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Abstract
In this paper, we discuss the strong convergence rates and strong representation of the Kaplan-Meier estimator and the hazard estimator based on censored data when the survival and the censoring times form negatively associated (NA) sequences. Under certain regularity conditions, strong convergence rates are established for the Kaplan-Meier estimator and the hazard estimator, and the Kaplan-Meier estimator and the hazard estimator can be expressed as the mean of random variables, with the remainder of order $n^{-1 / 2} \ln ^{1 / 2} n$ a.s.
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## 1 Introduction and main results

Let $\left\{T_{i} ; i \geq 1\right\}$ be a sequence of true survival times. Random variables (r.v.s) are not assumed to be mutually independent; it is assumed, however, that they have a common unknown continuous marginal distribution function (d.f.) $F(x)=P\left(T_{i} \leq x\right)$ such that $F(0)=0$. Let the r.v.s $T_{i}$ be censored on the right by the censoring r.v.s $Y_{i}$, so that one observes only $\left(Z_{i}, \delta_{i}\right)$, where

$$
Z_{i}=\min \left(T_{i}, Y_{i}\right):=T_{i} \wedge Y_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad \delta_{i}=I\left(T_{i} \leq Y_{i}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, n
$$

Here and in the sequel, $I(A)$ is the indicator random variable of the event $A$. In this random censorship model, the censoring times $Y_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$, are assumed to have the common distribution function $G(y)=P\left(Y_{i} \leq y\right)$ such that $G(0)=0$; they are also assumed to be independent of the r.v.s $T_{i}$ 's. The problem at hand is that of drawing nonparametric inference about $F$ based on the censored observations $\left(Z_{i}, \delta_{i}\right), i=1, \ldots, n$. For this purpose, define two stochastic processes on $[0, \infty)$ as follows:

$$
N_{n}(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} I\left(Z_{k} \leq t, \delta_{k}=1\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} I\left(T_{k} \leq t \wedge Y_{k}\right),
$$

[^0]the number of uncensored observations less than or equal to $t$, and
$$
Y_{n}(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} I\left(Z_{k} \geq t\right)
$$
the number of censored or uncensored observations greater than or equal to $t$. The following nonparametric estimation $\hat{F}_{n}$ of $F$ due to Kaplan and Meier [1] is widely used to estimate $F$ on the basis of the data $\left(Z_{i}, \delta_{i}\right)$ :
$$
\hat{F}_{n}(x)=1-\prod_{s \leq x}\left(1-\frac{\mathrm{d} N_{n}(s)}{Y_{n}(s)}\right),
$$
where $\mathrm{d} N_{n}(s)=N_{n}(s)-N_{n}(s-)$.
Let $L$ be the distribution of the $Z_{i}$ 's, $\bar{L}:=1-L$. Since the sequences $\left\{T_{n} ; n \geq 1\right\}$ and $\left\{Y_{n} ; n \geq\right.$ $1\}$ are independent, it follows that $L=1-\bar{F} \bar{G}=1-(1-F)(1-G)$. The empirical d.f. $L_{n}(t)$ of $L$ is defined by
$$
L_{n}(t):=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} I\left(Z_{k}<t\right)=1-\frac{Y_{n}(t)}{n}:=\frac{\bar{Y}_{n}(t)}{n},
$$
where $\bar{Y}_{n}(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} I\left(Z_{k}<t\right)$.
Define (possibly infinite) times $\tau_{F}, \tau_{G}$ and $\tau_{L}$ by
$$
\tau_{F}=\inf \{y ; F(y)=1\}, \quad \tau_{G}=\inf \{y ; G(y)=1\}, \quad \tau_{L}=\inf \{y ; L(y)=1\} .
$$

Then $\tau_{L}=\tau_{F} \wedge \tau_{G}$. By setting

$$
F_{*}(t)=P\left(Z_{1} \leq t, \delta_{1}=1\right)=P\left(T_{1} \leq t \wedge Y_{1}\right),
$$

and the empirical d.f. of $F_{*}$ is defined by

$$
F_{* n}(t):=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} I\left(Z_{k} \leq t, \delta_{k}=1\right)=\frac{N_{n}(t)}{n} .
$$

