# On uniformly univalent functions with respect to symmetrical points 

Khalida Inayat Noor*

"Correspondence:
khalidan@gmail.com Department of Mathematics, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Park Road, Islamabad, Pakistan


#### Abstract

In this paper, we define and study some new subclasses of starlike and close-to-convex functions with respect to symmetrical points. These functions map the open unit disc onto certain conic regions in the right half plane. Some basic properties, a necessary condition, and coefficient and arc length problems are investigated. The mapping properties of the functions in these classes are studied under a certain linear operator.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $A$ be the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disc $E=\{z:|z|<1\}$. Let $S, K, S^{*}$, and $C$ be the subclasses of $A$ which consist of univalent, close-to-convex, starlike (with respect to origin), and convex functions, respectively. For recent developments, extensions, and applications, see [1-25] and the references therein.
A function $f$ in $A$ is said to be uniformly convex in $E$ if $f$ is a univalent convex function along with the property that, for every circular arc $\gamma$ contained in $E$, with center $\xi$ also in $E$, the image curve $f(\gamma)$ is a convex arc. The class of uniformly convex functions is denoted by $U C V$. The corresponding class $U S T$ is defined by the relation that $f \in U C V$ if, and only if, $z f^{\prime} \in U S T$. It is well known [13] that $f \in U C V$ if, and only if

$$
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right|<\mathfrak{R}\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\} \quad(z \in E) .
$$

Uniformly starlike and convex functions were first introduced by Goodman [3] and then studied by various other authors. If $f, g \in A$, we say $f$ is subordinate to $g$ in $E$, written as $f \prec g$ or $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$ such that $f(z)=g(w(z))$ for $z \in E$.

For $0 \leq \beta<1$, the class $P(\beta)$ consists of functions $p(z)$ analytic in $E$ with $p(0)=1$ such that $\mathfrak{R p}(z)>\beta$ for $z \in E$, and, with $\beta=0$, we obtain the well-known class $P$ of Carathéodory functions with positive real part.

For $k \in[0, \infty)$, the conic regions $\Omega_{k}$ are defined as follows, see [5]:

$$
\Omega_{k}=\left\{u+i v: u>k \sqrt{(u-1)^{2}+v^{2}}\right\} .
$$

For fixed $k, \Omega_{k}$ represents the conic regions bounded, successively, by the imaginary axis ( $k=0$ ), the right branch of a hyperbolic $(0<k<1)$ and a parabola $v^{2}=2 u-1(k=1)$. When $k>1$, the domain becomes a bounded domain being the interior of the ellipse.

We shall consider the case when $k \in[0,1]$. Related to the domain $\Omega_{k}$, the following functions $p_{k}(z), k \in[0,1]$, play the role of extremal functions mapping in $E$ onto $\Omega_{k}$ :

$$
p_{k}(z)= \begin{cases}\frac{1+z}{1-z} & (k=0)  \tag{1.2}\\ 1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\left(\log \frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)^{2} & (k=1) \\ 1+\frac{2}{1-k^{2}} \sinh ^{2}\left[\left(\frac{2}{\pi} \arccos k\right) \operatorname{arctanh} \sqrt{z}\right] & (0<k<1)\end{cases}
$$

These functions are univalent in $E$ and belong to the class $P$. Using the subordination concept, we define the class $P\left(p_{k}\right)$ as follows.

Let $p(z)$ be analytic in $E$ with $p(0)=1$. Then $p \in P\left(p_{k}\right)$ if, and only if, $p \prec p_{k}$ in $E$ and $p_{k}(z)$ are given by (1.2).

The conic domains $\Omega_{k}$ can be generalized as given by

$$
\Omega_{k, \beta}=(1-\beta) \Omega_{k}+\beta
$$

with the corresponding extremal function

$$
p_{k, \beta}(z)=(1-\beta) p_{k}+\beta \quad(0 \leq \beta<1, k \in[0,1]) .
$$

It can easily be seen that the analytic function $p(z)$, with $p(0)=1$, belongs to the class $P\left(p_{k, \beta}\right)$ if $p(z) \prec p_{k, \beta}(z)$ in $E$.

It is easy to verify that $P\left(p_{k, \beta}\right)$ is a convex set. It is known [6] that

$$
P\left(p_{k}\right) \subset P\left(\frac{k}{k+1}\right) \subset P,
$$

and, for $p \in P\left(p_{k}\right)$, we have

$$
|\arg p(z)| \leq \sigma \frac{\pi}{2}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\frac{2}{\pi} \arctan \frac{1}{k} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

So we can write $p(z)=h^{\sigma}(z), h \in P$.

