# RESEARCH

# **Open Access**

# Fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings in *G*-cone metric spaces

Akbar Azam<sup>\*</sup> and Nayyar Mehmood

\*Correspondence: akbarazam@yahoo.com Department of Mathematics, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Chak Shahzad, Islamabad 44000, Pakistan

## Abstract

We extend the idea of Hausdorff distance function in *G*-cone metric spaces and obtain fixed points of multivalued mappings in *G*-cone metric spaces. **MSC:** 47H10; 54H25

**Keywords:** *G*-cone metric space; non-normal cones; multivalued contraction; fixed points

# **1** Introduction

The main revolution in the existence theory of many linear and non-linear operators happened after the Banach contraction principle. After this principle many researchers put their efforts into studying the existence and solutions for nonlinear equations (algebraic, differential and integral), a system of linear (nonlinear) equations and convergence of many computational methods [1]. Banach contraction gave us many important theories like variational inequalities, optimization theory and many computational theories [1, 2]. Due to wide spreading importance of Banach contraction, many authors generalized it in several directions [3–9]. Nadler [10] was first to present it in a multivalued case, and then many authors extended Nadler's multivalued contraction. One of the real generalizations of Nadler's theorem was given by Mizoguchi and Takahashi in the following way.

**Theorem 1.1** [11] Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, and let  $T : X \to 2^X$  be a multivalued map such that Tx is a closed bounded subset of X for all  $x \in X$ . If there exists a function  $\varphi : (0, \infty) \to [0, 1)$  such that  $\limsup_{r \to t^+} \varphi(r) < 1$  for all  $t \in [0, \infty)$  and if

 $H(Tx, Ty) \le \varphi(d(x, y))(d(x, y))$  for all  $x, y(x \ne y) \in X$ ,

then T has a fixed point in X.

Suzuki [12] proved that Mizoguchi and Takahashi's theorem is a real generalization of Nadler's theorem. Recently Huang and Zhang [13] introduced a cone metric space with a normal cone with a constant K, which is generalization of a metric space. After that Rezapour and Hamlbarani [14] generalized a cone metric space with a non-normal cone. Afterwards many researchers [15–24] have studied fixed point results in cone metric spaces. In [25] Mustafa *et al.* generalized the metric space and introduced the notion of *G*-metric space which recovered the flaws of Dhage's generalization [26, 27] of a metric space. Many



© 2013 Azam and Mehmood; licensee Springer. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. researchers proved many fixed point results using a *G*-metric space [28, 29]. Anchalee Kaewcharoen and Attapol Kaewkhao [28] and Nedal *et al.* [30] proved fixed point results for multivalued maps in *G*-metric spaces. In 2009, Beg *et al.* [31] introduced the notion of *G*-cone metric space and generalized some results. Chi-Ming Cheng [32] proved Nadler-type results in tvs *G*-cone metric spaces.

In 2011 Cho and Bae [33] generalized a Mizoguchi Takahashi-type theorem in a cone metric space. In the present paper, we introduce the notion of Hausdorff distance function on *G*-cone metric spaces and exploit it to study some fixed point results in *G*-cone metric spaces. Our result generalizes many results in literature.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let *E* be a real Banach space. A subset *P* of *E* is called a cone if and only if:

- (a) *P* is closed, nonempty and  $P \neq \{\theta\}$ ,
- (b)  $a, b \in R, a, b \ge 0, x, y \in P$  implies  $ax + by \in P$ , more generally, if  $a, b, c \in R, a, b, c \ge 0$ ,  $x, y, z \in P \Longrightarrow ax + by + cz \in P$ ,
- (c)  $P \cap (-P) = \{\theta\}.$

Given a cone  $P \subset E$ , we define a partial ordering  $\preccurlyeq$  with respect to P by  $x \preccurlyeq y$  if and only if  $y - x \in P$ .

A cone *P* is called normal if there is a number K > 0 such that for all  $x, y \in E$ 

 $\theta \preccurlyeq x \preccurlyeq y \text{ implies } ||x|| \le K ||y||.$ 

The least positive number satisfying the above inequality is called the normal constant of *P*, while  $x \ll y$  stands for  $y - x \in int P$  (interior of *P*), while  $x \prec y$  means  $x \preccurlyeq y$  and  $x \neq y$ .

