(h_2, p, I)$, then $f \in ghx(h_1, p, I)$. Similarly, if $f \in ghv(h_1, p, I)$, then $f \in ghv(h_2, p, I)$.

Proof If $f \in ghx(h_2, p, I)$, then for any $x, y \in I$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$f\left(\left[\alpha x^{p}+(1-\alpha)y^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \leq h_{2}(\alpha)f(x)+h_{2}(1-\alpha)f(y)$$
$$\leq h_{1}(\alpha)f(x)+h_{1}(1-\alpha)f(y),$$

and hence, $f \in ghx(h_1, p, I)$.

Property 3 Let $f \in ghx(h, p_1, I)$.

- (a) For $I \subseteq (0,1]$, if f is monotone increasing (monotone decreasing), and $p_2 \ge p_1 > 0$ or $p_2 \le p_1 < 0$, and $(p_1 \ge p_2 > 0 \text{ or } p_1 \le p_2 < 0)$, then $f \in ghx(h, p_2, I)$.
- (b) For $I \subseteq [1, \infty)$, if f is monotone increasing (monotone decreasing), and $p_1 \ge p_2 > 0$ or $p_1 \le p_2 < 0$, and $(p_2 \ge p_1 > 0 \text{ or } p_2 \le p_1 < 0)$, then $f \in ghx(h, p_2, I)$.

Let $f \in ghv(h, p_1, I)$.

- (c) For $I \subseteq (0,1]$, if f is monotone increasing (monotone decreasing), and $p_1 \ge p_2 > 0$ or $p_1 \le p_2 < 0$, and $(p_2 \ge p_1 > 0 \text{ or } p_2 \le p_1 < 0)$, then $f \in ghv(h, p_2, I)$.
- (d) For $I \subseteq [1, \infty)$, if f is monotone increasing (monotone decreasing), and $p_2 \ge p_1 > 0$ or $p_2 \le p_1 < 0$, and $(p_1 \ge p_2 > 0 \text{ or } p_1 \le p_2 < 0)$, then $f \in ghv(h, p_2, I)$.

Proof (a) Setting $g(p) = (\alpha x^p + (1 - \alpha)y^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$, we have

$$g'(p) = \frac{1}{p} (\alpha x^p + (1-\alpha)y^p)^{\frac{1}{p}-1} (\alpha x^p \ln(x) + (1-\alpha)y^p \ln(y)).$$

When p > 0 and $x, y \in (0, 1]$, we have g'(p) < 0, and so $g(p_2) \le g(p_1)$. We then obtain

$$f(g(p_2)) \leq f(g(p_1)) \leq h(\alpha)f(x) + (1-\alpha)f(y),$$

since *f* is monotone increasing and $f \in ghx(h, p_1, I)$. Therefore, we get $f \in ghx(h, p_2, I)$. The results of (b), (c), and (d) follow by similar arguments as above.

Property 4 Let f and g be similarly ordered functions on I, i.e.,

$$(f(x) - f(y))(g(x) - g(y)) \ge 0$$
 (2.2)

for all $x, y \in I$. If $f \in ghx(h_1, p, I)$, $g \in ghx(h_2, p, I)$, and $h(\alpha) + h(1 - \alpha) \leq c$ for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, where $h(t) = \max(h_1(t), h_2(t))$ and c is a fixed positive number, then the product fg belongs to ghx(ch, p, I). Similarly, let f and g be oppositely ordered, i.e.,

 $(f(x) - f(y))(g(x) - g(y)) \le 0$

for all $x, y \in I$. If $f \in ghv(h_1, p, I)$, $g \in ghv(h_2, p, I)$, and $h(\alpha) + h(1 - \alpha) \ge c$ for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, where $h(t) = \min(h_1(t), h_2(t))$ and c is a fixed positive number, then the product fg belongs to ghv(ch, p, I).

Proof We only give a proof for the first part, since the result of the second part of this theorem follows by a similar argument. By (2.2), we have

$$f(x)g(x) + f(y)g(y) \ge f(x)g(y) + f(y)g(x).$$

Let α and β be positive numbers such that $\alpha + \beta = 1$. We then obtain

$$\begin{split} fg\big(\big[\alpha x^p + \beta y^p\big]^{\frac{1}{p}}\big) &\leq \big(h_1(\alpha)f(x) + h_1(\beta)f(y)\big)\big(h_2(\alpha)g(x) + h_2(\beta)g(y)\big) \\ &\leq h^2(\alpha)fg(x) + h(\alpha)h(\beta)f(x)g(y) + h(\alpha)h(\beta)f(y)g(x) + h^2(\beta)fg(y) \\ &\leq h^2(\alpha)fg(x) + h(\alpha)h(\beta)f(x)g(x) + h(\alpha)h(\beta)f(y)g(y) + h^2(\beta)fg(y) \\ &= \big(h(\alpha) + h(\beta)\big)\big(h(\alpha)fg(x) + h(\beta)fg(y)\big) \\ &\leq ch(\alpha)fg(x) + ch(\beta)fg(y), \end{split}$$

which completes the proof.

Definition 7 [9] A function $h: I \rightarrow R$ is called a super-multiplicative function if

$$h(xy) \ge h(x)h(y) \tag{2.3}$$

for all $x, y \in J$.

If the inequality sign in (2.3) is reversed, then *h* is said to be a sub-multiplicative function, and if the equality holds in (2.3), then *h* is called a multiplicative function.

Example 2 Let $h(x) = ce^x$. If c = 1, then h is a multiplicative function. If c > 1, then h is a sub-multiplicative function, and if 0 < c < 1, then h is a super-multiplicative function.