Also

$$
P\left(p_{k, \beta}\right) \subset P\left(\frac{k+\beta}{k+1}\right) \subset P
$$

Sakaguchi [24] introduced and studied the class $S_{s}^{*}$ of starlike functions with respect to symmetrical points. The class $S_{s}^{*}$ includes the classes of convex and odd starlike functions with respect to the origin. It was shown [24] that a necessary and sufficient condition for $f \in S_{s}^{*}$ to be univalent and starlike with respect to symmetrical points in $E$ is that

$$
\left(\frac{2 z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)-f(-z)}\right) \in P, \quad z \in E .
$$

Das and Singh [2] defined the classes $C_{s}$ of convex functions with respect to symmetrical points and showed that a necessary and sufficient condition for $f \in C_{s}$ is that

$$
\frac{2\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{(f(z)-f(-z))^{\prime}} \in P, \quad z \in E
$$

It is also well known [2] that $f \in C_{s}$ if, and only if, $z f^{\prime} \in S_{s}^{*}$.
We now define the following.

Definition 1.1 Let $f \in A$. The $f$ is said to be in the class $k-S T_{s}(\beta)$ if, and only if,

$$
\frac{2 z f^{\prime}(z)}{(f(z)-f(-z))} \in P\left(p_{k, \beta}\right), \quad z \in E
$$

It can easily be seen that

$$
k-S T_{s}(\beta) \subset S_{s}^{*} \subset S_{s}^{*}, \quad \beta_{1}=\frac{k+\beta}{k+1}
$$

Also, for $\beta=0=k$, the class $k-S T_{s}(\beta)$ reduces to $S_{s}^{*}$.
The class $k-U C V_{s}(\beta)$ is defined as follows.

Definition 1.2 Let $f \in A$. Then $f \in k-U C V_{s}(\beta)$ if, and only if $z f^{\prime} \in k-S T_{s}(\beta)$ for $z \in E$.

We note that

$$
k-U C V_{s}(\beta) \subset C_{s}\left(\beta_{1}\right) \subset C_{s}, \quad \beta_{1}=\frac{k+\beta}{k+1}
$$

Definition 1.3 Let $f \in A$. Then $f \in k-U K_{s}(\beta)$ if, and only if, there exists $g \in k-S T_{s}(\beta)$ such that

$$
\left(\frac{2 z f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)-g(-z)}\right) \in P\left(p_{k, \beta}\right), \quad z \in E .
$$

Since $P\left(p_{k, \beta}\right) \subset P\left(\beta_{1}\right) \subset P, \beta_{1}=\frac{k+\beta}{k+1}$, and $k-S T_{s}(\beta) \subset S_{s}^{*}$, we note that

$$
k-U K_{s}(\beta) \subset K_{s} \subset K,
$$

where $k_{S}$ consists of close-to-convex functions with respect to symmetrical starlike functions.

From the definition, it is clear that $k-U K_{s}(\beta)$ consists of univalent functions.
For $k=0, \beta=0$ and $f(z)=g(z), k-U K_{s}(\beta)$ reduces to the class $S_{s}^{*}$.

## 2 Preliminary results

We shall need the following lemmas to prove our main results.

Lemma 2.1 [15] Let $q(z)$ be a convex function in $E$ with $q(0)=1$ and let another function $h: E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be with $\Re h(z)>0$. Let $p(z)$ be analytic in $E$ with $p(0)=1$ such that

$$
\left(p(z)+h(z) z p^{\prime}(z)\right) \prec q(z), \quad z \in E .
$$

Then $p(z) \prec q(z), z \in E$.

## Lemma 2.2 Let $N(z), D(z)$ be analytic in $E$ with

$$
N(0)=0=D(z)
$$

and let $D \in S^{*}$ for $z \in E$. Then $\frac{N^{\prime}(z)}{D^{\prime}(z)} \in P\left(p_{k, \beta}\right)$ implies that $\frac{N(z)}{D(z)} \in P\left(p_{k, \beta}\right)$ for $z \in E$.