Rezapour [14] proved that there are no normal cones with normal constants K < 1 and for each k > 1, there are cones with normal constants K > 1.

**Remark 2.1** [34] The results concerning fixed points and other results, in the case of cone spaces with non-normal solid cones, cannot be provided by reducing to metric spaces, because in this case neither of the conditions of Lemmas 1-4 in [13] hold. Further, the vector cone metric is not continuous in a general case, *i.e.*, from  $x_n \rightarrow x$ ,  $y_n \rightarrow y$  it need not follow that  $d(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow d(x, y)$ .

For the case of non-normal cones, we have the following properties.

- (PT1) If  $u \preccurlyeq v$  and  $v \ll w$ , then  $u \ll w$ .
- (PT2) If  $u \ll v$  and  $v \preccurlyeq w$ , then  $u \ll w$ .
- (PT3) If  $u \ll v$  and  $v \ll w$ , then  $u \ll w$ .
- (PT4) If  $\theta \preccurlyeq u \ll c$  for each  $c \in int P$ , then  $u = \theta$ .
- (PT5) If  $a \preccurlyeq b + c$  for each  $c \in int P$ , then  $a \preccurlyeq b$ .
- (PT6) If *E* is a real Banach space with a cone *P*, and if  $a \leq \lambda a$ , where  $a \in P$  and  $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ , then  $a = \theta$ .
- (PT7) If  $c \in int P$ ,  $a_n \in \mathbb{E}$  and  $a_n \to \theta$ , then there exists an  $n_0$  such that, for all  $n > n_0$ , we have  $a_n \ll c$ .

In the following we shall always assume that the cone *P* is solid and non-normal.

**Definition 2.1** [31] Let *X* be a nonempty set. Suppose that a mapping  $G: X \times X \times X \rightarrow E$  satisfies:

- (G1)  $G(x, y, z) = \theta$  if x = y = z,
- (G2)  $\theta \prec G(x, x, y)$ , whenever  $x \neq y$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ ,
- (G3)  $G(x, x, y) \preccurlyeq G(x, y, z)$ , whenever  $y \neq z$ ,
- (G4)  $G(x, y, z) = G(x, z, y) = G(y, x, z) = \cdots$  (symmetric in all three variables),
- (G5)  $G(x, y, z) \preccurlyeq G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z, a \in X$ .

Then *G* is called a generalized cone metric on *X*, and *X* is called a generalized cone metric space or, more specifically, a *G*-cone metric space.

The concept of a *G*-cone metric space is more general than that of *G*-metric spaces and cone metric spaces (see [31]).

**Definition 2.2** [31] A *G*-cone metric space *X* is symmetric if G(x, y, y) = G(y, x, x) for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Example 2.1** [31] Let (X, d) be a cone metric space. Define  $G : X \times X \times X \to E$  by G(x, y, z) = d(x, y) + d(y, z) + d(z, x). Then (X, G) is a *G*-cone metric space.

**Proposition 2.1** [31] Let X be a G-cone metric space, define  $d_G: X \times X \to E$  by

 $d_G(x, y) = G(x, y, y) + G(y, x, x).$ 

Then  $(X, d_G)$  is a cone metric space.

It can be noted that  $G(x, y, y) \preccurlyeq \frac{2}{3}d_G(x, y)$ . If *X* is a symmetric *G*-cone metric space, then  $d_G(x, y) = 2G(x, y, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Definition 2.3** [31] Let *X* be a *G*-cone metric space and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in *X*. We say that  $\{x_n\}$  is:

- (a) a Cauchy sequence if for every  $c \in E$  with  $\theta \ll c$ , there is N such that for all n, m, l > N,  $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) \ll c$ .
- (b) a convergent sequence if for every *c* in *E* with  $\theta \ll c$ , there is *N* such that for all m, n > N,  $G(x_m, x_n, x) \ll c$  for some fixed *x* in *X*. Here *x* is called the limit of a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  and is denoted by  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$  or  $x_n \to x$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

A *G*-cone metric space X is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent in X.

**Proposition 2.2** [31] Let X be a G-cone metric space, then the following are equivalent.

- (i)  $\{x_n\}$  converges to x.
- (ii)  $G(x_n, x_n, x) \to \theta \text{ as } n \to \infty$ .
- (iii)  $G(x_n, x, x) \to \theta \text{ as } n \to \infty$ .
- (iv)  $G(x_m, x_n, x) \rightarrow \theta \text{ as } m, n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Lemma 2.1** [31] Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in a G-cone metric space X. If  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $x \in X$ , then  $G(x_m, x_n, x) \to \theta$  as  $m, n \to \infty$ .