Property 5 *Let I be an interval such that* $0 \in I$ *. We then have the following.*

(a) If $f \in ghx(h, p, I)$, f(0) = 0, and h is super-multiplicative, then the inequality

$$f\left(\left[\alpha x^{p} + \beta y^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \le h(\alpha)f(x) + h(\beta)f(y)$$
(2.4)

holds for all $x, y \in I$ and all $\alpha, \beta > 0$ such that $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$.

- (b) Let h be a non-negative function with h(α) < ¹/₂ for some α ∈ (0, ¹/₂). If f is a non-negative function satisfying (2.4) for all x, y ∈ I and all α, β > 0 with α + β ≤ 1, then f(0) = 0.
- (c) If $f \in ghv(h, p, I)$, f(0) = 0, and h is sub-multiplicative, then the inequality

$$f\left(\left[\alpha x^{p} + \beta y^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \ge h(\alpha)f(x) + h(\beta)f(y)$$
(2.5)

holds for all $x, y \in I$ and all $\alpha, \beta > 0$ such that $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$.

(d) Let h be a non-negative function with h(α) > ¹/₂ for some α ∈ (0, ¹/₂). If f is a non-negative function satisfying (2.5) for all x, y ∈ I and all α, β > 0 with α + β ≤ 1, then f(0) = 0.

Proof (a) Let α , $\beta > 0$, $\alpha + \beta = \gamma < 1$, and let a and b be numbers such that $a = \frac{\alpha}{\gamma}$ and $b = \frac{\beta}{\gamma}$. We then have a + b = 1 and

$$\begin{split} f\left(\left[\alpha x^{p}+\beta y^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) &= f\left(\left[a\gamma x^{p}+b\gamma y^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \\ &\leq h(a)f\left(\gamma^{\frac{1}{p}}x\right)+h(b)f\left(\gamma^{\frac{1}{p}}y\right) \\ &= h(a)f\left(\left[\gamma x^{p}+(1-\gamma)0^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)+h(b)f\left(\left[\gamma y^{p}+(1-\gamma)0^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \\ &\leq h(a)h(\gamma)f(x)+h(a)h(1-\gamma)f(0) \\ &+ h(b)h(\gamma)f(y)+h(b)h(1-\gamma)f(0) \\ &= h(a)h(\gamma)f(x)+h(b)h(\gamma)f(y) \\ &\leq h(a\gamma)f(x)+h(b\gamma)f(y)=h(\alpha)f(x)+h(\beta)f(y). \end{split}$$

(b) If $f(0) \neq 0$, then f(0) > 0. Setting x = y = 0 in (2.4), we get

 $f(0) \le h(\alpha)f(0) + h(\beta)f(0).$

1

By setting $\alpha = \beta$, where $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, and dividing both sides of the inequality above by f(0), we obtain $2h(\alpha) \ge 1$ for all $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, which is a contradiction to the assumption $h(\alpha) < \frac{1}{2}$ for some $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, and so f(0) = 0.

The results of (c) and (d) follow by using similar arguments as above, and so we omit the proofs here. $\hfill \Box$

 \square

Corollary 1 Let $h_s(x) = x^s$, where s, x > 0, and let $0 \in I$. For all $f \in ghx(h_s, p, I)$, inequality (2.4) holds for all $\alpha, \beta > 0$ with $\alpha + \beta \le 1$ if and only if f(0) = 0. For all $f \in ghv(h_s, p, I)$, inequality (2.5) holds for all $\alpha, \beta > 0$ with $\alpha + \beta \le 1$ if and only if f(0) = 0.

Proof Let α , $\beta > 0$, $\alpha + \beta = \gamma < 1$, and let *a* and *b* be positive numbers such that $a = \frac{\alpha}{\gamma}$ and $b = \frac{\beta}{\gamma}$. We then have a + b = 1 and

$$\begin{split} f\left(\left[\alpha x^{p}+\beta y^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) &= f\left(\left[a\gamma x^{p}+b\gamma y^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \\ &\leq a^{s}f\left(\gamma^{\frac{1}{p}}x\right)+b^{s}f\left(\gamma^{\frac{1}{p}}y\right) \\ &= a^{s}f\left(\left[\gamma x^{p}+(1-\gamma)0^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)+b^{s}f\left(\left[\gamma y^{p}+(1-\gamma)0^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \\ &\leq a^{s}\gamma^{s}f(x)+a^{s}(1-\gamma)^{s}f(0)+b^{s}\gamma^{s}f(y)+b^{s}(1-\gamma)^{s}f(0) \\ &= a^{s}\gamma^{s}f(x)+b^{s}\gamma^{s}f(y) \\ &= \alpha^{s}f(x)+\beta^{s}f(y). \end{split}$$

Setting $x = y = \alpha = \beta = 0$ in (2.4), we get $f(0) \le 0$, while $f(0) \ge 0$ by the definition of the (p, h)-convex function, and hence f(0) = 0.

Property 6 Suppose that $h_i: J_i \to (0, \infty)$, i = 1, 2, are functions such that $h_2(J_2) \subseteq J_1$ and $h_2(\alpha) + h_2(1-\alpha) \leq 1$ for all $\alpha \in (0,1)$, and that $f: I_1 \to [0,\infty)$ and $g: I_2 \to [0,\infty)$ are functions with $g(I_2) \subseteq I_1$, $0 \in I_1$, and f(0) = 0.

