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#### Abstract

For $S(T)$, the sum of the two largest Laplacian eigenvalues of a tree $T$, an upper bound is obtained. Moreover, among all trees with $n \geq 4$ vertices, the unique tree which attains the maximal value of $S(T)$ is determined. MSC: 05C50 Keywords: upper bound; tree; Laplacian matrix


## 1 Introduction

Let $V(G)$ be the vertex set and $E(G)$ be the edge set of a graph $G$. The numbers of vertices and edges of $G$ are denoted by $n(G)$ and $m(G)$, respectively. For a vertex $v \in V(G)$, let $N_{G}(v)$ be the set of vertices adjacent to $v$ and $d_{G}(v)=\left|N_{G}(v)\right|$ be the degree of $v$. Particularly, denote by $\Delta(G)$ the maximum degree of $G$. The diameter of a connected graph $G$, denoted by $d(G)$, is the maximum distance among all pairs of vertices in $G$. Let $A(G)$ be the adjacency matrix of $G$ and $D(G)$ be the diagonal matrix of vertex degrees. The matrix $D(G)-A(G)$ is called the Laplacian matrix of $G$ and its eigenvalues are called the Laplacian eigenvalues of $G$. Let $\mu_{1} \geq \mu_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \mu_{n}$ be the Laplacian eigenvalues of a graph $G$ with $n$ vertices. It is well known that $\mu_{n}=0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mu_{i}=2 m(G)$. In particular, $\mu_{n-1}$ is called the algebraic connectivity of $G$ and it is denoted by $\alpha(G)$.
The Laplacian matrix is an important topic in the theory of graph spectra. Particularly, much literature has paid attention to $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{n-1}$ or $\mu_{1}-\mu_{n-1}$ for trees (see, for example, [1-6]). Let $S_{n}$ be the star of order $n, S_{a, b}^{k}$ be the tree obtained from two stars $S_{a+1}, S_{b+1}$ by joining a path of length $k$ between their central vertices (see Figure 1). As is well known, among all trees of order $n, S_{n}$ has the largest value of $\mu_{1}$ (see [7]) and $S_{n-3,1}^{1}$ has the second largest value of $\mu_{1}$ (see [6]). On the other hand, Guo [4] proved that these two trees also attain the first two smallest values of $\mu_{2}$, respectively. This implies that $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ cannot attain simultaneously the maximal (or minimal) value and even the relation between them seems like a seesaw. Therefore, it is interesting to investigate the value of $\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}$. Moreover, Zhang [6] showed that the $S_{k-1, k-1}^{1}, S_{k-1, k-2}^{2}, S_{k-2, k-2}^{3}$ attain simultaneously the largest value of $\mu_{2}$ among all trees with $2 k$ vertices. Then Shao et al. [5] showed that $S_{k-1, k-1}^{2}$ attains the largest value of $\mu_{2}$ among all trees with $2 k+1$ vertices.

Another motivation to study the value of $\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}$ came from a result of Haemers et al. [8], who showed that $\mu_{1}+\mu_{2} \leq m(G)+3$ for any graph $G$. This result implies that Brouwer's

Figure $1 S_{a, b}^{k}$ : a tree of order $a+b+k+1$.

conjecture [9],

$$
\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}+\cdots+\mu_{k} \leq m(G)+\binom{k+1}{2}
$$

is true for $k=2$. Considering a tree $T$, we have $\mu_{1}+\mu_{2} \leq n(T)+2$. Recently, Fritscher et al. [10] improved this bound by giving $\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}<n(T)+2-\frac{2}{n(T)}$. This paper determines the extremal tree that attains the bound of $\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}$. Moreover, for general connected graphs, we also give a conjecture on the extremal graphs for $\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}$.

## 2 A sharp upper bound of $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{1}}+\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{2}}$

Let $S_{k}(G)$ be the sum of the largest $k$ Laplacian eigenvalues of a graph $G$. When $k=2$, we shall write $S(G)$ instead of $S_{k}(G)$ for simplicity. For graphs $G$ and $H$, we denote by $G \cup H$ the graph with vertex set $V(G) \cup V(H)$ and edge set $E(G) \cup E(H)$. The following lemmas come from an important result as regards a real symmetric matrix.