**Lemma 2.2** [31] Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in a G-cone metric space X and  $x \in X$ . If  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $x \in X$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

**Lemma 2.3** [31] Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in a G-cone metric space X. If  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X, then  $G(x_m, x_n, x_l) \rightarrow \theta$ , as  $m, n, l \rightarrow \infty$ .

## 3 Main result

Denote by N(X), B(X) and CB(X) the set of nonempty, bounded, sequentially closed bounded subsets of *G*-cone metric spaces, respectively.

Let (X, G) be a *G*-cone metric space. We define (see [33])

$$s(p) = \{q \in E : p \preccurlyeq q\} \text{ for } q \in E,$$

and

$$s(a,B) = \bigcup_{b\in B} s(d_G(a,b)) = \bigcup_{b\in B} \{x\in E: d_G(a,b) \preccurlyeq x\} \text{ for } a\in X \text{ and } B\in N(X).$$

For  $A, B \in B(X)$ , we define

$$\hat{s}(A,B) = \bigcup_{a \in A, b \in B} s(d_G(a,b)),$$
  
$$s(a,B,C) = s(a,B) + \hat{s}(B,C) + s(a,C) = \{u + v + w : u \in s(a,B), v \in \hat{s}(B,C), w \in s(a,C)\},$$

and

$$s(A,B,C) = \left(\bigcap_{a \in A} s(a,B,C)\right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{b \in B} s(b,A,C)\right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{c \in C} s(c,A,B)\right).$$

**Lemma 3.1** Let (X, G) be a G-cone metric space, let P be a cone in a Banach space E.

- (i) Let  $p, q \in E$ . If  $p \preccurlyeq q$ , then  $s(q) \subset s(p)$ .
- (ii) Let  $x \in X$  and  $A \in N(X)$ . If  $0 \in s(x, A)$ , then  $x \in A$ .
- (iii) Let  $q \in P$  and let  $A, B, C \in B(X)$  and  $a \in A$ . If  $q \in s(A, B, C)$ , then  $q \in s(a, B, C)$ .

**Remark 3.1** Recently, Kaewcharoen and Kaewkhao [28] (see also [30]) introduced the following concepts. Let *X* be a *G*-metric space and let CB(X) be the family of all nonempty closed bounded subsets of *X*. Let  $H_G(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  be the Hausdorff *G*-distance on CB(X), *i.e.*,

$$H_G(A, B, C) = \max\left\{\sup_{a \in A} G(a, B, C), \sup_{b \in B} G(b, A, C), \sup_{c \in C} G(c, A, B)\right\},$$
$$H_{d_G}(A, B) = \max\left\{\sup_{a \in A} d_G(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d_G(b, A)\right\},$$

where

$$G(x, B, C) = d_G(x, B) + d_G(B, C) + d_G(x, C),$$
  
$$d_G(x, B) = \inf \{ d_G(x, y), y \in B \},$$

The above expressions show a relation between  $H_G$  and  $H_{d_G}$ . Moreover, note that if (X,G) is a *G*-cone metric space, E = R, and  $P = [0,\infty)$ , then (X,G) is a *G*-metric space. Also, for  $A, B, C \in CB(X), H_G(A, B, C) = \inf s(A, B, C)$ .

**Remark 3.2** Let (X, G) be a *G*-cone metric space. Then

(a)  $\hat{s}(\{a\},\{b\}) = s(d_G(a,b))$  for  $a, b \in X$ .

(b) If  $x \in s(a, B, B)$  then  $x \in 2s(d_G(a, b))$ .