We have then

$$
F_{*}(t)=\int_{0}^{\infty} F(t \wedge z) \mathrm{d} G(z)=\int_{0}^{t} \bar{G}(z) \mathrm{d} F(z)
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{d} F_{*}(t)=\bar{G}(t) \mathrm{d} F(t) .
$$

Another question of interest in survival analysis is the estimation of the hazard function $h$ defined as follows when it is further assumed that $F$ has a density $f$ :

$$
h(x):=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} x}(-\log \bar{F}(x))=f(x) / \bar{F}(x) \quad \text { for } F(x)<1
$$

with $\bar{F}=1-F$. The quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(x)=-\log \bar{F}(x)=\int_{0}^{x} \frac{\mathrm{~d} F(s)}{\bar{F}(s)}=\int_{0}^{x} \frac{\mathrm{~d} F_{*}(s)}{\bar{L}(s)} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the cumulative hazard function. The empirical cumulative hazard function $\hat{H}_{n}(x)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{n}(x):=\int_{0}^{x} \frac{\mathrm{~d} N_{n}(s)}{Y_{n}(s)}=\int_{0}^{x} \frac{\mathrm{~d} F_{* n}(s)}{\bar{L}_{n}(s)}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{L}_{n}(s)=1-L_{n}(s)$.
Since $N_{n}(t)$ is a step function, and $\mathrm{d} N_{n}\left(Z_{(k)}\right)=\delta_{(k)}, k=1,2, \ldots, n$, it can be easily seen that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{I\left(Z_{(k)} \leq x, \delta_{(k)}=1\right)}{n-k+1}, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{F}_{n}(x)=1-\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(1-\frac{\delta_{(k)}}{n-k+1}\right)^{I\left(Z_{(k)} \leq x\right)}=1-\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(\frac{n-k}{n-k+1}\right)^{I\left(\delta_{(k)}=1, Z_{(k)} \leq x\right)}, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z_{(1)} \leq Z_{(2)} \leq \cdots \leq Z_{(n)}$ denote the order statistics of $Z_{1}, Z_{2}, \ldots, Z_{n}$, and $\delta_{(i)}$ is the concomitant of $Z_{(i)}$.

There is extensive literature on the Kaplan-Meier and the hazard estimator $\hat{F}_{n}(x)$ and $\hat{H}_{n}(x)$ for censored independent observations. We refer to papers by Breslow and Crowley [2], Foldes and Rejto [3] and Gu and Lai [4]. Martingale methods for analyzing properties of $\hat{F}_{n}(x)$ are described in the monograph by Gill [5]. However, the censored dependent data appear in a number of applications. For example, repeated measurements in survival analysis follow this pattern, see Kang and Koehler [6] or Wei et al. [7]. In the context of censored time series analysis, Shumway et al. [8] considered (hourly or daily) measurements of the concentration of a given substance subject to some detection limits, thus being potentially censored from the right. Ying and Wei [9], Lecoutre and Ould-Saïd [10], Cai [11] and Liang and Uña-Álvarez [12] studied the convergence of $\hat{F}_{n}(x)$ for the stationary $\alpha$-mixing data.

The main purpose of this paper is to study the strong convergence rates and strong representation of the Kaplan-Meier estimator and the hazard estimator based on censored data when the survival and the censoring times form the NA (see the following definition) sequences. Under certain regularity conditions, we find strong convergence rates of the Kaplan-Meier and hazard estimator, and the expression of the Kaplan-Meier estimator and the hazard estimator as the mean of random variables, with the remainder of order $n^{-1 / 2} \ln ^{1 / 2} n$ a.s.

Definition Random variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}, n \geq 2$ are said to be negatively associated (NA) if for every pair of disjoint subsets $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$,

$$
\operatorname{cov}\left(f_{1}\left(X_{i} ; i \in A_{1}\right), f_{2}\left(X_{j} ; j \in A_{2}\right)\right) \leq 0,
$$

where $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are increasing for every variable (or decreasing for every variable) so that this covariance exists. A sequence of random variables $\left\{X_{i} ; i \geq 1\right\}$ is said to be NA if every finite subfamily is NA.

