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#### Abstract

In this paper we prove several integral inequalities and we find an upper bound of the Hermite-Hadamard inequality for a convex function on a bounded area from the plane in special cases.


## 1 Introduction

Let $f$ be a convex function on $[a, b]$. Then we have the following inequality, which is called Hermite-Hadamard inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are many extensions, generalizations and similar results of inequality (1.1). In [1], Fejer established the following weighted generalization of inequality (1.1).

Theorem 1.1 Iff $:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function, then the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_{a}^{b} w(x) d x \leq \int_{a}^{b} f(x) w(x) d x \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} \int_{a}^{b} w(x) d x \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, where $w:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is non-negative, integrable and symmetric about $\frac{a+b}{2}$.

In [2], Yang and Tseng proved the following theorem which refines inequality (1.2).

Theorem 1.2 Let $f$ and $w$ be defined as in Theorem 1.1. If $P:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$
P(t)=\int_{a}^{b} f\left[t x+(1-t) \frac{a+b}{2}\right] w(x) d x,
$$

then $P$ is convex, increasing on $[0,1]$ and for all $t \in[0,1]$,

$$
f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_{a}^{b} w(x) d x=P(0) \leq P(t) \leq P(1)=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) w(x) d x
$$

[^0]In this paper, we find an upper bound for $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) g(x) d x$, where $f$ is a convex function on $[a, b]$ and $g$ is non-negative increasing (or decreasing) on $[a, b]$, and $\int_{a}^{b} g(t) d t=1$. Finally, in Section 3 we find an upper bound for the following integral:

$$
\frac{1}{\int_{a}^{b}(g(x)-h(x)) d x} \int_{a}^{b} \int_{h(x)}^{g(x)} F(x, y) d y d x
$$

## 2 Integral inequalities

Theorem 2.1 Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable convex function and $g:[a, b] \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ be a continuous function.
(i) If $g$ is decreasing on $[a, b]$, then

$$
\frac{1}{\int_{a}^{b} g(x) d x} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) g(x) d x \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}
$$

(ii) If $g$ is increasing on $[a, b]$, then

$$
f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\int_{a}^{b} g(x) d x} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) g(x) d x
$$

Proof (i) Denote

$$
H(x)=\int_{a}^{x} f(t) g(t) d t-\frac{1}{2}(f(a)+f(x)) \int_{a}^{x} g(t) d t
$$

We will show that $H^{\prime}(x) \leq 0$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{\prime}(x) & =f(x) g(x)-\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime}(x) \int_{a}^{x} g(t) d t-\frac{1}{2}(f(a)+f(x)) g(x) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[g(x)(f(x)-f(a))-f^{\prime}(x) \int_{a}^{x} g(t) d t\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the extended mean value theorem (Cauchy's theorem), we have

$$
\frac{f(x)-f(a)}{\int_{a}^{x} g(t) d t}=\frac{f^{\prime}(\zeta)}{g(\zeta)} \quad(a<\zeta<x) .
$$

On the other hand, by the convexity of $f$ and decreasing of $g$, we obtain

$$
\frac{f(x)-f(a)}{\int_{a}^{x} g(t) d t}=\frac{f^{\prime}(\zeta)}{g(\zeta)} \leq \frac{f^{\prime}(x)}{g(x)} .
$$

Since $g$ is non-negative,

$$
H^{\prime}(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left[(f(x)-f(a)) g(x)-f^{\prime}(x) \int_{a}^{x} g(t) d t\right] \leq 0
$$

which implies that $H$ is decreasing. Hence, $H(b) \leq H(a)=0$. The proof is complete.
(ii) Denote

$$
H(x)=f\left(\frac{a+x}{2}\right) \int_{a}^{x} g(t) d t-\int_{a}^{x} f(t) g(t) d t .
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{\prime}(x) & =\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime}\left(\frac{a+x}{2}\right) \int_{a}^{x} g(t) d t+f\left(\frac{a+x}{2}\right) g(x)-f(x) g(x) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime}\left(\frac{a+x}{2}\right) \int_{a}^{x} g(t) d t-g(x)\left(f(x)-f\left(\frac{a+x}{2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the mean value theorem (Lagrange's theorem), there exist $\zeta_{1} \in\left(\frac{a+x}{2}, x\right)$ and $\zeta_{2} \in(a, x)$ such that

$$
\frac{f(x)-f\left(\frac{a+x}{2}\right)}{x-\frac{a+x}{2}}=f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{1}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\int_{a}^{x} g(t) d t-0}{x-a}=g\left(\zeta_{2}\right) .
$$