*Proof* (a) By definition

$$\hat{s}(\{a\},\{b\}) = \bigcup_{a \in \{a\}, b \in \{b\}} s(d_G(a,b))$$
  
=  $s(d_G(a,b)).$ 

(b) Now let

$$x \in s(a, B, B), \text{ then}$$

$$x \in s(a, B, B) = s(a, B) + \hat{s}(B, B) + s(a, B)$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad x \in 2s(a, B) + \hat{s}(B, B)$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad x \in 2s(d_G(a, b)) + s(\theta).$$

Let x = y + z for  $y \in 2s(d_G(a, b))$  and  $z \in s(\theta)$ . Then by definition  $\theta \preccurlyeq z$  and  $2d_G(a, b) \preccurlyeq y$ , which implies  $\theta + 2d_G(a, b) \preccurlyeq y + z = x$ . Hence  $2d_G(a, b) \preccurlyeq x$ , so  $x \in 2s(d_G(a, b))$ .

In the following theorem, we use the generalized Hausdorff distance on *G*-cone metric spaces to find fixed points of a multivalued mapping.

**Remark 3.3** If (X, G) is a *G*-metric space, then  $(X, d_G)$  is a metric space, where

 $d_G(x, y) = G(x, y, y) + G(y, x, x).$ 

It is noticed in [35] that in the symmetric case ((X, G) is symmetric), many fixed point theorems on *G*-metric spaces are particular cases of existing fixed point theorems in metric spaces. In these deductions, the fact G(Tx, Ty, Ty) + G(Ty, Tx, Tx) = 2G(Tx, Ty, Ty) = $d_G(Tx, Ty)$  is exploited for a single-valued mapping *T* on *X*. Whereas in the case of multivalued mapping  $T: X \to 2^X$  on a *G*-cone metric space,

$$\begin{split} s(Tx, Ty, Ty) &= \left(\bigcap_{a \in Tx} s(a, Ty, Ty)\right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{b \in Ty} s(b, Tx, Ty)\right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{b \in Ty} s(b, Tx, Ty)\right) \\ &= \left(\bigcap_{a \in Tx} s(a, Ty, Ty)\right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{b \in Ty} s(b, Tx, Ty)\right) \end{split}$$

$$= \left(\bigcap_{a \in Tx} 2s(a, Ty)\right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{b \in Ty} s(b, Tx) + \hat{s}(Tx, Ty) + s(b, Ty)\right)$$
  
$$\neq s(Ty, Tx, Tx).$$

Therefore,

$$\left(\bigcap_{a\in Tx} s(a,Ty)\right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{b\in Ty} s(b,Tx)\right) \neq s(Tx,Ty,Ty) + s(Ty,Tx,Tx)$$

and even in a symmetric case, we cannot follow a similar technique to deduce *G*-cone metric multivalued fixed point results from similar results of metric spaces.

In a non-symmetric case, the authors [35] deduce some *G*-metric fixed point theorems from similar results of metric spaces by using the fact that if (X, G) is a *G*-metric on *X*, then

$$\delta(x, y) = \max\left\{G(x, y, y), G(y, x, x)\right\}$$

is a metric on X. Whereas, in the case of a G-cone metric space, the expression  $\max\{G(x, y, y), G(y, x, x)\}$  is meaningless as G(x, y, y), G(y, x, x) are vectors, not essentially comparable, and we cannot find maximum of these elements. That is,  $(X, \delta)$  may not be a cone metric space if (X, G) is a G-cone metric space. In the explanation of this fact, we refer to Example 3.1 below, from [31]. Hence multivalued fixed point results on G-cone metric spaces cannot be deduced from similar fixed point theorems on metric spaces.

**Example 3.1** [31] Let  $X = \{a, b\}, E = R^3$ ,

$$P = \{(x, y, z) \in E : x, y, z \ge 0\}.$$

Define  $G: X \times X \times X \rightarrow E$  by

$$G(a, a, a) = (0, 0, 0) = G(b, b, b),$$
  

$$G(a, b, b) = (0, 1, 1) = G(b, a, b) = G(b, b, a),$$
  

$$G(b, a, a) = (0, 1, 0) = G(a, b, a) = G(a, a, b).$$

Note that  $\delta(a, b) = \max\{G(a, a, b), G(a, b, b)\} = \max\{(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 1)\}$  has no meaning as discussed above.

**Theorem 3.1** Let (X,G) be a complete cone metric space, and let  $T: X \longrightarrow CB(X)$  be a multivalued mapping. If there exists a function  $\varphi: P \rightarrow [0,1)$  such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{q \to \infty} \varphi(r_n) < 1 \tag{a}$$

for any decreasing sequence  $\{r_n\}$  in *P*, and if

$$\varphi(G(x, y, z))G(x, y, z) \in s(Tx, Ty, Tz)$$
(1)

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , then T has a fixed point in X.