Lemma 2.1 ([8]) Let $G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{r}$ be some edge-disjoint graphs. Then $S_{k}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} G_{i}\right) \leq$ $\sum_{i=1}^{r} S_{k}\left(G_{i}\right)$ for any $k$.

Lemma $2.2([8])$ For any graph $G, S(G) \leq m(G)+3$.

Lemma 2.3 Let $G$ be a connected graph, $d_{i}=d_{G}\left(v_{i}\right)$ and $m_{i}=\Sigma_{v_{j} \in N_{G}\left(v_{i}\right)} d_{j} / d_{i}$. Then
(i) [11] $\mu_{1}(G) \geq \Delta(G)+1$, with equality if and only if $\Delta(G)=n(G)-1$.
(ii) $[7] \mu_{1}(G) \leq n(G)$, with equality if and only if the complement of $G$ is disconnected.
(iii) $[12] \mu_{1}(G) \leq \max \left\{d_{i}+m_{i} \mid v_{i} \in V(G)\right\}$.

Lemma 2.4 ([6]) Let $T$ be a tree oforder $n$. If $T \nexists S_{n}$, then $\mu_{1}(T) \leq \mu_{1}\left(S_{n-3,1}^{1}\right)$, with equality if and only if $T \cong S_{n-3,1}^{1}$.

Corollary 2.5 Let $T$ be a tree with $n$ vertices and diameter $d \geq 3$. Then $\mu_{1}(T)<n-0.5$.

Proof Note that any tree $T$ has diameter $d \geq 3$ if $T \nexists S_{n}$. According to Lemma 2.4, $\mu_{1}(T) \leq$ $\mu_{1}\left(S_{n-3,1}^{1}\right)$. Further, by Lemma 2.3,

$$
\mu_{1}\left(S_{n-3,1}^{1}\right) \leq \max \left\{d_{i}+m_{i}\right\}=n-2+\frac{n-1}{n-2}=n-1+\frac{1}{n-2}<n-0.5
$$

for $n \geq 5$. For $n=4$, a straightforward calculation shows that $\mu_{1}\left(S_{1,1}^{1}\right)=2+\sqrt{2}<3.5$.
Lemma 2.6 ([2]) Let $T$ be a tree of order $n$ and diameter $d \geq 3$. Then $\alpha(T) \geq$ $\alpha\left(S_{\left\lceil\frac{n-d+1}{2}\right\rceil,\left\lfloor\frac{n-d+1}{2}\right\rfloor}^{d-2}\right)$, with equality if and only if $T \cong S_{\left\lceil\frac{n-d+1}{2}\right\rceil,\left\lfloor\frac{n-d+1}{2}\right\rfloor}^{d-2}$.

Lemma 2.7 ([11]) Let $G$ be a graph with a vertex $u$ of degree one. Then $\alpha(G) \leq \alpha(G-u)$.

Lemma 2.7 implies that the algebraic connectivity of a tree is not greater than that of its subtree.

Lemma 2.8 ([4]) Let $T_{n}^{k}(n \geq 2 k+1)$ be a tree obtained from a star $S_{n-k}$ by replacing its $k$ edges with $k$ paths of length two, respectively. If $k \geq 2$, then $\mu_{2}\left(T_{n}^{k}\right)=\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}$.

The following lemma can be found in [13] and is known as the Interlacing Theorem of Laplacian eigenvalues.

Lemma 2.9 Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$ and $H$ be a graph obtained from $G$ by deleting an edge. Then

$$
\mu_{1}(G) \geq \mu_{1}(H) \geq \cdots \geq \mu_{n}(G) \geq \mu_{n}(H)=0 .
$$

Next we give the main theorem of this section. Its proof is divided into several sequent claims.