If h_1 is a super-multiplicative function, $f \in SX(h_1, I_1)$, and f is increasing (decreasing) and $g \in ghx(h_2, p, I_2)$ ($g \in ghv(h_2, p, I_2)$), then the composite function $f \circ g$ belongs to $ghx(h_1 \circ h_2, p, I_2)$. If h_1 is a sub-multiplicative function, $f \in SV(h_1, I_1)$, and f is increasing (decreasing) and $g \in ghv(h_2, p, I_2)$ ($g \in ghx(h_2, p, I_2)$), then the composite function $f \circ g$ belongs to glow ($h_1 \circ h_2, p, I_2$).

Proof If $g \in ghx(h_2, p, I_2)$ and f is an increasing function, then we have

$$(f \circ g)\left(\left[\alpha x^{p} + (1-\alpha)y^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \leq f\left(h_{2}(\alpha)g(x) + h_{2}(1-\alpha)g(y)\right)$$

for all $x, y \in I_2$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Using Property 5(a) with p = 1, we obtain

$$f(h_2(\alpha)g(x)+h_2(1-\alpha)g(y)) \leq h_1(h_2(\alpha))f(g(x))+h_1(h_2(1-\alpha))f(g(y)),$$

which implies that $f \circ g$ belongs to $ghx(h_1 \circ h_2, p, I_2)$.

If f is a convex or concave function, then we may give a similar statement on the composite function of f and g.

Property 7 Let $f: I_1 \to [0, \infty)$ and $g: I_2 \to [0, \infty)$ be functions with $g(I_2) \subseteq I_1$. If the function f is convex and increasing (decreasing), and $g \in ghx(h, p, I_2)$ ($g \in ghv(h, p, I_2)$) with $h(\alpha) + h(1 - \alpha) = 1$ for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, then $f \circ g$ belongs to $ghx(h, p, I_2)$. If the function f is concave and increasing (decreasing), and $g \in ghv(h, p, I_2)$ ($g \in ghx(h, p, I_2)$) with $h(\alpha) + h(1 - \alpha) = 1$ for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, then $f \circ g$ belongs to $ghv(h, p, I_2)$.

Proof If $g \in ghx(h, p, I_2)$ and f is an increasing function, we then have

$$(f \circ g)\left(\left[\alpha x^{p} + (1-\alpha)y^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \leq f\left(h(\alpha)g(x) + h(1-\alpha)g(y)\right)$$

for all $x, y \in I_2$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Since $h(\alpha) + h(1 - \alpha) = 1$ and f is convex, we obtain

$$f(h(\alpha)g(x) + h(1-\alpha)g(y)) \le h(\alpha)f(g(x)) + h(1-\alpha)f(g(y)),$$

which implies that $f \circ g$ belongs to $ghx(h, p, I_2)$.

3 Schur-type inequalities

In this section, we establish Schur-type inequalities of (p, h)-convex functions.

Theorem 1 Let $h: J \to R$ be a non-negative super-multiplicative function and let $f: I \to R$ be a function such that $f \in ghx(h, p, I)$. Then for all $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in I$ such that $x_1 < x_2 < x_3$ and $x_3^p - x_1^p, x_3^p - x_2^p, x_2^p - x_1^p \in J$, the following inequality holds:

$$h(x_3^p - x_2^p)f(x_1) - h(x_3^p - x_1^p)f(x_2) + h(x_2^p - x_1^p)f(x_3) \ge 0.$$
(3.1)

If the function h is sub-multiplicative and $f \in ghv(h, p, I)$, then the inequality sign in (3.1) is reversed.

Proof Let $f \in ghx(h, p, I)$ and let $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in I$ be the numbers stated in this theorem. Then one can easily see that

$$\frac{x_3^p - x_2^p}{x_3^p - x_1^p}, \frac{x_2^p - x_1^p}{x_3^p - x_1^p} \in (0, 1) \subseteq J \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{x_3^p - x_2^p}{x_3^p - x_1^p} + \frac{x_2^p - x_1^p}{x_3^p - x_1^p} = 1.$$

We also have

$$h(x_3^p - x_2^p) = h\left(\frac{x_3^p - x_2^p}{x_3^p - x_1^p}(x_3^p - x_1^p)\right) \ge h\left(\frac{x_3^p - x_2^p}{x_3^p - x_1^p}\right)h(x_3^p - x_1^p)$$

and

$$hig(x_2^p-x_1^pig) \geq higg(rac{x_2^p-x_1^p}{x_3^p-x_1^p}igg)hig(x_3^p-x_1^pig).$$

Setting $\alpha = \frac{x_3^p - x_2^p}{x_3^p - x_1^p}$, $x = x_1$, and $y = x_3$ in (2.1), we have $x_2^p = \alpha x^p + (1 - \alpha)y^p$ and

$$f(x_2) \le h\left(\frac{x_3^p - x_2^p}{x_3^p - x_1^p}\right) f(x_1) + h\left(\frac{x_2^p - x_1^p}{x_3^p - x_1^p}\right) f(x_3)$$

$$\le \frac{h(x_3^p - x_2^p)}{h(x_3^p - x_1^p)} f(x_1) + \frac{h(x_2^p - x_1^p)}{h(x_3^p - x_1^p)} f(x_3).$$
(3.2)

Assuming $h(x_3^p - x_1^p) > 0$ and multiplying both sides of the inequality above by $h(x_3^p - x_1^p)$, we obtain inequality (3.1).