*Proof* Let  $x_0$  be an arbitrary point in X and  $x_1 \in Tx_0$ . From (1), we have

 $\varphi(G(x_0, x_1, x_1))G(x_0, x_1, x_1) \in s(Tx_0, Tx_1, Tx_1).$ 

Thus, by Lemma 3.1(iii), we get

 $\varphi(G(x_0, x_1, x_1))G(x_0, x_1, x_1) \in s(x_1, Tx_1, Tx_1).$ 

By Remark 3.2, we can take  $x_2 \in Tx_1$  such that

$$\varphi(G(x_0, x_1, x_1))G(x_0, x_1, x_1) \in 2s(d_G(x_1, x_2)).$$

Thus,

$$2d_G(x_1,x_2) \preccurlyeq \varphi \big( G(x_0,x_1,x_1) \big) G(x_0,x_1,x_1).$$

Again, by (1), we have

$$\varphi(G(x_1, x_2, x_2))G(x_1, x_2, x_2) \in s(Tx_1, Tx_2, Tx_2),$$

and by Lemma 3.1(iii)

$$\varphi(G(x_1, x_2, x_2))G(x_1, x_2, x_2) \in s(x_2, Tx_2, Tx_2).$$

By Remark 3.2, we can take  $x_3 \in Tx_2$  such that

$$\varphi(G(x_1, x_2, x_2))G(x_1, x_2, x_2) \in 2s(d_G(x_2, x_3)).$$

Thus,

$$2d_G(x_2,x_3) \preccurlyeq \varphi \big( G(x_1,x_2,x_2) \big) G(x_1,x_2,x_2).$$

It implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 2d_G(x_2, x_3) &\preccurlyeq \varphi \big( G(x_1, x_2, x_2) \big) G(x_1, x_2, x_2) \\ &\preccurlyeq \varphi \big( G(x_1, x_2, x_2) \big) G(x_1, x_2, x_2) + \varphi \big( G(x_1, x_2, x_2) \big) G(x_2, x_1, x_1) \\ &\preccurlyeq \varphi \big( G(x_1, x_2, x_2) \big) \big[ G(x_1, x_2, x_2) + G(x_2, x_1, x_1) \big] \\ &= \varphi \big( G(x_1, x_2, x_2) \big) d_G(x_1, x_2) \\ &\implies \quad d_G(x_2, x_3) \preccurlyeq \frac{1}{2} \varphi \big( G(x_1, x_2, x_2) \big) d_G(x_1, x_2). \end{aligned}$$

By induction we can construct a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X such that

$$d_G(x_n, x_{n+1}) \preccurlyeq \frac{1}{2} \varphi \Big( G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) \Big) d_G(x_{n-1}, x_n), \quad x_{n+1} \in Tx_n, \text{ for } n = 1, 2, 3 \dots$$
(2)

Assume that  $x_{n+1} \neq x_n$  for all  $n \in N$ . From (2) the sequence  $\{d_G(x_n, x_{n+1})\}_{n \in N}$  is a decreasing sequence in *P*. So, there exists  $l \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\lim \sup_{n\to\infty} \varphi \big( d_G(x_n, x_{n+1}) \big) = l.$$

Thus, there exists  $n_0 \in N$  such that for all  $n \ge n_0$ ,  $\varphi(d_G(x_n, x_{n+1})) \prec l_0$  for some  $l_0 \in (l, 1)$ . Choose  $n_0 = 1$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_G(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\preccurlyeq \frac{1}{2} \varphi \big( d_G(x_{n-1}, x_n) \big) d_G(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\prec l_0 d_G(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\prec (l_0)^n d_G(x_0, x_1) \quad \text{for all } n \ge 1. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for  $m > n \ge 1$ , we have that

$$d_G(x_n, x_m) \preccurlyeq \frac{(l_0)^n}{1 - l_0} d_G(x_0, x_1).$$

According to (PT1) and (PT7), it follows that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in *X*. By the completeness of *X*, there exists  $v \in X$  such that  $x_n \to v$ . Assume  $k_1 \in N$  such that  $d_G(x_n, v) \ll \frac{c}{2}$  for all  $n \ge k_1$ .