Proof Let

$$
\frac{N(z)}{D(z)}=p(z) .
$$

Then

$$
\frac{N^{\prime}(z)}{D^{\prime}(z)}=p(z)+h(z)\left(z p^{\prime}(z)\right), \quad h(z)=\frac{1}{h_{0}(z)},
$$

where

$$
h_{0}(z)=\frac{z D^{\prime}(z)}{D(z)} \in P
$$

Since $\frac{N^{\prime}(z)}{D^{\prime}(z)} \in P\left(p_{k, \beta}\right)$, we have

$$
\frac{N^{\prime}(z)}{D^{\prime}(z)}=\left(p(z)+h(z)\left(z p^{\prime}(z)\right)\right) \prec p_{k, \beta}(z), \quad z \in E .
$$

We now use Lemma 2.1 and this implies that

$$
\frac{N(z)}{D(z)}=p(z) \prec p_{k, \beta}(z) \quad \text { in } E .
$$

This proves that $\frac{N(z)}{D(z)} \in P\left(p_{k, \beta}\right)$ for $z \in E$.

The following lemma is an easy extension of a result proved in [5].

Lemma 2.3 Let $k \in[0, \infty)$ and $\gamma_{1}, \delta_{1}$ be any complex numbers with $\gamma_{1} \neq 0$ and let $\mathfrak{R}\left\{\frac{\gamma_{1} k}{k+1}+\right.$ $\left.\delta_{1}\right\}>\beta$. If $h(z)$ is analytic in $E, h(0)=1$ and it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(h(z)+\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{\gamma_{1} h(z)+\delta_{1}}\right) \prec p_{k, \beta}(z), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q_{k, \beta}(z)$ is an analytic solution of

$$
\left(q_{k, \beta}(z)+\frac{z q_{k, \beta}^{\prime}(z)}{\gamma_{1} q_{k, \beta}(z)+\delta_{1}}\right)=p_{k, \beta}(z)
$$

then $q_{k, \beta}$ is univalent and

$$
h(z) \prec q_{k, \beta}(z) \prec p_{k, \beta}(z),
$$

and $q_{k, \beta}(z)$ is the best dominant of (2.1).

## 3 The class $k-S T_{s}(\beta)$

In this section, we shall study some basic properties of the class $k-S T_{s}(\beta)$.

Theorem 3.1 Let $f \in k-S T_{s}(\beta)$. Then the odd function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(z)=\frac{1}{2}[f(z)-f(-z)] \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

belongs to $k-S T(\beta)$ in $E$.
In particular $\Psi(z)$ is an odd starlike function of order $\beta_{1}=\frac{k+\beta}{k+1}$ in $E$.

Proof Logarithmic differentiation of (3.1) and simple computation yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{z \Psi^{\prime}(z)}{\Psi(z)} & =\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{2 z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)-f(-z)}+\frac{2(-z) f^{\prime}(-z)}{f(-z)-f(z)}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[p_{1}(z)+p_{2}(z)\right], \quad \text { for } z \in E, p_{1}, p_{2} \in P\left(p_{k, \beta}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $P\left(p_{k, \beta}\right)$ is a convex set, it follows that $\frac{z \Psi^{\prime}(z)}{\Psi(z)} \in P\left(p_{k, \beta}\right)$ and thus $\Psi \in k-S T(\beta)$ in $E$.

As a special case, we note that, for $k=0=\beta, \frac{1}{2}[f(z)-f(-z)]=\Psi(z) \in S^{*}$ in $E$, and hence $\frac{z f^{\prime}}{\Psi} \in P$. We now discuss a geometric property for $f \in k-S T_{s}(\beta)$. Here we investigate the behavior of the inclusion of the tangent at a point $w(\theta)=f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)$ to the image $\Gamma_{r}$ of the circle $C_{r}=\{z:|z|=r\}, 0 \leq r<1, \theta \in[0,2 \pi]$, under the mapping by means of a function $f$ from the class $f \in k-S T_{s}(\beta)$.

Let

$$
\Phi(\theta)=\frac{\pi}{2}+\theta+\arg f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)=\arg \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)
$$

and, for $\theta_{2}>\theta_{1}, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2} \in[0,2 \pi]$,

$$
\Phi\left(\theta_{2}\right)-\Phi\left(\theta_{1}\right)=\theta_{2}+\arg f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta_{2}}\right)-\theta_{1}-\arg f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta_{1}}\right) .
$$

Now, since

$$
\theta+\arg f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)=\theta+\Re\left\{-i \ln f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right\},
$$

then

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\theta+\arg f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right)=\mathfrak{R}\left\{1+\frac{r e^{i \theta} f^{\prime \prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}\right\} .
$$

Hence

$$
\int_{\theta_{1}}^{\theta_{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\theta+\arg f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right) d \theta=\int_{\theta_{1}}^{\theta_{2}} \mathfrak{R}\left\{1+\frac{r e^{i \theta} f^{\prime \prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}\right\} d \theta .
$$