Remark 3 In fact, if $f(x) = x^{\lambda}$, $\lambda \in R$, $h(x) = h_{-1}(x) = \frac{1}{x}$, p = 1, and $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in I = (0, 1)$, then inequality (3.1) gives the Schur inequality, see [10, p.177].

The following corollary gives a Schur-type inequality for the (p, h)-convex function.

Corollary 2 If $f: I = (0,1) \rightarrow I$ belongs to the class $ghx(h_{-k}, p, I)$ and $h_{-k} = \frac{1}{x^k}$, then we have the inequality

$$f(x_1)(x_3^p - x_1^p)^k (x_2^p - x_1^p)^k - f(x_2)(x_3^p - x_2^p)^k (x_2^p - x_1^p)^k + f(x_3)(x_3^p - x_1^p)^k (x_3^p - x_2^p)^k \ge 0$$
(3.3)

for all $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in I$ with $x_1 < x_2 < x_3$. If $f \in ghv(h_{-k}, p, I)$, then the inequality sign in (3.3) is reversed. If k = 1, p = 1, and $f(x) = x^{\lambda}, \lambda \in R$, then $f \in ghx(h_{-1}, 1, I)$ and inequality (3.3) gives the Schur inequality.

4 Jensen-type inequalities

In this section, we introduce some Jensen-type inequalities of (p, h)-convex functions.

Theorem 2 Let $w_1, ..., w_n$ be positive real numbers with $n \ge 2$. If h is a non-negative supermultiplicative function and if $f \in ghx(h, p, I)$ and $x_1, ..., x_n \in I$, then we have the inequality

$$f\left(\left[\frac{1}{W_n}\sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i^p\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \le \sum_{i=1}^n h\left(\frac{w_i}{W_n}\right) f(x_i), \quad \text{where } W_n = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i.$$

$$(4.1)$$

If h is sub-multiplicative and $f \in ghv(h, p, I)$, then the inequality sign in (4.1) is reversed.

Proof When n = 2, inequality (4.1) holds by (2.1) with $\alpha = \frac{w_1}{W_2}$. Assuming inequality (4.1) holds for n - 1, we obtain

$$\begin{split} f\left(\left[\frac{1}{W_n}\sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i^p\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) &= f\left(\left[\frac{w_n}{W_n}x_n^p + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\frac{w_i}{W_n}x_i^p\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \\ &= f\left(\left[\frac{w_n}{W_n}x_n^p + \frac{W_{n-1}}{W_n}\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\frac{w_i}{W_{n-1}}x_i^p\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \\ &\leq h\left(\frac{w_n}{W_n}\right)f(x_n) + h\left(\frac{W_{n-1}}{W_n}\right)f\left(\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\frac{w_i}{W_{n-1}}x_i^p\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \\ &\leq h\left(\frac{w_n}{W_n}\right)f(x_n) + h\left(\frac{W_{n-1}}{W_n}\right)\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}h\left(\frac{w_i}{W_{n-1}}\right)f(x_i) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n h\left(\frac{w_i}{W_n}\right)f(x_i), \end{split}$$

and, hence, the result follows by mathematical induction.

Remark 4 For $h(\alpha) = \alpha$ and p = 1, inequality (4.1) becomes the classical Jensen inequality.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} h\left(\frac{w_i}{W_n}\right) f(x_i) \le f(m) \sum_{i=1}^{n} h\left(\frac{w_i}{W_n}\right) h\left(\frac{M^p - x_i^p}{M^p - m^p}\right) + f(M) \sum_{i=1}^{n} h\left(\frac{w_i}{W_n}\right) h\left(\frac{x_i^p - m^p}{M^p - m^p}\right).$$

$$(4.2)$$

If h is a non-negative sub-multiplicative function and $f \in ghv(h, p, I)$, then the inequality sign in (4.2) is reversed.

Proof Setting $x_1 = m$, $x_2 = x_i$, and $x_3 = M$ in (3.2), we get the inequalities

$$f(x_i) \le h\left(\frac{M^p - x_i^p}{M^p - m^p}\right) f(m) + h\left(\frac{x_i^p - m^p}{M^p - m^p}\right) f(M), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Multiplying both sides of the above inequality with $h(\frac{w_i}{W_n})$ and adding all inequalities side by side for i = 1, ..., n, we obtain (4.2).

Let K be a finite nonempty set of positive integers and let F be an index set function defined by

$$F(K) = h(W_K) f\left(\left[\frac{1}{W_K} \sum_{i \in K} w_i x_i^p\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) - \sum_{i \in K} h(w_i) f(x_i), \text{ where } W_K = \sum_{i \in K} w_i.$$

Theorem 4 Let $h: (0, \infty) \to R$ be a non-negative function, and let M and K be finite nonempty sets of positive integers such that $M \cap K = \emptyset$. If h is super-multiplicative and $f: I \to R$ belongs to the class ghx(h, p, I), then for $w_i > 0$, $x_i \in I$, $i \in M \cup K$ we have the inequality

$$F(M \cup K) \le F(M) + F(K). \tag{4.3}$$

If h is sub-multiplicative and $f \in ghv(h, p, I)$, then the inequality sign in (4.3) is reversed.