Theorem 2.10 For any tree $T$ with order $n \geq 4, S(T) \leq S\left(S_{\left[\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rceil,\left\lfloor\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rfloor}^{1}\right)$. The equality holds if and only if $T \cong S_{\left\lceil\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rceil,\left\lfloor\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rfloor}^{1}$.

Claim 2.11 For any tree $T$ with order $n \geq 4$ and diameter $d \leq 3, S(T)<S\left(S_{\left\lceil\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rceil,\left\lfloor\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rfloor}^{1}\right)$ except that $T \cong S_{\left\lceil\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rceil,\left\lfloor\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rfloor}^{1}$.

Proof If $d(T)=3$, then $T \cong S_{a, b}^{1}$ for some positive integers $a, b$ with $a+b=n-2$. It is well known that the Laplacian characteristic polynomial of $S_{a, b}^{1}$ is $\mu(\mu-1)^{n-4} f_{a, b}(\mu)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{a, b}(\mu)=\mu^{3}-(n+2) \mu^{2}+(a b+2 n+1) \mu-n \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $S_{a, b}^{1}$ contains $S_{1,1}^{1}$ as a subtree. By Lemma 2.9, $\mu_{2}\left(S_{a, b}^{1}\right) \geq \mu_{2}\left(S_{1,1}^{1}\right)=2$. Moreover, we know that for any tree $T, \alpha(T) \leq 1$, with equality if and only if $T$ is a star. These imply that $\mu_{1}\left(S_{a, b}^{1}\right), \mu_{2}\left(S_{a, b}^{1}\right)$, and $\alpha\left(S_{a, b}^{1}\right)$ consist of the three roots of $f_{a, b}(\mu)$. As follows from (1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1}\left(S_{a, b}^{1}\right)+\mu_{2}\left(S_{a, b}^{1}\right)+\alpha\left(S_{a, b}^{1}\right)=n+2 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of Lemma 2.6, we have $\alpha\left(S_{a, b}^{1}\right)>\alpha\left(S_{\left\lceil\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rceil,\left\lfloor\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rfloor}^{1}\right)$ except that $(a, b)=\left(\left\lceil\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rceil,\left\lfloor\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rfloor\right)$. Equivalently, $S\left(S_{a, b}^{1}\right)<S\left(S_{\left\lceil\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rceil,\left\lfloor\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rfloor}^{1}\right)$ except that $(a, b)=\left(\left\lceil\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rceil,\left\lfloor\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rfloor\right)$.

If $d(T)=2$, then $T \cong S_{n}$. We first give a lower bound of $S\left(S_{\left\lceil\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rceil,\left\lfloor\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rfloor}^{1}\right)$ for $n \geq 6$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(S_{\left\lceil\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rceil,\left\lfloor\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rfloor}^{1}\right)>n+1.5 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, by (2) it suffices to show $\alpha\left(S_{\left\lceil\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rceil,\left\lfloor\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rfloor}^{1}\right)<0.5$. Note that for $n \geq 6, S_{\left\lceil\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rceil,\left\lfloor\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rfloor}^{1}$ contains $S_{2,2}^{1}$ as a subtree. By Lemma 2.7, $\alpha\left(S_{\left\lceil\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rceil,\left\lfloor\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rfloor}^{1}\right) \leq \alpha\left(S_{2,2}^{1}\right)=\frac{5-\sqrt{17}}{2}<0.5$.