Obviously, if $\left\{X_{i} ; i \geq 1\right\}$ is a sequence of NA random variables, and $\left\{f_{i} ; i \geq 1\right\}$ is a sequence of nondecreasing (or non-increasing) functions, then $\left\{f_{i}\left(X_{i}\right) ; i \geq 1\right\}$ is also a sequence of NA random variables.

This definition was introduced by Joag-Dev and Proschan [13]. A statistical test depends greatly on sampling. The random sampling without replacement from a finite population is NA, but is not independent. NA sampling has wide applications such as in multivariate statistical analysis and reliability theory. Because of the wide applications of NA sampling, the limit behaviors of NA random variables have received more and more attention recently. One can refer to Joag-Dev and Proschan [13] for fundamental properties, Matula [14] for the three series theorem, and Wu and Jiang $[15,16]$ for the strong convergence.
We give two lemmas, which are helpful in proving our theorems.

Lemma 1.1 (Yang [17], Lemma 1) Let $\left\{X_{i} ; i \geq 1\right\}$ be a sequence of negatively associated random variables with zero means and $\left|X_{i}\right| \leq b_{i}$, a.s. $(i=1,2, \ldots)$. Let $t>0$ be such that $t \max _{1 \leq i \leq n} b_{i} \leq 1$. Then, for all $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
P\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right| \geq \varepsilon\right) \leq 2 \exp \left(-t \varepsilon+t^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E X_{i}^{2}\right)
$$

Lemma 1.2 Let $\left\{X_{i} ; i \geq 1\right\}$ be a sequence of NA r.v.s with continuous d.f. F, and let $F_{n}(x):=$ $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} I\left(X_{i}<x\right)$ be the empirical d.f. based on the segments $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$. Then

$$
\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}}\left|F_{n}(x)-F(x)\right|=O\left(n^{-1 / 2} \ln ^{1 / 2} n\right) \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

Proof Similar to the proof of Lemma 4 in Yang [17], we can prove Lemma 1.2.

Theorem 1.3 Let $\left\{T_{n} ; n \geq 1\right\}$ and $\left\{Y_{n} ; n \geq 1\right\}$ be two sequences of $N A$ random variables. Suppose that the sequences $\left\{T_{n} ; n \geq 1\right\}$ and $\left\{Y_{n} ; n \geq 1\right\}$ are independent. Then, for any $0<$ $\tau<\tau_{L}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{0 \leq t \leq \tau}\left|\hat{H}_{n}(t)-H(t)\right|=O\left(a_{n}\right) \quad \text { a.s. } \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{0 \leq t \leq \tau}\left|\hat{F}_{n}(t)-F(t)\right|=O\left(a_{n}\right) \quad \text { a.s. } \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

here and in the sequel, $a_{n}=n^{-1 / 2}(\ln n)^{1 / 2}$.

For positive reals $z$ and $t$, and $\delta$ taking value 0 or 1 , let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi(z, \delta, t)=g(z \wedge t)-I(z \leq t, \delta=1) / \bar{L}(z) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g(x)=\int_{0}^{x} \frac{\mathrm{~d} F_{*}(s)}{\bar{L}^{2}(s)}$.

Theorem 1.4 Assume that the conditions of Theorem 1.3 hold. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{n}(t)-H(t)=-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi\left(Z_{i}, \delta_{i}, t\right)+r_{1 n}(t) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{F}_{n}(t)-F(t)=-\frac{\bar{F}(t)}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi\left(Z_{i}, \delta_{i}, t\right)+r_{2 n}(t), \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sup _{0 \leq t \leq \tau}\left|r_{i n}(t)\right|=O\left(a_{n}\right)$ a.s. $i=1,2,0<\tau<\tau_{L}$.