We now show that  $v \in Tv$ . So, for  $x_n, v \in X$  and by using (2), we have

$$\varphi(G(x_n, \nu, \nu))G(x_n, \nu, \nu) \in s(Tx_n, T\nu, T\nu).$$

By Lemma 3.1(iii) we have

$$\varphi(G(x_n,\nu,\nu))G(x_n,\nu,\nu)\in s(x_{n+1},T\nu,T\nu).$$

Thus there exists  $u_n \in Tv$  such that

$$\varphi(G(x_n, \nu, \nu))G(x_n, \nu, \nu) \in 2s(d_G(x_{n+1}, u_n)).$$

It implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 2d_G(x_{n+1}, u_n) &\preccurlyeq \varphi \big( G(x_n, v, v) \big) G(x_n, v, v), \\ d_G(x_{n+1}, u_n) &\preccurlyeq \frac{1}{2} \varphi \big( G(x_n, v, v) \big) G(x_n, v, v) \\ & \preccurlyeq \varphi \big( G(x_n, v, v) \big) \big[ G(x_n, v, v) + G(x_n, x_n, v) \big] \\ &= \varphi \big( G(x_n, v, v) \big) d_G(x_n, v). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$d_G(x_{n+1}, u_n) \preccurlyeq \varphi \big( G(x_n, \nu, \nu) \big) d_G(x_n, \nu). \tag{3}$$

Now consider

$$d_G(v, u_n) \preccurlyeq d_G(x_{n+1}, v) + d_G(x_{n+1}, u_n)$$
  
$$\preccurlyeq d_G(x_{n+1}, v) + \varphi(G(x_n, v, v))d_G(x_n, v) \quad \text{by using (3)}$$
  
$$\prec d_G(x_{n+1}, v) + d_G(x_n, v),$$
  
$$d_G(v, u_n) \ll \frac{c}{2} + \frac{c}{2} = c, \quad \text{for all } n \ge k_1.$$

Therefore  $\lim_{n\to\infty} u_n = v$ . Since Tv is closed, so  $v \in Tv$ .

The next corollary is Nadler's multivalued contraction theorem in a *G*-cone metric space.

**Corollary 3.1** Let (X,G) be a complete *G*-cone metric space, and let  $T : X \longrightarrow CB(X)$  be a multivalued mapping. If there exists a constant  $k \in [0,1)$  such that

 $kG(x, y, z) \in s(Tx, Ty, Tz)$ 

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , then T has a fixed point in X.

By Remark 3.1, we have the following results of [30].

**Corollary 3.2** [30] *Let* (X, G) *be a complete G-metric space, and let*  $T : X \longrightarrow CB(X)$  *be a multivalued mapping. If there exists a function*  $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  *such that* 

 $\limsup_{r\to t^+}\varphi(r)<1$ 

for any  $t \ge 0$ , and if

 $H_G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \le \varphi(G(x, y, z))G(x, y, z)$ 

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , then T has a fixed point in X.

**Corollary 3.3** [30] *Let* (X, G) *be a complete G-metric space, and let*  $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  *be a multivalued mapping. If there exists a constant*  $k \in [0,1)$  *such that* 

 $H_G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq kG(x, y, z)$ 

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , then T has a fixed point in X.

In the following we formulate an illustrative example regarding our main theorem.

**Example 3.2** Let X = [0,1], E = C[0,1] be endowed with the strongly locally convex topology  $\tau(E, E^*)$ , and let  $P = \{x \in E : 0 \le x(t), t \in [0,1]\}$ . Then the cone is  $\tau(E, E^*)$ -solid, and non-normal with respect to the topology  $\tau(E, E^*)$ . Define  $G : X \times X \times X \to E$  by

 $G(x, y, z)(t) = Max\{|x - y|, |y - z|, |x - z|\}e^{t}.$ 

Then *G* is a *G*-cone metric on *X*.