Also, on the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\theta_{1}}^{\theta_{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\theta+\arg f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right) d \theta & =\theta_{2}+\arg f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta_{2}}\right)-\theta_{1}-\arg f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta_{1}}\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(\theta_{2}\right)-\Phi\left(\theta_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So, the integral on the left side of the last inequality characterizes the increment of the angle of the inclination of the tangent to the curve $\Gamma_{r}$ between the points $w\left(\theta_{2}\right)$ and $w\left(\theta_{1}\right)$ for $\theta_{2}>\theta_{1}$.
We have the following necessary condition for $f \in k-S T_{s}(\beta)$.
Theorem 3.2 Let $f \in k-S T_{s}(\beta)$. Then, with $z=r e^{i \theta}$ and $0 \leq \theta_{1}<\theta_{2} \leq 2 \pi, 0 \leq \beta<1$ and $0 \leq k \leq 1$, we have

$$
\int_{\theta_{1}}^{\theta_{2}} \Re\left\{\frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\} d \theta>-\sigma \pi+2 \cos ^{-1}\left\{\frac{2(1-\beta)}{1-(1-2 \beta) r^{2}}\right\}+\beta_{1}\left(\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}\right),
$$

where $\sigma$ is given by (1.3) and $\beta_{1}=\frac{k+\beta}{k+1}$.
Proof Since $\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{\Psi^{\prime}(z)} \in P\left(p_{k, \beta}\right), \Psi(z)=\frac{1}{2}[f(z)-f(-z)]$ and $\Psi \in k-U C V(\beta) \subset C(\beta)$.
We can write

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=\left(\Psi_{1}^{\prime}(z)\right)^{1-\beta_{1}} h^{\sigma}(z), \quad \Psi_{1} \in C, h \in P(\beta),
$$

and this gives us, with $z=r e^{i \theta}, 0 \leq r<1,0 \leq \theta_{1}<\theta_{2} \leq 2 \pi$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\theta_{1}}^{\theta_{2}} \Re\left\{\frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\} d \theta= & \left(1-\beta_{1}\right) \int_{\theta_{1}}^{\theta_{2}} \Re\left\{\frac{\left(z \Psi_{1}^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\Psi_{1}^{\prime}(z)}\right\} d \theta \\
& +\sigma \int_{\theta_{1}}^{\theta_{2}} \Re \frac{2 h^{\prime}(z)}{h(z)} d \theta+\beta_{1}\left(\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}\right) . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

For $h \in P(\beta)$, we observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \arg h\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) & =\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \Re\left\{-i \ln h\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right\} \\
& =\Re\left\{r e^{i \theta} \frac{h^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}{h\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\int_{\theta_{1}}^{\theta_{2}} \mathfrak{\Re}\left\{\frac{r e^{i \theta} h^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}{h\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}\right\} d \theta=\arg h\left(r e^{i \theta_{2}}\right)-\arg h\left(r e^{i \theta_{1}}\right)
$$

and

$$
\max _{h \in P(\beta)}\left|\int_{\theta_{1}}^{\theta_{2}} \mathfrak{R}\left\{\frac{r e^{i \theta} h^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}{h\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}\right\} d \theta\right|=\max _{h \in P(\beta)}\left|\arg h\left(r e^{i \theta_{2}}\right)-\arg h\left(r e^{i \theta_{1}}\right)\right| .
$$

We can write

$$
\frac{1}{1-\beta}[h(z)-\beta]=p(z), \quad p \in P
$$

and for $|z|=r<1$, it is well known that

$$
\left|p(z)-\frac{1+r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}\right| \leq \frac{2 r}{1-r^{2}}
$$

From this, we have

$$
\left|h(z)-\frac{1+(1-2 \beta) r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}\right| \leq \frac{2(1-\beta) r}{1-r^{2}} .
$$

Thus the values of $h$ are contained in the circle of Apollonius whose diameter is the line segment from $\frac{1-(1-2 \beta) r}{1+r}$ to $\frac{1+(1-2 \beta) r}{1-r}$ and has the radius $\frac{2(1-\beta) r}{1-r^{2}}$. So $|\arg h(z)|$ attains its maximum at points where a ray from origin is tangent to the circle, that is, when

$$
\begin{equation*}
\arg h(z)= \pm \sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{2(1-\beta) r}{1-(1-2 \beta) r^{2}}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.3), we observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
\max _{h \in P(\beta)}\left|\int_{\theta_{1}}^{\theta_{2}} \Re\left\{r e^{i \theta} \frac{h^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}{h\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}\right\} d \theta\right| & \leq 2 \sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{2(1-\beta) r}{1-(1-2 \beta) r^{2}}\right) \\
& =\pi-2 \cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{2(1-\beta) r}{1-(1-2 \beta) r^{2}}\right) . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Also, for $\Psi_{1} \in C$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\theta_{1}}^{\theta_{2}} \Re\left\{1+r e^{i \theta} \frac{\Psi_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}{\Psi_{1}^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)}\right\} d \theta \geq 0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.4) and (3.5) in (3.2), we obtain the required result.