Proof Setting $x = \left[\frac{1}{W_M} \sum_{i \in M} w_i x_i^p\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$, $y = \left[\frac{1}{W_K} \sum_{i \in K} w_i x_i^p\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$, and $\alpha = \frac{W_M}{W_{M \cup K}}$ in (2.1), we obtain the inequality

$$f\left(\left[\frac{1}{W_{M\cup K}}\sum_{i\in M\cup K}w_{i}x_{i}^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)$$

$$\leq h\left(\frac{W_{M}}{W_{M\cup K}}\right)f\left(\left[\frac{1}{W_{M}}\sum_{i\in M}w_{i}x_{i}^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) + h\left(\frac{W_{K}}{W_{M\cup K}}\right)f\left(\left[\frac{1}{W_{K}}\sum_{i\in K}w_{i}x_{i}^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right).$$

Multiplying both sides of the above inequality with $h(W_{M\cup K})$, we get the inequality

$$h(W_{M\cup K})f\left(\left[\frac{1}{W_{M\cup K}}\sum_{i\in M\cup K}w_{i}x_{i}^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)$$

$$\leq h(W_{M})f\left(\left[\frac{1}{W_{M}}\sum_{i\in M}w_{i}x_{i}^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) + h(W_{K})f\left(\left[\frac{1}{W_{K}}\sum_{i\in K}w_{i}x_{i}^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right).$$

Subtracting $\sum_{i \in M \cup K} h(w_i) f(x_i)$ from both sides of the inequality above and using the identity $\sum_{i \in M \cup K} h(w_i) f(x_i) = \sum_{i \in M} h(w_i) f(x_i) + \sum_{i \in K} h(w_i) f(x_i)$, we obtain (4.3).

A simple consequence of Theorem 4 is stated in the following corollary without proof.

Corollary 3 Let $h: (0, \infty) \to R$ be a non-negative super-multiplicative function. If $w_i > 0$, i = 1, ..., n, and $M_k = \{1, ..., K\}$, then for $f \in ghx(h, p, I)$ we have

$$F(M_n) \le F(M_{n-1}) \le \dots \le F(M_2) \le 0 \tag{4.4}$$

and

$$F(M_n) \le \min_{1 \le i < j \le n} \left\{ h(w_i + w_j) f\left(\left[\frac{w_i x_i^p + w_j x_j^p}{w_i + w_j} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) - h(w_i) f(x_i) - h(w_j) f(x_j) \right\}.$$
(4.5)

If h is sub-multiplicative and $f \in ghv(h, p, I)$, then the inequality signs in (4.4) and (4.5) are reversed, and min is replaced with max.

Remark 5 Some results obtained from Theorem 4 and Corollary 3 are given in [11, p.7], when $h(\alpha) = \alpha$, p = 1, and h is a convex or concave function.

5 Hadamard-type inequalities

In this section, we give some Hadamard-type inequalities of (p, h)-convex functions.

Theorem 5 If $f \in ghx(h, p, I) \cap L_1([a, b])$ for $a, b \in I$ with a < b, then we have

$$\frac{1}{2h(\frac{1}{2})}f\left(\left[\frac{a^p+b^p}{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \le \frac{p}{b^p-a^p} \int_a^b x^{p-1}f(x)\,dx \le \left(f(a)+f(b)\right)\int_0^1 h(t)\,dt.$$
(5.1)

Proof Setting $x^p = \frac{y-a}{b-a}b^p + \frac{b-y}{b-a}a^p$, we get

$$\frac{p}{b^p - a^p} \int_a^b x^{p-1} f(x) \, dx = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f\left(\left[\frac{y-a}{b-a}b^p + \frac{b-y}{b-a}a^p\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) dy.$$

By using inequality (2.1) we obtain

$$f\left(\left[\frac{y-a}{b-a}b^p+\frac{b-y}{b-a}a^p\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \le h\left(\frac{y-a}{b-a}\right)f(b)+h\left(\frac{b-y}{b-a}\right)f(a),$$

and hence, by integrating the above inequality over [a, b], we have

$$\int_{a}^{b} f\left(\left[\frac{y-a}{b-a}b^{p}+\frac{b-y}{b-a}a^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) dy \leq f(b) \int_{a}^{b} h\left(\frac{y-a}{b-a}\right) dy + f(a) \int_{a}^{b} h\left(\frac{b-y}{b-a}\right) dy$$
$$= (b-a)\left(f(a)+f(b)\right) \int_{0}^{1} h(t) dt,$$

which gives the second inequality.

Setting $y = \frac{1}{2}(a + b) + t$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\int_{-\frac{1}{2}(b-a)}^{\frac{1}{2}(b-a)} f\left(\left[\frac{1}{2}(a^{p}+b^{p})+\frac{b^{p}-a^{p}}{b-a}t\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) dt \\ &= \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}(b-a)} f\left(\left[\frac{1}{2}(a^{p}+b^{p})+\frac{b^{p}-a^{p}}{b-a}t\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) dt \\ &+ \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}(b-a)} f\left(\left[\frac{1}{2}(a^{p}+b^{p})-\frac{b^{p}-a^{p}}{b-a}t\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{h(1/2)} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}(b-a)} f\left(\left[\frac{1}{2}(a^{p}+b^{p})\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) dt = \frac{b-a}{2h(1/2)} f\left(\left[\frac{1}{2}(a^{p}+b^{p})\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right), \end{split}$$

and, hence, the first inequality follows.

Remark 6 If $h(\alpha) = \alpha$ and p = 1, then inequality (5.1) gives the classical Hadamard inequality.

Theorem 6 Suppose that f and g are functions such that $f \in ghx(h_1, p, I), g \in ghx(h_2, p, I), fg \in L_1([a, b]), and <math>h_1h_2 \in L_1([0, 1])$ with $a, b \in I$ and a < b. We then have

$$\frac{p}{b^{p}-a^{p}}\int_{a}^{b}x^{p-1}f(x)g(x)\,dx \le M(a,b)\int_{0}^{1}h_{1}(t)h_{2}(t)\,dt + N(a,b)\int_{0}^{1}h_{1}(t)h_{2}(1-t)\,dt,$$
(5.2)

where M(a, b) = f(a)g(a) + f(b)g(b) and N(a, b) = f(a)g(b) + f(b)g(a).