Consider a mapping  $T: X \to CB(X)$  defined by

$$Tx = \left[0, \frac{1}{10}x\right].$$

Let  $\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{5}$  for all  $t \in P$ . The contractive condition of the main theorem is trivial for the case when x = y = z = 0. Suppose, without any loss of generality, that all x, y and z are nonzero and x < y < z. Then

$$G(x, y, z) = |x - z|e^t,$$

and

$$d_G(x,y)=2|x-y|e^t.$$

Now

$$s(x, Ty) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \le \frac{y}{10}, \\ |x - \frac{y}{10}|e^t & \text{if } x > \frac{y}{10}, \end{cases}$$
$$s(y, Tz) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y \le \frac{z}{10}, \\ |y - \frac{z}{10}|e^t & \text{if } y > \frac{z}{10}. \end{cases}$$

For s(x, Ty) = 0 = s(y, Tz), we have

$$s(x, Ty, Tz) = s(0),$$
$$\bigcap_{y \in Ty} s(y, Tx, Tz) = s\left(2\left|\frac{y}{10} - \frac{x}{10}\right|e^t\right),$$

and

$$\bigcap_{z \in Tz} s(z, Tx, Ty) = s\left(2\left|\frac{z}{10} - \frac{x}{10} - \frac{y}{10}\right|e^t\right).$$

Thus

$$s(Tx, Ty, Tz) = (s(0)) \cap \left( s\left( 2 \left| \frac{y}{10} - \frac{x}{10} \right| e^t \right) \right) \cap \left( s\left( 2 \left| \frac{z}{10} - \frac{x}{10} - \frac{y}{10} \right| e^t \right) \right).$$

Now

If 
$$s(Tx, Ty, Tz) = s\left(2\left|\frac{z}{10} - \frac{x}{10} - \frac{y}{10}\right|e^t\right)$$
, then  
 $2\left|\frac{z}{10} - \frac{x}{10} - \frac{y}{10}\right|e^t \le 2\left|\frac{z}{10} - \frac{x}{10}\right|e^t$ , for  $t \in [0, 1]$   
 $= \frac{1}{5}|z - x|e^t = \frac{1}{5}\max\{|x - y|, |y - z|, |x - z|\}e^t$   
 $= \frac{1}{5}G(x, y, z);$ 

If 
$$s(Tx, Ty, Tz) = s\left(2\left|\frac{y}{10} - \frac{x}{10}\right|e^t\right)$$
, then  
 $2\left|\frac{y}{10} - \frac{x}{10}\right|e^t \le 2\left|\frac{z}{10} - \frac{x}{10}\right|e^t$ , for  $t \in [0, 1]$   
 $= \frac{1}{5}|z - x|e^t = \frac{1}{5}\operatorname{Max}\left\{|x - y|, |y - z|, |x - z|\right\}e^t$   
 $= \frac{1}{5}G(x, y, z).$ 

Hence,

$$\frac{1}{5}G(x, y, z) \in s(Tx, Ty, Tz).$$

All the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 also hold for other possible values of s(x, Ty) and s(y, Tz) to obtain  $0 \in T0$ .

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to the editor and anonymous referees for their valuable and constructive comments that helped us very much in improving the paper.