We note the following special cases:

1. For $k=0,0 \leq \theta_{1}<\theta_{2} \leq 2 \pi, z=r e^{i \theta}$, it follows from Theorem 3.2 that

$$
\int_{\theta_{1}}^{\theta_{2}} \Re\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\} d \theta>-\pi \quad(z \in E) .
$$

This is a necessary and sufficient condition for $f$ to be close-to-convex (hence univalent) in $E$; see [7]. This also shows that $S T_{s}(\beta) \subset K$.
2. For $k=1 \int_{\theta_{1}}^{\theta_{2}} \mathfrak{R}\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\} d \theta>-\frac{\pi}{2}$.
3. When $k \in[0,1]$, it is obvious that $\sigma \in(0,1]$. In this case, the class $k-S T_{s}(\beta)$ consists of strongly close-to-convex functions of order $\sigma$ in the sense of Pommerenke [20, 21].

Theorem 3.3 (Integral representation) Let $f \in k-S T_{s}(\beta)$. Then

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=\frac{1}{2} p(z) \exp \int_{0}^{z} \frac{1}{t}[p(t)+p(-t)-2] d t
$$

where $p \in P\left(p_{k, \beta}\right), z \in E$.

Proof Since $f \in k-S T_{s}(\beta)$, we can write

$$
\frac{2 z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)-f(-z)}=p(z), \quad p \in P\left(p_{k, \beta}\right) .
$$

This gives us

$$
\frac{2[f(z)-f(-z)]^{\prime}}{f(z)-f(-z)}-\frac{1}{z}=\frac{1}{2}[p(z)-p(-z)-2]
$$

and the result follows when we integrate.

When $k=0, \beta=0$, we obtain the result for the class $S_{s}^{*}$ given in [5].
We now study the class $k-S T_{s}(\beta)$ under a certain integral operator.

Theorem 3.4 Let $g \in k-S T_{s}(\beta)$ and let for $m=1,2,3, \ldots, G$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)=\frac{m+1}{2 z^{m}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{m-1}\{g(t)-g(-t)\} d t . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $G(z)$ belongs to $k-S T_{s}(\beta)$ in $E$.

## Proof Let

$$
J(z)=\int_{0}^{z} t^{m-1} \frac{g(t)-g(-t)}{2} d t .
$$

Since $g \in k-S T_{s}(\beta), \frac{1}{2}\{g(z)-g(-z)\} \in k-S T(\beta) \subset S^{*}\left(\beta_{1}\right) \subset S^{*}$, and $\beta_{1}=\frac{k+\beta}{k+1}$. Therefore it can easily be verified that $J(z)$ is $(m+1)$-valently starlike in $E$.

We can write (3.6) as

$$
z^{m} G(z)=(m+1) J(z)
$$

and, differentiating logarithmically, we have

$$
\frac{z G^{\prime}(z)}{G(z)}=\frac{z J^{\prime}(z)-m J(z)}{J(z)}=\frac{N(z)}{D(z)},
$$

say, where $N(0)=D(0)=0$ and $D$ is $(m+1)$-valently starlike.
Let

$$
\frac{N(z)}{D(z)}=h(z) .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{N^{\prime}(z)}{D^{\prime}(z)} & =h(z)+\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{h_{0}(z)}, \quad h_{0}(z)=\frac{z D^{\prime}(z)}{D(z)} \in P \\
& =h(z)+H_{0}(z)\left(z h^{\prime}(z)\right), \quad H_{0}=\frac{1}{h_{0}} \in P \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{N^{\prime}(z)}{D^{\prime}(z)} & =\frac{\left(z h^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}-m J^{\prime}(z)}{J^{\prime}(z)} \\
& =\left\{\frac{\left(z J^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{J^{\prime}(z)}-m\right\} \in P\left(p_{k, \beta}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We now apply Lemma 2.2 to obtain

$$
\frac{N(z)}{D(z)}=\frac{z G^{\prime}(z)}{G(z)} \in P\left(p_{k, \beta}\right), \quad z \in E .
$$

This proves that $G \in k-S T(\beta)$ in $E$.