Proof Since $f \in ghx(h_1, p, I)$ and $g \in ghx(h_2, p, I)$, we have

$$f\left(\left[ta^{p} + (1-t)b^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \le h_{1}(t)f(a) + h_{1}(1-t)f(b),$$

$$g\left(\left[ta^{p} + (1-t)b^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \le h_{2}(t)g(a) + h_{2}(1-t)g(b)$$

for all $t \in [0,1]$. Because f and g are non-negative, we get the inequality

$$f([ta^{p} + (1-t)b^{p}]^{\frac{1}{p}})g([ta^{p} + (1-t)b^{p}]^{\frac{1}{p}})$$

$$\leq h_{1}(t)h_{2}(t)f(a)g(a) + h_{1}(1-t)h_{2}(t)f(b)g(a) + h_{1}(t)h_{2}(1-t)f(a)g(b)$$

$$+ h_{1}(1-t)h_{2}(1-t)f(b)g(b).$$

Integrating both sides of the above inequality over (0, 1), we obtain the inequality

$$\int_{0}^{1} f\left(\left[ta^{p}+(1-t)b^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)g\left(\left[ta^{p}+(1-t)b^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)dt$$

$$\leq f(a)g(a)\int_{0}^{1}h_{1}(t)h_{2}(t)dt+f(b)g(a)\int_{0}^{1}h_{1}(1-t)h_{2}(t)dt$$

$$+f(a)g(b)\int_{0}^{1}h_{1}(t)h_{2}(1-t)dt+f(b)g(b)\int_{0}^{1}h_{1}(1-t)h_{2}(1-t)dt.$$

Setting $x = [ta^p + (1-t)b^p]^{\frac{1}{p}}$, we get

$$\frac{p}{b^p - a^p} \int_a^b x^{p-1} f(x) g(x) \, dx \le M(a, b) \int_0^1 h_1(t) h_2(t) \, dt + N(a, b) \int_0^1 h_1(t) h_2(1-t) \, dt.$$

Theorem 7 Let $f \in ghx(h_1, p, I)$, $g \in ghx(h_2, p, I)$ be functions such that $fg \in L_1([a, b])$ and $h_1h_2 \in L_1([0, 1])$, and let $a, b \in I$ with a < b. We then have

$$\frac{1}{2h_{1}(\frac{1}{2})h_{2}(\frac{1}{2})}f\left(\left[\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)g\left(\left[\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) - \frac{p}{b^{p}-a^{p}}\int_{a}^{b}x^{p-1}f(x)g(x)\,dx$$

$$\leq M(a,b)\int_{0}^{1}h_{1}(t)h_{2}(1-t)\,dt + N(a,b)\int_{0}^{1}h_{1}(t)h_{2}(t)\,dt.$$
(5.3)

Proof Since $\frac{a^p + b^p}{2} = \frac{ta^p + (1-t)b^p}{2} + \frac{(1-t)a^p + tb^p}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{split} &f\left(\left[\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)g\left(\left[\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)\\ &=f\left(\left[\frac{ta^{p}+(1-t)b^{p}}{2}+\frac{(1-t)a^{p}+tb^{p}}{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)g\left(\left[\frac{ta^{p}+(1-t)b^{p}}{2}+\frac{(1-t)a^{p}+tb^{p}}{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)\\ &=h_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left[f\left(\left[ta^{p}+(1-t)b^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)+f\left(\left[(1-t)a^{p}+tb^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)\right]\\ &\times h_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left[g\left(\left[ta^{p}+(1-t)b^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)+g\left(\left[(1-t)a^{p}+tb^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)\right]\\ &\leq h_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)h_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left[f\left(\left[ta^{p}+(1-t)b^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)g\left(\left[ta^{p}+(1-t)b^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)\right]\\ &+h_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)h_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left[f\left(\left[(1-t)a^{p}+tb^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)g\left(\left[(1-t)a^{p}+tb^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)\right]\\ &+h_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)h_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left[h_{1}(t)f(a)+h_{1}(1-t)f(b)\right]\left[h_{2}(1-t)g(a)+h_{2}(t)g(b)\right]\\ &+h_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)h_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left[f\left(\left[ta^{p}+(1-t)b^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)g\left(\left[ta^{p}+(1-t)b^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)\right]\\ &=h_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)h_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left[f\left(\left[(1-t)a^{p}+tb^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)g\left(\left[(1-t)a^{p}+tb^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)\right]\\ &+h_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)h_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left[f\left(\left[(1-t)a^{p}+tb^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)g\left(\left[(1-t)a^{p}+tb^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)\right] \end{split}$$

$$+h_1\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)h_2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left[\left(h_1(t)h_2(1-t)+h_1(1-t)h_2(t)\right)M(a,b)\right]\\+h_1\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)h_2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left[\left(h_1(t)h_2(t)+h_1(1-t)h_2(1-t)\right)N(a,b)\right].$$