Note that $S\left(S_{n}\right)=n+1$ for $n \geq 3$. According to (3), we have $S\left(S_{n}\right)<S\left(S_{\left\lceil\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rceil,\left\lfloor\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rfloor}^{1}\right)$ for $n \geq 6$. As for $n \in\{4,5\}$, a straightforward calculation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(S_{1,1}^{1}\right) \approx 5.4142, \quad S\left(S_{2,1}^{1}\right) \approx 6.4811 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also we have $S\left(S_{n}\right)<S\left(S_{\left\lceil\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rceil,\left\lfloor\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rfloor}^{1}\right)$.
Claim 2.12 For any tree $T$ with order $n$ and diameter $d \geq 5, S(T)<S\left(S_{\left\lceil\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rceil,\left\lfloor\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rfloor}^{1}\right)$.
Proof Since $d(T) \geq 5$, then $n \geq 6$ and there is a path of length 5 in $T$. By inequality (3), it suffices to show $S(T) \leq n+1.5$. First suppose that there is a path $v_{0} v_{1} \cdots v_{5}$ in $T$ such that either $\max \left\{d_{T}\left(v_{0}\right), d_{T}\left(v_{5}\right)\right\} \geq 2$ or $\max \left\{d_{T}\left(v_{2}\right), d_{T}\left(v_{3}\right)\right\} \geq 3$. Let $T_{1}, T_{2}$ be the two components of $T-\nu_{2} \nu_{3}$. Clearly, both $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ have at least two edges.
If $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ of $T_{1} \cup T_{2}$ attain at the same component, say $T_{1}$, then by Lemma 2.2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(T_{1} \cup T_{2}\right)=S\left(T_{1}\right) \leq m\left(T_{1}\right)+3 \leq m\left(T_{1} \cup T_{2}\right)+1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $S\left(v_{2} v_{3}\right)=S\left(S_{2}\right)=2$. By Lemma 2.1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(T) \leq S\left(T_{1} \cup T_{2}\right)+S\left(v_{2} v_{3}\right) \leq m\left(T_{1} \cup T_{2}\right)+3=m(T)+2=n+1 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Otherwise, $S\left(T_{1} \cup T_{2}\right)=\mu_{1}\left(T_{1}\right)+\mu_{1}\left(T_{2}\right)$. Whether $\max \left\{d_{T}\left(v_{0}\right), d_{T}\left(v_{5}\right)\right\} \geq 2$ or $\max \left\{d_{T}\left(v_{2}\right), d_{T}\left(\nu_{3}\right)\right\} \geq 3$, we can observe that $\max \left\{d\left(T_{1}\right), d\left(T_{2}\right)\right\} \geq 3$. Say $d\left(T_{2}\right) \geq 3$, then by Corollary 2.5, $\mu_{1}\left(T_{2}\right)<n\left(T_{2}\right)-0.5$. By Lemma 2.3(ii), $\mu_{1}\left(T_{1}\right) \leq n\left(T_{1}\right)$. Hence,

$$
S(T) \leq S\left(T_{1} \cup T_{2}\right)+S\left(v_{2} \nu_{3}\right)=n\left(T_{1}\right)+n\left(T_{2}\right)-0.5+2=n+1.5 .
$$

Next, we may assume that each path $v_{0} v_{1} \cdots v_{5}$ of length 5 in $T$ has $d_{T}\left(v_{0}\right)=d_{T}\left(v_{5}\right)=1$ and $d_{T}\left(v_{2}\right)=d_{T}\left(v_{3}\right)=2$. This implies that $d(T)=5$ and $T \cong S_{a, b}^{3}$ for some integers $a, b$ with $a+b=n-4$. If $a=b=1$, then $T$ is isomorphic to a path of order 6 and a straightforward calculation shows that $S(T)=5+\sqrt{3}<n+1.5$, as claimed. Otherwise, assume without loss of generality that $a \geq 2$. Then $d_{T}\left(v_{1}\right) \geq 3$. Let $T_{3}, T_{4}$ be the two components of $T-v_{1} v_{2}$ with $v_{0} v_{1} \in E\left(T_{3}\right)$. Then both $T_{3}$ and $T_{4}$ have at least two edges. If $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ of $T_{3} \cup T_{4}$ attain at the same component, say $T_{3}$, then by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2,

$$
S(T) \leq S\left(T_{3} \cup T_{4}\right)+S\left(v_{1} v_{2}\right)=S\left(T_{3}\right)+2 \leq m\left(T_{3}\right)+5 \leq m(T)+2=n+1 .
$$