Theorem 3.5 Let $f, g \in k-S T_{s}(\beta)$ and let $F$ be defined by the following integral operator:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\left(\gamma+\frac{1}{\delta}\right) z^{1-\frac{1}{\delta}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\frac{1}{\delta}-2}\left[\frac{f(t)-f(-t)}{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{1+\gamma}}\left[\frac{g(t)-g(-t)}{2}\right] d t \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z \in E, \delta>0, \gamma \geq 0$ and $\left[\frac{k(1+\gamma)}{k+1}+\left(\frac{1}{\delta}-1\right)\right]>\beta$. Then $F(z)$ belongs to $k-S T(\beta)$ for $z \in E$.

When $g(z)=z, \gamma=0$, we obtain a generalized form of the Bernardi operator; see [1]. Also for $g(z)=z, \gamma=0$, and $\delta=\frac{1}{2}$, we have the well-known integral operator studied by Libera [11] who showed that it preserves the geometric properties of convexity, starlikeness, and close-to-convexity.

Proof Let $\frac{f(z)-f(-z)}{2}=\Psi_{1}(z), \frac{g(z)-g(-z)}{2}=\Psi_{2}(z)$. Then $\Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2} \in k-S T(\beta)$ in $E$. We can write (3.8) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\left(\gamma+\frac{1}{\delta}\right) z^{1-\frac{1}{\delta}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\frac{1}{\delta}-2}\left(\Psi_{1}(t)\right)^{\frac{1}{1+\gamma}}\left(\Psi_{2}(t)\right) d t \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (3.9) logarithmically, and with $p(z)=\frac{z F^{\prime}(z)}{F(z)}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma} \frac{z \Psi_{1}^{\prime}}{\Psi_{1}(z)}+\frac{1}{1+\gamma} \frac{z \Psi_{2}^{\prime}}{\Psi_{2}(z)}=p(z)+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{(1+\gamma) p(z)+\left(\frac{1}{\delta}-1\right)} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, for $i=1,2, \Psi_{i} \in k-S T(\beta), \frac{z \Psi_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{\Psi_{1}}=h_{1}(z), \frac{z \Psi_{2}^{\prime}(z)}{\Psi_{2}}=h_{2}(z)$ both belong to $P\left(p_{k, \beta}\right)$ in $E$, and $P\left(p_{k, \beta}\right)$ is a convex set. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma} h_{1}(z)+\frac{1}{1+\gamma} h_{2}(z)\right) \in P\left(p_{k, \beta}\right), \quad z \in E \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.10) and (3.11), it follows that

$$
\left(p(z)+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{(1+\gamma) p(z)+\left(\frac{1}{\delta}-1\right)}\right) \prec p_{k, \beta}(z)
$$

We now apply Lemma 2.3 which gives us

$$
p(z) \prec q_{k, \beta}(z) \prec p_{k, \beta}(z) .
$$

Thus $F \in k-S T(\beta)$ and the proof is complete.

## 4 The class $\boldsymbol{k}$ - $U K_{s}(\boldsymbol{\beta})$

Here we shall study some properties of the class $k-U K_{s}(\beta)$ which consists of $k$-uniformly close-to-convex functions.
Let $L(r, f)$ denote the length of the image of the circle $|z|=r$ under $f$. We prove the following.

Theorem 4.1 Let $f \in k-U K_{s}(\beta)$. Then, for $0<r<1, k \in[0,1]$,

$$
L(r, f)=O(1)\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{\sigma-\beta_{1}}, \quad \beta_{1}<\frac{\sigma}{2}
$$

where $\beta_{1}=\frac{k+\beta}{k+1}$ and $\sigma$ is given by (1.3), and $O(1)$ is a constant depending only on $k, \beta$.
Proof For $f \in k-U K_{s}(\beta)$, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
z f^{\prime}(z)=\Psi(z) h^{\sigma}(z), \quad h \in P, \Psi \in S^{*}\left(\beta_{1}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\Psi(z)=\{g(z)-g(-z)\}, g \in k-S T_{s}(\beta)$.
Since $\Psi \in S^{*}\left(\beta_{1}\right)$ and is odd, there exists an odd starlike function $\Psi_{1}(z)$ such that

$$
\Psi(z)=z\left(\frac{\Psi_{1}(z)}{z}\right)^{1-\beta_{1}}=z\left(\frac{\Psi_{1}(z)}{z}\right)^{\frac{1-\beta_{1}}{k+1}}
$$