Integrating the above inequality over [0,1], we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2h_1(\frac{1}{2})h_2(\frac{1}{2})}f\left(\left[\frac{a^p+b^p}{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)g\left(\left[\frac{a^p+b^p}{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) - \frac{p}{b^p-a^p}\int_a^b x^{p-1}f(x)g(x)\,dx$$

$$\leq M(a,b)\int_0^1 h_1(t)h_2(1-t)\,dt + N(a,b)\int_0^1 h_1(t)h_2(t)\,dt.$$

Theorem 8 Let $f \in ghx(h_1, p, I)$ and $g \in ghx(h_2, p, I)$ be functions such that $fg \in L_1([a, b])$, $h_1h_2 \in L_1([0, 1])$, and let $a, b \in I$ with a < b. We then have the inequality

$$\frac{p^{2}}{2(b^{p}-a^{p})^{2}} \int_{a}^{b} \int_{0}^{b} \int_{0}^{1} x^{p-1} y^{p-1} f\left(\left[tx^{p}+(1-t)y^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) g\left(\left[tx^{p}+(1-t)y^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) dx \, dy \, dt$$

$$\leq \frac{p}{b^{p}-a^{p}} \int_{0}^{1} h_{1}(t)h_{2}(t) \, dt \int_{a}^{b} x^{p-1}f(x)g(x) \, dx$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{1} h_{1}(t) \, dt \int_{0}^{1} h_{2}(t) \, dt \int_{0}^{1} h_{1}(t)h_{2}(1-t) \, dt \Big[M(a,b) + N(a,b)\Big].$$
(5.4)

Proof Since $f \in ghx(h_1, p, I)$ and $g \in ghx(h_2, p, I)$, we have

$$f\left(\left[tx^{p} + (1-t)y^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \le h_{1}(t)f(x) + h_{1}(1-t)f(y),$$

$$g\left(\left[tx^{p} + (1-t)y^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \le h_{2}(t)g(x) + h_{2}(1-t)g(y)$$

for all $t \in [0,1]$. Because *f* and *g* are non-negative, we get the inequality

$$f\left(\left[tx^{p}+(1-t)y^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)g\left(\left[tx^{p}+(1-t)y^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)$$

$$\leq h_{1}(t)h_{2}(t)f(x)g(x)+h_{1}(1-t)h_{2}(t)f(y)g(x)+h_{1}(t)h_{2}(1-t)f(x)g(y)$$

$$+h_{1}(1-t)h_{2}(1-t)f(y)g(y).$$

Multiplying both sides of the above inequality with $\frac{p^2 x^{p-1} y^{p-1}}{(b^p - a^p)^2}$ and integrating the result over [a, b] and [0, 1], we obtain the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p^2}{(b^p - a^p)^2} \int_a^b \int_a^b \int_0^1 x^{p-1} y^{p-1} f\left(\left[tx^p + (1-t)y^p\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) g\left(\left[tx^p + (1-t)y^p\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) dx \, dy \, dt \\ &\leq \int_0^1 h_1(t)h_2(t) \, dt \left[\frac{p^2}{(b^p - a^p)^2} \left(\int_a^b x^{p-1} f(x)g(x) \, dx \int_a^b y^{p-1} \, dy \right. \\ &\left. + \int_a^b y^{p-1} f(y)g(y) \, dy \int_a^b x^{p-1} \, dx \right) \right] \\ &\left. + 2 \int_0^1 h_1(t)h_2(1-t) \, dt \left[\frac{p^2}{(b^p - a^p)^2} \int_a^b x^{p-1} f(x) \, dx \int_a^b y^{p-1} f(y) \, dy \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By (5.1), we have the inequality

$$\frac{p^{2}}{2(b^{p}-a^{p})^{2}} \int_{a}^{b} \int_{a}^{b} \int_{0}^{1} x^{p-1} y^{p-1} f\left(\left[tx^{p}+(1-t)y^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) g\left(\left[tx^{p}+(1-t)y^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) dx \, dy \, dt$$

$$\leq \frac{p}{b^{p}-a^{p}} \int_{0}^{1} h_{1}(t)h_{2}(t) \, dt \int_{a}^{b} x^{p-1}f(x)g(x) \, dx$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{1} h_{1}(t) \, dt \int_{0}^{1} h_{2}(t) \, dt \int_{0}^{1} h_{1}(t)h_{2}(1-t) \, dt \Big[M(a,b) + N(a,b)\Big]. \qquad \Box$$

Theorem 9 Let $f \in ghx(h_1, p, I)$, $g \in ghx(h_2, p, I)$ be functions such that $fg \in L_1([a, b])$, $h_1h_2 \in L_1([0, 1])$, and let $a, b \in I$ with a < b. We then have the inequality

$$\int_{a}^{b} \int_{0}^{1} x^{p-1} f\left(\left[tx^{p}+(1-t)\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) g\left(\left[tx^{p}+(1-t)\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) dt dx$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{1} h_{1}(t)h_{2}(t) dt \int_{a}^{b} x^{p-1}f(x)g(x) dx + \frac{b^{p}-a^{p}}{p} \left[M(a,b)+N(a,b)\right]$$

$$\times \left[h_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)h_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\int_{0}^{1} h_{1}(t)h_{2}(t) dt$$

$$+ \left[h_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\int_{0}^{1} h_{2}(t) dt + h_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\int_{0}^{1} h_{1}(t) dt\right]\int_{0}^{1} h_{1}(t)h_{2}(1-t) dt\right].$$
(5.5)