Otherwise, $S\left(T_{3} \cup T_{4}\right)=\mu_{1}\left(T_{3}\right)+\mu_{1}\left(T_{4}\right)$. Note that $\mu_{1}\left(T_{3}\right) \leq n\left(T_{3}\right)$. Since $d\left(T_{4}\right)=3$, by Corollary 2.5, $\mu_{1}\left(T_{4}\right)<n\left(T_{4}\right)-0.5$. So

$$
S(T) \leq S\left(T_{3} \cup T_{4}\right)+S\left(v_{1} v_{2}\right) \leq n\left(T_{3}\right)+n\left(T_{4}\right)-0.5+2=n+1.5
$$

Claim 2.13 For any tree $T$ with order $n$ and diameter $4, S(T)<S\left(S_{\left\lceil\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rceil,\left\lfloor\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rfloor}^{1}\right)$.
Proof First suppose that $T$ contains a path $v_{0} v_{1} \cdots v_{4}$ such that $\max \left\{d_{T}\left(v_{1}\right), d_{T}\left(v_{3}\right)\right\} \geq 3$. Now $n \geq 6$ and it suffices to show $S(T) \leq n+1.5$. Without loss of generality assume that
$d_{T}\left(v_{1}\right) \geq 3$. Let $T_{1}, T_{2}$ be the two components of $T-v_{1} v_{2}$ with $v_{0} v_{1} \in E\left(T_{1}\right)$. Then both $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ have at least two edges.

If $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ of $T_{1} \cup T_{2}$ attain at the same component, say $T_{1}$, then similarly to inequalities (5) and (6), we can observe that $S(T) \leq n+1$.

Now let $S\left(T_{1} \cup T_{2}\right)=\mu_{1}\left(T_{1}\right)+\mu_{1}\left(T_{2}\right)$. If $d_{T}\left(v_{2}\right) \geq 3$, then $d\left(T_{2}\right) \geq 3$ and hence $\mu_{1}\left(T_{2}\right)<$ $n\left(T_{2}\right)-0.5$. So

$$
S(T) \leq S\left(T_{1} \cup T_{2}\right)+S\left(v_{1} \nu_{2}\right)<n\left(T_{1}\right)+n\left(T_{2}\right)-0.5+2=n+1.5 .
$$

If $d_{T}\left(v_{2}\right)=2$, then $T \cong S_{a, b}^{2}$ for some positive integers $a, b$ with $3 \leq a+b=n-3$. Moreover, since $d_{T}\left(v_{1}\right) \geq 3$, then $a \geq 2$. If $(a, b) \in\{(2,1),(3,1)\}$, a straightforward calculations show that $S\left(S_{a, b}^{2}\right)<n+1.5$. Otherwise, $S_{a, b}^{2}$ contains either $S_{4,1}^{2}$ or $S_{2,2}^{2}$ as a subtree. Since

$$
\mu_{3}\left(S_{4,1}^{2}\right) \approx 1.5068, \quad \mu_{3}\left(S_{2,2}^{2}\right) \approx 1.5858
$$

it follows from Lemma 2.9 that $\mu_{3}\left(S_{a, b}^{2}\right)>1.5$. Since $S_{a, b}^{2}$ is not a star, $\mu_{n-1}\left(S_{a, b}^{2}\right)<1$. On the other hand, note that the matrix $1 \cdot I_{n}-\left[D\left(S_{a, b}^{2}\right)-A\left(S_{a, b}^{2}\right)\right]$ has $a$ identical rows and $b$ different identical rows, so the multiplicity of eigenvalue 1 is at least $a+b-2$ and else five eigenvalues are $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{3}, \mu_{n-1}$ and $\mu_{n}=0$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i}\left(S_{a, b}^{2}\right)=2(n-1)$, we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{3} \mu_{i}\left(S_{a, b}^{2}\right)+\mu_{n-1}\left(S_{a, b}^{2}\right)+\mu_{n}\left(S_{a, b}^{2}\right)=2(n-1)-(a+b-2)=n+3
$$