Thus, with $z=r e^{i \theta}$,

$$
L(r, f)=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|z f^{\prime}(z)\right| d \theta=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|z^{\beta_{1}}\left(\Psi_{1}(z)\right)^{1-\beta_{1}} h^{\sigma}(z)\right| d \theta
$$

and using Hölder's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(r, f) \leq 2 \pi r^{\beta_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\Psi_{1}(z)\right|^{(1-\beta)\left(\frac{z}{z-\sigma}\right)} d \theta\right)^{\frac{2-\sigma}{z}}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}|h(z)|^{2} d \theta\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $h \in P$, it is well known [20] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}|h(z)|^{2} d \theta \leq \frac{1+3 r^{2}}{1-r^{2}} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (4.3) and subordination for odd starlike functions in (4.2), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(r, f) & \leq C\left(\beta_{1}, \sigma\right)\left(\frac{1}{1-r^{2}}\right)^{\left[\left(1-\beta_{1}\right)\left(\frac{2}{2-\sigma}\right)-1\right]\left[\frac{1+3 r^{2}}{1-r}\right]^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}} \\
& =O(1)\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{\sigma-\beta_{1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ and $O(1)$ are constants depending only on $\beta_{1}$ and $\sigma$. This completes the proof.
We now discuss the growth rate of coefficients of $f \in k-U K_{s}(\beta)$.

Theorem 4.2 Let $f \in k-U K_{s}(\beta)$ and be given by (1.1). Then

$$
a_{n}=O(1) n^{\sigma-\beta_{1}-1}, \quad n \geq 1, \beta_{1}<\frac{\sigma}{2}
$$

where $O(1)$ is a constant depending only on $\sigma$ and $\beta_{1}$ and $\sigma, \beta_{1}$ are as given in Theorem 4.1.
Proof For $z=r e^{i \theta}, n \geq 1$, Cauchy's Theorem gives us

$$
\begin{aligned}
n\left|a_{n}\right| & =\frac{1}{2 \pi r^{n+1}}\left|\int_{0}^{2 \pi} z f^{\prime}(z) e^{-i n \theta} d \theta\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi r^{n+1}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|z f^{\prime}(z)\right| d \theta \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi r^{n}} L(r, f)
\end{aligned}
$$

With $r=\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right)$, we use Theorem 4.1 and obtain the required result.
Theorem 4.3 Let $f \in k-U K_{s}(\beta)$ and let $F$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\frac{m+1}{2 z^{m}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{m-1}\{f(t)-f(-t)\} d t . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $F \in k-U K_{s}(\beta)$ in $E$. That is, the class $k-U K_{s}(\beta)$ is preserved under the integral operator (4.4).

Proof Since $f \in k-U K_{s}(\beta)$, we can write

$$
\left\{\frac{2 z f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)-g(-z)}\right\} \in P\left(p_{k, \beta}\right), \quad g \in k-S T_{s}(\beta) \subset S_{S}^{*}\left(\beta_{1}\right)
$$

Let $G(z)=\frac{1}{2}\left\{g_{1}(z)-g_{1}(-z)\right\}$ and be defined by (3.5). By Theorem 3.4, $g_{1} \in k-S T(\beta)$ and $G \in k-S_{s} T(\beta) \subset S_{s}^{*}\left(\beta_{1}\right)$. Let $G=z G_{1}^{\prime}$. Then we can write

$$
G_{1}^{\prime}(z)=\frac{1}{2}\left[z g_{1}(z)-g_{1}(-z)\right]^{\prime}, \quad G_{1} \in k-U C V_{s}(\beta) .
$$

Thus, from (4.4) and $g=z g_{1}^{\prime}, g_{1} \in C_{s}\left(\beta_{1}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2 F^{\prime}(z)}{\left[g_{1}(z)-g_{1}(-z)\right]^{\prime}} & =\frac{z^{m}\{f(z)-f(-z)\}-m \int_{0}^{z} t^{m-1}\{f(t)-f(-t)\} d t}{z^{m}\left\{g_{1}(z)-g_{1}(-z)\right\}-m \int_{0}^{z} t^{m-1}\left\{g_{1}(t)-g_{1}(-t)\right\} d t} \\
& =\frac{N(z)}{D(z)},
\end{aligned}
$$

say. We note that $N(0)=D(0)=0$, and for $g_{1} \in C_{S}\left(\beta_{1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left(z D^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{D^{\prime}(z)} & =m+\frac{\left\{z\left[g_{1}(z)-g_{1}(-z)\right]^{\prime}\right\}^{\prime}}{\left\{g_{1}(z)-g_{1}(-z)\right\}^{\prime}} \\
& =m+h_{1}(z), \quad h_{1} \in P\left(\beta_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $P\left(\beta_{1}\right)$ is a convex set, $D \in C_{s}\left(\beta_{1}\right) \subset S^{*}$ in $E$. We thus have

$$
\frac{N^{\prime}(z)}{D^{\prime}(z)}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{2 z f^{\prime}(z)}{\left[g_{1}(z)-g_{1}(-z)\right]^{\prime}}+\frac{2(-z) f^{\prime}(-z)}{\left[g_{1}(-z)-g_{1}(z)\right]^{\prime}}\right] \in P\left(p_{k, \beta}\right) .
$$