## 2 Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1.3 It is easy to see from Property $\mathrm{P}_{7}$ of Joag-Dev and Proschan [13] that $\left\{Z_{n} ; n \geq 1\right\}$ and $\left\{\left(Z_{n}, \delta_{n}\right) ; n \geq 1\right\}$ are also two sequences of NA r.v.s. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \geq 0}\left|L_{n}(t)-L(t)\right|=O\left(a_{n}\right) \quad \text { a.s. } \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \geq 0}\left|F_{* n}(t)-F_{*}(t)\right|=O\left(a_{n}\right) \quad \text { a.s. } \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

follow from Lemma 1.2 and the fact that both $L_{n}$ and $F_{* n}$ are empirical distribution functions of $L$ and $F_{*}$.

Now, by (1.1) and (1.2), let us write

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{H}_{n}(t)-H(t)= & \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\mathrm{~d} F_{* n}(s)}{\bar{L}_{n}(s)}-\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\mathrm{~d} F_{*}(s)}{\bar{L}(s)} \\
= & \int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{1}{\bar{L}_{n}(s)}-\frac{1}{\bar{L}(s)}\right) \mathrm{d} F_{*}(s)+\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\mathrm{~d}\left(F_{* n}(s)-F_{*}(s)\right)}{\bar{L}_{n}(s)} \\
= & \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\bar{L}(s)-\bar{L}_{n}(s)}{\bar{L}_{n}(s) \bar{L}(s)} \mathrm{d} F_{*}(s)+\frac{F_{* n}(t)-F_{*}(t)}{\bar{L}_{n}(t)} \\
& -\int_{0}^{t}\left(F_{* n}(s)-F_{*}(s)\right) \mathrm{d}\left(\bar{L}_{n}(s)\right)^{-1} . \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, by the combination of equations (2.1) and (2.2), and $\bar{L}_{n}(\tau) \rightarrow \bar{L}(\tau)>0$, for $0<$ $\tau<\tau_{L}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{0 \leq t \leq \tau}\left|\hat{H}_{n}(t)-H(t)\right| \leq & \frac{\sup _{t \geq 0}\left|\bar{L}_{n}(t)-\bar{L}(t)\right|}{\bar{L}_{n}(\tau) \bar{L}^{\prime}(\tau)}\left(F_{*}(\tau)-F_{*}(0)\right) \\
& +\frac{\sup _{t \geq 0}\left|F_{* n}(t)-F_{*}(t)\right|}{\bar{L}_{n}(\tau)} \\
& +\sup _{t \geq 0}\left|F_{* n}(t)-F_{*}(t)\right|\left(\frac{1}{\bar{L}_{n}(\tau)}-\frac{1}{\bar{L}_{n}(0)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \frac{\sup _{t \geq 0}\left|\bar{L}_{n}(t)-\bar{L}(t)\right|}{\bar{L}_{n}(\tau) \bar{L}(\tau)}+\frac{2 \sup _{t \geq 0}\left|F_{* n}(t)-F_{*}(t)\right|}{\bar{L}_{n}(\tau)} \\
& =O\left(a_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, (1.5) holds.
Now we prove (1.6). By (1.3) and (1.4),

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\hat{H}_{n}(t)-\ln \left(1-\hat{F}_{n}(t)\right) & =-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{I\left(\delta_{(i)}=1, Z_{(i)} \leq t\right)}{n-i+1}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} I\left(\delta_{(i)}=1, Z_{(i)} \leq t\right) \ln \frac{n-i}{n-i+1} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} I\left(\delta_{(i)}=1, Z_{(i)} \leq t\right)\left(\ln \frac{n-i+1}{n-i}-\frac{1}{n-i+1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, by combining the inequality $0<\ln \frac{x+1}{x}-\frac{1}{x+1}<\frac{1}{x(x+1)}, x>0$, and (2.1), for $0<\tau<$ $\tau_{L}, 0 \leq t \leq \tau$, we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & <-\hat{H}_{n}(t)-\ln \left(1-\hat{F}_{n}(t)\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} I\left(\delta_{(i)}=1, Z_{(i)} \leq t\right) \frac{1}{(n-i)(n-i+1)} \\
& \leq \sum_{i ; Z_{(i)} \leq t} \frac{1}{(n-i)(n-i+1)}=\sum_{i=1}^{n-Y_{n}(t)}\left(\frac{1}{n-i}-\frac{1}{n-i+1}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{Y_{n}(t)}-\frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{\frac{Y_{n}(t)}{n}}=\frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{\bar{L}_{n}(t)} \\
& =O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) . \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