Proof Since $f \in ghx(h_1, p, I)$ and $g \in ghx(h_2, p, I)$, we have the inequalities

$$f\left(\left[tx^{p} + (1-t)\frac{a^{p} + b^{p}}{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \le h_{1}(t)f(x) + h_{1}(1-t)f\left(\left[\frac{a^{p} + b^{p}}{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right),$$
$$g\left(\left[tx^{p} + (1-t)\frac{a^{p} + b^{p}}{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \le h_{2}(t)g(x) + h_{2}(1-t)g\left(\left[\frac{a^{p} + b^{p}}{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)$$

for all $t \in [0,1]$. Because *f* and *g* are non-negative, we get the inequality

$$f\left(\left[tx^{p}+(1-t)\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)g\left(\left[tx^{p}+(1-t)\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)$$

$$\leq h_{1}(t)h_{2}(t)f(x)g(x)+h_{1}(1-t)h_{2}(t)f\left(\left[\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)g(x)$$

$$+h_{1}(t)h_{2}(1-t)f(x)g\left(\left[\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)$$

$$+h_{1}(1-t)h_{2}(1-t)f\left(\left[\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)g\left(\left[\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right).$$

Multiplying both sides of the inequality above with x^{p-1} and integrating the result over [a, b] and [0, 1], we obtain

$$\int_{a}^{b} \int_{0}^{1} x^{p-1} f\left(\left[tx^{p} + (1-t)\frac{a^{p} + b^{p}}{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) g\left(\left[tx^{p} + (1-t)\frac{a^{p} + b^{p}}{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) dt \, dx$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{1} h_{1}(t)h_{2}(t) \, dt \left[\int_{a}^{b} x^{p-1}f(x)g(x) \, dx + \frac{b^{p} - a^{p}}{p}f\left(\left[\frac{a^{p} + b^{p}}{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)g\left(\left[\frac{a^{p} + b^{p}}{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)\right]$$

By inequality (5.1), we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{a}^{b} \int_{0}^{1} x^{p-1} f\left(\left[tx^{p}+(1-t)\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) g\left(\left[tx^{p}+(1-t)\frac{a^{p}+b^{p}}{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) dt \, dx \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{1} h_{1}(t)h_{2}(t) \, dt \left[\int_{a}^{b} x^{p-1}f(x)g(x) \, dx \\ &\quad + \frac{b^{p}-a^{p}}{p}h_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)(f(a)+f(b))h_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)(g(a)+g(b))\right] \\ &\quad + \int_{0}^{1} h_{1}(t)h_{2}(1-t) \, dt \frac{b^{p}-a^{p}}{p}h_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)(f(a)+f(b))(g(a)+g(b)) \int_{0}^{1} h_{1}(t) \, dt \\ &\quad + \int_{0}^{1} h_{1}(t)h_{2}(1-t) \, dt \frac{b^{p}-a^{p}}{p}h_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)(f(a)+f(b))(g(a)+g(b)) \int_{0}^{1} h_{2}(t) \, dt \\ &= \int_{0}^{1} h_{1}(t)h_{2}(t) \, dt \int_{a}^{b} x^{p-1}f(x)g(x) \, dx + \frac{b^{p}-a^{p}}{p}[M(a,b)+N(a,b)] \\ &\quad \times \left[h_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)h_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\int_{0}^{1} h_{1}(t)h_{2}(t) \, dt \\ &\quad + \left[h_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\int_{0}^{1} h_{2}(t) \, dt + h_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\int_{0}^{1} h_{1}(t) \, dt\right]\int_{0}^{1} h_{1}(t)h_{2}(1-t) \, dt\right]. \end{split}$$

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally to the manuscript and read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province of China (ZR2012AM018) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. 201362032). The authors would like to deeply thank all the reviewers for their insightful and constructive comments.

Received: 25 July 2013 Accepted: 8 January 2014 Published: 30 Jan 2014

References

- 1. Godunova, EK, Levin, VI: Neravenstva dlja funkcii širokogo klassa, soderžaščego vypuklye, monotonnye i nekotorye drugie vidy funkii. In: Vyčislitel. Mat. i. Fiz. Mežvuzov. Sb. Nauč. Trudov, pp. 138-142. MGPI, Moskva (1985)
- 2. Dragomir, SS, Pečcarić, J, Persson, LE: Some inequalities of Hadamard type. Soochow J. Math. 21, 335-341 (1995)
- Mitrinović, DS, Pečarić, J: Note on a class of functions of Godunova and Levin. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can. 12, 33-36 (1990)
- 4. Breckner, WW: Stetigkeitsaussagen für eine Klasse verallgemeinerter konvexer funktionen in topologischen linearen Räumen. Publ. Inst. Math. (Belgr.) 23, 13-20 (1978)
- 5. Hudzik, H, Maligranda, L: Some remarks on s-convex functions. Aequ. Math. 48, 100-111 (1994)
- Pearce, CEM, Rubinov, AM: *p*-functions, quasi-convex functions and Hadamard-type inequalities. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 240, 92-104 (1999)
- Tseng, KL, Yang, GS, Dragomir, SS: On quasi-convex functions and Hadamard's inequality. RGMIA Res. Rep. Collect. 6(3), Article ID 1 (2003)
- 8. Zhang, KS, Wan, JP: *p*-convex functions and their properties. Pure Appl. Math. 23(1), 130-133 (2007)
- 9. Varšanec, S: On h-convexity. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 326, 303-311 (2007)
- 10. Mitrinović, DS: Analytic Inequalities. Springer, Berlin (1970)
- 11. Mitrinović, DS, Pečarić, J, Fink, AM: Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht (1993)

10.1186/1029-242X-2014-45 Cite this article as: Fang and Shi: On the (p, h)-convex function and some integral inequalities. Journal of Inequalities and Applications 2014, 2014:45

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[●] journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com