Now, using Lemma 2.2, it follows that

$$
\frac{N(z)}{D(z)}=\frac{2 F^{\prime}(z)}{\left(g_{1}(z)-g_{1}(-z)\right)^{\prime}} \in P\left(p_{k, \beta}\right) \quad \text { for } z \in E .
$$

This proves that $F \in k-U K_{S}(\beta)$ in $E$.

We study a partial converse of the above result as follows.
Theorem 4.4 Let $\left(\frac{2 z f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)-g(-z)}\right) \prec p_{k}(z)$ in $E$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}(z)=\frac{1}{1+m} z^{1-m}\left(z^{m} f(z)\right)^{\prime}, \quad m=1,2,3, \ldots \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $F_{1} \in K_{s}$ for $|z|<r_{1}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}=\left\{\frac{m+1}{\left(2-\beta_{1}\right)+\sqrt{\left(z-\beta_{1}\right)^{2}+(m+1)\left(m-1+2 \beta_{1}\right)}}\right\}, \quad \beta_{1}=\frac{k+\beta}{k+1} . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof We shall need the following well-known results for $p \in P(\alpha), 0 \leq \alpha<1$; see [4]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1-(1-2 \alpha) r}{1+r} \leq|p(z)| \leq \frac{1+(1-2 \alpha) r}{1-r},  \tag{4.7}\\
& \left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{2[\Re p(z)-\alpha]}{1-r^{2}} \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $f \in k-U K_{s}(\beta)$, there exists $g \in S_{s}^{*}\left(\beta_{1}\right)$ such that, for $z \in E$.

$$
\left(\frac{2 z f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)-g(-z)}\right)=p(z), \quad p \in P\left(p_{k}\right) \subset P(\alpha), \alpha=\frac{k}{k+1} .
$$

From (4.5), we have

$$
F_{1}(z)=\frac{1}{1+m}\left[m f(z)+z f^{\prime}(z)\right]
$$

and this gives us

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2 z F_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)-g(-z)} & =\frac{1}{m+1}\left[\frac{2 m f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)-g(-z)}+\frac{2 z\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{g(z)-g(-z)}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{m+1}\left[m p(z)+z p^{\prime}(z)+p(z) h(z)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
h(z)=\frac{z \Psi^{\prime}(z)}{\Psi(z)} \in P\left(\beta_{1}\right), \quad \Psi(z)=g(z)-g(-z)
$$

Now, using (4.7) and (4.8), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Re\left\{\frac{2 z F_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)-g(-z)}\right\} & \geq \frac{(\Re p(z)-\alpha)}{1+m}\left\{m+\frac{1-\left(1-2 \beta_{1}\right) r}{1+r}-\frac{2 r}{1-r^{2}}\right\} \\
& =\frac{\Re p(z)-\alpha}{1+m}\left[\frac{T(r)}{1-r^{2}}\right], \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
T(r)=(m+1)-2\left(2-\beta_{1}\right) r+\left(-m-2 \beta_{1}+1\right) r^{2} .
$$

We note that $T(0)=1+m>0$ and $T(1)=-3<0$. So there exists $r_{1} \in(0,1)$. The right hand side of (4.9) is positive for $|z|<r_{1}$, where $r_{1}$ is given by (4.6). This implies that $F \in K_{s}$ for $|z|<r_{1}$ and the proof is complete.

We have the following special cases.

1. For $k=0=\beta, f \in K_{s}$. Then $F_{1}$, defined by (4.5) belongs to $K_{s}$ for $|z|<r_{0}=\frac{1+m}{2+\sqrt{3+m^{2}}}$.
2. When $m=1$ and $\beta_{1}=0$ (that is, $k=0=\beta$ ), then $F_{1}(z)=\frac{(z f(z))^{\prime}}{2}$ belongs to the same class for $|z|<\frac{1}{2}$. This result has been proved by Livingston [12] for convex and starlike functions.
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