By (1.1),(1.6) and (2.4), using the Taylor expansion, $\mathrm{e}^{x}=1+x+o(x)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{F}_{n}(t)-F(t)= & 1-F(t)-\left(1-\hat{F}_{n}(t)\right)=\left(\mathrm{e}^{-H(t)}-\mathrm{e}^{-\hat{H}_{n}(t)}\right)+\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\hat{H}_{n}(t)}-\mathrm{e}^{\ln \left(1-\hat{F}_{n}(t)\right)}\right) \\
= & \mathrm{e}^{-H(t)}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-\hat{H}_{n}(t)+H(t)}\right)+\mathrm{e}^{\ln \left(1-\hat{F}_{n}(t)\right)}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\hat{H}_{n}(t)-\ln \left(1-\hat{F}_{n}(t)\right)}-1\right) \\
= & \mathrm{e}^{-H(t)}\left(\hat{H}_{n}(t)-H(t)+o\left(\hat{H}_{n}(t)-H(t)\right)\right) \\
& +\left(1-\hat{F}_{n}(t)\right)\left(-\hat{H}_{n}(t)-\ln \left(1-\hat{F}_{n}(t)\right)+o\left(-\hat{H}_{n}(t)-\ln \left(1-\hat{F}_{n}(t)\right)\right)\right) \\
= & \mathrm{e}^{-H(t)}\left(\hat{H}_{n}(t)-H(t)\right)+o\left(a_{n}\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \\
= & \bar{F}(t)\left(\hat{H}_{n}(t)-H(t)\right)+o\left(a_{n}\right) . \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Thence, the combination (1.5), (1.6) holds. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.4 By (2.1),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\bar{L}_{n}(s)}-\frac{1}{\bar{L}(s)} & =\frac{\bar{L}(s)-\bar{L}_{n}(s)}{\bar{L}^{2}(s)}-\frac{2}{\bar{L}(s)}+\frac{\bar{L}_{n}(s)}{\bar{L}^{2}(s)}+\frac{1}{\bar{L}_{n}(s)} \\
& =\frac{\bar{L}(s)-\bar{L}_{n}(s)}{\bar{L}^{2}(s)}+\frac{\left(\bar{L}_{n}(s)-\bar{L}(s)\right)^{2}}{\bar{L}^{2}(s) \bar{L}_{n}(s)}=\frac{1}{\bar{L}(s)}-\frac{\bar{L}_{n}(s)}{\bar{L}^{2}(s)}+O\left(a_{n}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, by the combination of (2.3),