This implies that $S\left(S_{a, b}^{2}\right)<n+3-\mu_{3}\left(S_{a, b}^{2}\right)<n+1.5$.
Next, it suffices to consider the case that each path $v_{0} v_{1} \cdots v_{4}$ of $T$ has $d_{T}\left(v_{1}\right)=d_{T}\left(v_{3}\right)=2$. This implies that $T \cong T_{n}^{k}$ for some $k \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2 k+1$, since $d(T)=4$. According to Lemma 2.8, $\mu_{2}\left(T_{n}^{k}\right)=\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}$. Moreover, by Lemma 2.3,

$$
\mu_{1}\left(T_{n}^{k}\right) \leq \max \left\{d_{i}+m_{i}\right\}=n-k-1+\frac{n-1}{n-k-1} \leq n-2+\frac{2}{n-3} .
$$

Thus for $n \geq 6$,

$$
S\left(T_{n}^{k}\right) \leq n-2+\frac{2}{n-3}+\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}<n+1.5<S\left(S_{\left\lceil\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rceil,\left\lfloor\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rfloor}^{1}\right) .
$$

When $n=5, T_{n}^{k}$ is a path. Comparing with (4), $S\left(T_{n}^{k}\right)=4+\sqrt{5}<S\left(S_{2,1}^{1}\right)$. This completes the proof.

Following from Claims 2.11-2.13, Theorem 2.10 holds and the unique tree with maximal $S(T)$ is $S_{\left\lceil\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rceil,\left\lfloor\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rfloor}^{1}$. According to (2),

$$
\mu\left(S_{\left\lceil\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rceil,\left\lfloor\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rfloor}^{1}\right)<n+2=m\left(S_{\left.\left\lceil\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rceil\right\rceil\left\lfloor\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rfloor}^{1}\right)+3 .
$$

Theorem 2.14 Let $m$, $n$ be two positive integers with $n \leq m \leq 2 n-3$ and $G_{m, n}$ be a graph of order $n$ and size $m$ obtained from a given edge uv by joining $m-n+1$ independent vertices with $u$ and $v$, respectively, and another $2 n-m-3$ independent vertices with $u$. Then $S\left(G_{m, n}\right)=m+3$.

Proof Let $H_{s, t}$ be a graph obtained by joining a vertex to $s$ vertices of a given complete graph of order $s+t$ and $H_{s, t}^{c}$ be its complement graph. Then $H_{s, t}^{c}$ is isomorphic to the union of $S_{t+1}$ and $s$ isolated vertices. Clearly, the Laplacian eigenvalues of $H_{s, t}^{c}$ consist of $t+1,1$ with multiplicity $t-1$ and 0 with multiplicity $s+1$. Recall that for any graph $G$ with $n$ vertices, $\mu_{i}(G)=n-\mu_{n-i}\left(G^{c}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $\mu_{n}(G)=0$. So the Laplacian eigenvalues of $H_{s, t}$ consist of $s+t+1$ with multiplicity $s, s+t$ with multiplicity $t-1$, $s$ and 0 .
Now $G_{m, n}^{c}$ is isomorphic to the union of $H_{2 n-m-3, m-n+1}$ and an isolated vertex. So the Laplacian eigenvalues of $G_{m, n}^{c}$ consist of $n-1$ with multiplicity $2 n-m-3, n-2$ with multiplicity $m-n, 2 n-m-3$, and 0 with multiplicity 2 . Therefore, the Laplacian eigenvalues of $G_{m, n}$ consist of $n, m-n+3,2$ with multiplicity $m-n, 1$ with multiplicity $2 n-m-3$ and 0 . So $S\left(G_{m, n}\right)=n+(m-n+3)=m+3$.

Recall that $\mu_{1}(G) \leq n(G)$ for any graph $G$. When $m(G)>2 n(G)-3$, Haemers' bound is clearly not attainable. Theorem 2.14 implies that if $m(G) \leq 2 n(G)-3$, Haemers' bound is always sharp for connected graphs other than trees. Ending the paper, we present a conjecture on the uniqueness of the extremal graph.

Conjecture 2.15 Among all connected graphs with $n$ vertices and $n \leq m \leq 2 n-3$ edges, $G_{m, n}$ is the unique graph with maximal value of $\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}$.
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