#### Received: 11 December 2012 Accepted: 15 July 2013 Published: 29 July 2013

#### References

- 1. Moore, RE, Cloud, MJ: Computational Functional Analysis, 2nd edn. Ellis Horwood Series in Mathematics and Its Applications. Woodhead Publishing, Cambridge (2007)
- 2. Noor, A: Principles of Variational Inequalities. Lambert Academic Publishing, Saarbrücken (2009)
- 3. Abbas, M, Hussain, N, Rhoades, BE: Coincidence point theorems for multivalued *f*-weak contraction mappings and applications. RACSAM Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. a Mat. **105**(2), 261-272 (2011)
- 4. Agarwal, RP, O'Regan, DO, Shahzad, N: Fixed point theorems for generalized contractive maps of Mei-Keeler type. Math. Nachr. 276, 3-12 (2004)
- 5. Agarwal, RP, Karapinar, E: Remarks on some coupled fixed point theorems in G-metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2013, Article ID 10 (2013). doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2013-2
- Azam, A, Arshad, M, Beg, I: Existence of fixed points in complete cone metric spaces. Int. J. Mod. Math. 5(1), 91-99 (2010)
- Hussain, N, Abbas, M: Common fixed point results for two new classes of hybrid pairs in symmetric spaces. Appl. Math. Comput. 218, 542-547 (2011)
- 8. Hussain, N, Karapinar, E, Salimi, P, Vetro, P: Fixed point results for G<sup>m</sup>-Meir-Keeler contractive and
- $G (\alpha, \psi)$ -Meir-Keeler contractive mappings. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2013, Article ID 34 (2013)
- 9. Wang, T: Fixed point theorems and fixed point stability for multivalued mappings on metric spaces. Nanjing Daxue Xuebao Shuxue Bannian Kan **6**, 16-23 (1989)
- 10. Nadler, SB Jr: Multi-valued contraction mappings. Pac. J. Math. 30, 475-478 (1969)
- 11. Mizoguchi, N, Takahashi, W: Fixed point theorems for multi-valued mappings on complete metric spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **141**, 177-188 (1989)
- 12. Suzuki, T: Mizoguchi-Takahashi's fixed point theorem is a real generalization of Nadler's. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 340(1), 752-755 (2008)
- Huang, LG, Zhang, X: Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 332(2), 1468-1476 (2007). doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2005.03.087
- 14. Rezapour, S, Hamlbarani, R: Some notes on the paper 'Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings'. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **345**, 719-724 (2008)
- Abbas, M, Rhoades, BE: Fixed and periodic point results in cone metric spaces. Appl. Math. Lett. 22(4), 511-515 (2009)
   Azam, A, Arshad, M, Beg, I: Common fixed points of two maps in cone metric spaces. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 57,
- 433-441 (2008)
- 17. Bari, CD, Vetro, P: *ф*-pairs and common fixed points in cone metric spaces. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **57**, 279-285 (2008)
- Bari, CD, Vetro, P: Weakly *p*-pairs and common fixed points in cone metric spaces. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 58, 125-132 (2009)
- 19. Branciari, A: A fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type. Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **29**, 531-536 (2002)

- 20. Cho, SH, Bae, JS: Fixed point theorems for multivalued contractive mappings and multivalued Caristi type mappings in cone metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2012**, Article ID 133 (2012). doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2011-87
- 21. Du, WS: A note on cone metric fixed point theory and its equivalence. Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl. 72(5), 2259-2261 (2010)
- 22. Kadelburg, Z, Radenovič, S: Some results on set-valued contractions in abstract metric spaces. Comput. Math. Appl. 62, 342-350 (2011)
- Klim, D, Wardowski, D: Dynamic processes and fixed points of set-valued nonlinear contractions in cone metric spaces. Nonlinear Anal. 71, 5170-5175 (2009)
- 24. Shatanawi, W: Some common coupled fixed point results in cone metric spaces. Int. J. Math. Anal. 4, 2381-2388 (2010)
- 25. Mustafa, Z, Sims, B: A new approach to generalized metric spaces. J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. 7(2), 289-297 (2006)
- 26. Dhage, BC: Generalized metric space and mapping with fixed point. Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 84, 329-336 (1992)
- 27. Dhage, BC: Generalized metric space and topological structure. I. An. Stiint. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iasi. Mat. (N.S.) 46(1), 3-24 (2000)
- Kaewcharoen, A, Kaewkhao, A: Common fixed points for single-valued and multi-valued mappings in G-metric spaces. Int. J. Math. Anal. 5(36), 1775-1790 (2011)
- 29. Mustafa, Z, Sims, B: Some remarks concerning *D*-metric spaces. In: Proc. Int. Conf. on Fixed Point Theory and Appl. pp. 189-198. Valencia, Spain, July 2003 (2003)
- 30. Nedal, T, Hassen, A, Karapinar, E, Shatanawi, W: Common fixed points for single-valued and multi-valued maps satisfying a generalized contraction in G-metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2012**, Article ID 48 (2012)
- 31. Beg, I, Abbas, M, Nazir, T: Generalized cone metric spaces. J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. **3**(1), 21-31 (2010)
- 32. Chen, CM: On set-valued contractions of Nadler type in tvs-G-cone metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2012, Article ID 52 (2012). doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2012-52
- Cho, SH, Bae, JS: Fixed point theorems for multi-valued maps in cone metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2011, Article ID 87 (2011). doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2011-87
- 34. Janković, S, Kadelburg, Z, Radenović, S: On cone metric spaces: a survey. Nonlinear Anal. 74, 2591-2601 (2011)
- 35. Jlelli, M, Samet, B: Remarks on G-metric spaces and fixed point theorems. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2012, Article ID 210 (2012)

doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2013-354

**Cite this article as:** Azam and Mehmood: **Fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings in** *G***-cone metric spaces.** *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2013 **2013**:354.

# Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com