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{H}_{n}(t)-H(t)= & \int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{1}{\bar{L}_{n}(s)}-\frac{1}{\bar{L}(s)}\right) \mathrm{d} F_{*}(s)+\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\mathrm{~d}\left(F_{* n}(s)-F_{*}(s)\right)}{\bar{L}(s)} \\
& +\int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{1}{\bar{L}_{n}(s)}-\frac{1}{\bar{L}(s)}\right) \mathrm{d}\left(F_{* n}(s)-F_{*}(s)\right) \\
= & \left(\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\mathrm{~d} F_{* n}(s)}{\bar{L}(s)}-\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\bar{L}_{n}(s)}{\bar{L}^{2}(s)} \mathrm{d} F_{*}(s)\right) \\
& +\int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{1}{\overline{\bar{L}_{n}}(s)}-\frac{1}{\bar{L}(s)}\right) \mathrm{d}\left(F_{* n}(s)-F_{*}(s)\right)+O\left(a_{n}^{2}\right) \\
:= & I_{1}(t)+I_{2}(t)+O\left(a_{n}^{2}\right) . \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Noting that $F_{* n}(s)=\frac{N_{n}(s)}{n}$ and $N_{n}(s)$ is a step function, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1}(t) & =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i ; Z_{(i)} \leq t} \frac{N_{n}\left(Z_{i}\right)-N_{n}\left(Z_{i}^{-}\right)}{\bar{L}\left(Z_{(i)}\right)}-\frac{1}{n} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} I\left(Z_{i} \geq s\right)}{\bar{L}^{2}(s)} \mathrm{d} F_{*}(s) \\
& =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i ; Z_{(i)} \leq t} \frac{\delta_{(i)}}{\bar{L}\left(Z_{(i)}\right)}-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{t \wedge Z_{i}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} F_{*}(s)}{\bar{L}^{2}(s)} \\
& =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{I\left(Z_{(i)} \leq t, \delta_{(i)}=1\right)}{\bar{L}\left(Z_{(i)}\right)}-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(t \wedge Z_{i}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{I\left(Z_{i} \leq t, \delta_{i}=1\right)}{\bar{L}\left(Z_{i}\right)}-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(t \wedge Z_{i}\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi\left(Z_{i}, \delta_{i}, t\right) . \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, to prove (1.8), it suffices to prove that $\sup _{0 \leq t \leq \tau}\left|I_{2}(t)\right|=O\left(a_{n}\right)$ for $\tau<\tau_{H}$. Let us divide the interval $[0, \tau]$ into subintervals $\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right], i=1, \ldots, k_{n}$, where $k_{n}=O\left(a_{n}^{-1}\right)$, and $0=x_{1}<x_{2}<\cdots<x_{k_{n}+1}=\tau$ are such that $H\left(x_{i+1}\right)-H\left(x_{i}\right)=O\left(a_{n}\right)$. For $0 \leq t \leq \tau$, it is easy to check that

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{2}(t)= & \int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{1}{\bar{L}_{n}(s)}-\frac{1}{\bar{L}(s)}\right) \mathrm{d}\left(F_{* n}(s)-F_{*}(s)\right) \\
\leq & 2 \max _{1 \leq i \leq k_{n}} \sup _{y \in\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right]}\left|\bar{L}_{n}^{-1}(y)-\bar{L}_{n}^{-1}\left(x_{i}\right)-\bar{L}^{-1}(y)+\bar{L}^{-1}\left(x_{i}\right)\right| \\
& +\sup _{0 \leq x \leq \tau}\left|\bar{L}_{n}^{-1}(x)-\bar{L}^{-1}(x)\right| \max _{1 \leq i \leq k_{n}}\left|F_{* n}^{-1}\left(x_{i+1}\right)-F_{* n}^{-1}\left(x_{i}\right)-F_{*}\left(x_{i+1}\right)+F_{*}\left(x_{i}\right)\right| \\
\leq & c \max _{1 \leq i \leq k_{n}} \sup _{y \in\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right]}\left|\bar{L}_{n}(y)-\bar{L}_{n}\left(x_{i}\right)-\bar{L}(y)+\bar{L}\left(x_{i}\right)\right| \\
& +c \max _{1 \leq i \leq k_{n}}\left|F_{* n}\left(x_{i+1}\right)-F_{* n}\left(x_{i}\right)-F_{*}\left(x_{i+1}\right)+F_{*}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|+O\left(a_{n}^{2}\right) \\
:= & I_{21}+I_{22}+O\left(a_{n}^{2}\right) . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

To estimate $I_{21}$, we further subdivide each $\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right]$ into subintervals $\left[x_{i j}, x_{i(j+1)}\right], j=1, \ldots, b_{n}$, where $b_{n}=O\left(k_{n}^{1 / 2}\right)=O\left(a_{n}^{-1 / 2}\right)$ such that $\left|\bar{L}\left(x_{i(j+1)}\right)-\bar{L}\left(x_{i j}\right)\right|=O\left(a_{n}^{3 / 2}\right)$ uniformly in $i, j$. Now,
by (2.1) and $\left|\bar{L}_{n}(y)-\bar{L}_{n}\left(x_{i j}\right)\right| \leq 1 / n \leq O\left(a_{n}^{3 / 2}\right)$, for $y \in\left[x_{i j}, x_{i(j+1)}\right]$, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{21}= & \max _{1 \leq i \leq k_{n}} \sup _{y \in\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right]}\left|\bar{L}_{n}(y)-\bar{L}_{n}\left(x_{i}\right)-\bar{L}(y)+\bar{L}\left(x_{i}\right)\right| \\
\leq & \max _{1 \leq i \leq k_{n}} \max _{1 \leq j \leq b_{n}} \sup _{y \in\left[x_{i j}, x_{i(j+1)}\right]}\left|\bar{L}_{n}\left(x_{i j}\right)-\bar{L}_{n}\left(x_{i}\right)-\bar{L}\left(x_{i j}\right)+\bar{L}\left(x_{i}\right)\right| \\
& +\max _{1 \leq i \leq k_{n}} \max _{1 \leq i \leq b_{n}} \sup _{y \in\left[x_{i j}, x_{i(j+1)}\right]}\left(\left|\bar{L}_{n}(y)-\bar{L}_{n}\left(x_{i j}\right)\right|+\left|-\bar{L}(y)+\bar{L}\left(x_{i j}\right)\right|\right) \\
\leq & \max _{1 \leq i \leq k_{n}} \max _{1 \leq j \leq b_{n}}\left|\bar{L}_{n}\left(x_{i j}\right)-\bar{L}_{n}\left(x_{i}\right)-\bar{L}\left(x_{i j}\right)+\bar{L}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|+O\left(a_{n}^{3 / 2}\right) . \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

For $1 \leq i \leq k_{n}, 1 \leq j \leq b_{n}, 1 \leq k \leq n$, let $\eta_{k}=I\left(Z_{k} \geq x_{i}\right)-E I\left(Z_{k} \geq x_{i}\right), \zeta_{k}=I\left(Z_{k} \geq x_{i j}\right)-$ $E I\left(Z_{k} \geq x_{i j}\right)$. Then $\bar{L}_{n}\left(x_{i j}\right)-\bar{L}_{n}\left(x_{i}\right)-\bar{L}\left(x_{i j}\right)+\bar{L}\left(x_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\eta_{k}+\zeta_{k}\right),\left\{\eta_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{\zeta_{k}\right\}$ are NA sequences with $\left|\eta_{k}\right| \leq 1,\left|\zeta_{k}\right| \leq 1, E \eta_{k}=E \zeta_{k}=0, E \eta_{k}^{2} \leq 1, E \zeta_{k}^{2} \leq 1$.

Taking $t=a_{n}$ in Lemma 1.1, yields the following probability bound:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(\max _{1 \leq i \leq k_{n}} \max _{1 \leq j \leq b_{n}}\left|\bar{L}_{n}\left(x_{i j}\right)-\bar{L}_{n}\left(x_{i}\right)-\bar{L}\left(x_{i j}\right)+\bar{L}\left(x_{i}\right)\right| \geq 8 a_{n}\right) \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{b_{n}} P\left(\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\eta_{k}+\zeta_{k}\right)\right| \geq 8 n a_{n}\right) \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{b_{n}} P\left(\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} \eta_{k}\right| \geq 4 n a_{n}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{b_{n}} P\left(\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} \zeta_{k}\right| \geq 4 n a_{n}\right) \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k_{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{b_{n}} 4 \exp \left(-4 n a_{n}^{2}+n a_{n}^{2}\right) \\
& \quad=4 k_{n} b_{n} \mathrm{e}^{-3 \ln n} \leq \frac{1}{n^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the bound and the Borel-Cantelli lemma, we deduce that $I_{21}=O\left(a_{n}\right)$ a.s. The estimation of $I_{22}=O\left(a_{n}\right)$ is similar noting that $\left|F_{*}(x)-F_{*}(y)\right| \leq|\bar{L}(x)-\bar{L}(y)|$ for all $x$ and $y$. Therefore, by (2.6)-(2.9), (1.8) holds. (1.9) follows from (2.5) and (1.8).
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