Hence,

$$
\frac{2\left(f(x)-f\left(\frac{a+x}{2}\right)\right)}{\int_{a}^{x} g(t) d t}=\frac{f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{1}\right)}{g\left(\zeta_{2}\right)}
$$

By the convexity of $f$ and increasing of $g$, we obtain

$$
\frac{2\left(f(x)-f\left(\frac{a+x}{2}\right)\right)}{\int_{a}^{x} g(t) d t}=\frac{f^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{1}\right)}{g\left(\zeta_{2}\right)} \geq \frac{f^{\prime}\left(\frac{a+x}{2}\right)}{g(x)} .
$$

So,

$$
H^{\prime}(x)=\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime}\left(\frac{a+x}{2}\right) \int_{a}^{x} g(t) d t-g(x)\left(f(x)-f\left(\frac{a+x}{2}\right)\right) \leq 0 .
$$

Therefore, $H$ is decreasing and $H(b) \leq H(a)=0$. The proof is complete.

Theorem 2.2 Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function and $P:[a, b] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be an integrable function such that $\int_{a}^{b} P(x) d x=1$. Then

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) P(x) d x \leq \frac{b f(a)-a f(b)}{b-a}+\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} x P(x) d x
$$

Proof We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) P(x) d x & =\int_{0}^{1} f(t b+(1-t) a) P(t b+(1-t) a) d t \\
& \leq f(b) \int_{0}^{1} t P(b t+(1-t) a) d t+f(b) \int_{0}^{1}(1-t) P(b t+(1-t) a) d t \\
& =f(b) \int_{a}^{b} \frac{x-a}{b-a} P(x) \frac{d x}{b-a}+f(a) \int_{a}^{b} \frac{b-x}{b-a} P(x) \frac{d x}{b-a}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \frac{f(b)}{(b-a)^{2}}\left[\int_{a}^{b} x P(x)-a \int_{a}^{b} P(x) d x\right] \\
& +\frac{f(a)}{(b-a)^{2}}\left[b \int_{a}^{b} P(x) d x-\int_{a}^{b} x P(x) d x\right] \\
= & \frac{f(b)}{(b-a)^{2}}\left[\int_{a}^{b} x P(x) d x-a\right]+\frac{f(a)}{(b-a)^{2}}\left[b-\int_{a}^{b} x P(x) d x\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, we get

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) P(x) d x \leq \frac{b f(a)-a f(b)}{b-a}+\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} x P(x) d x
$$

Corollary 2.1 Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function and $g$ be a non-negative integrable function. Then

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}
$$

and

$$
\frac{\int_{a}^{b} f(x) g(x) d x}{\int_{a}^{b} g(x) d x} \leq \frac{b f(a)-a f(b)}{b-a}+\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a} \frac{\int_{a}^{b} x g(x) d x}{\int_{a}^{b} g(x) d x}
$$

The proof is similar to the proof of theorem.

## 3 Right bidimensional Hermite-Hadamard inequality

Let us consider the bidimensional interval $\triangle=[a, b] \times[c, d]$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Recall that the mapping $f: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex on $\Delta$ if

$$
f(\lambda x+(1-\lambda) z, \lambda y+(1-\lambda) w) \leq \lambda f(x, y)+(1-\lambda) f(z, w)
$$

holds for all $(x, y),(z, w) \in \Delta$ and $\lambda \in[0,1]$. A function $f: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called co-ordinated convex on $\Delta$ if the partial mappings $f_{y}:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f_{y}(u)=f(u, y)$ and $f_{x}:[c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f_{x}(v)=$ $f(x, v)$ are convex for all $y \in[c, d]$ and $x \in[a, b]$. Note that every convex function $f: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is co-ordinated convex, but the converse is not generally true; see [3].

Dragomir in [4] established the following similar inequality of the Hermite-Hadamard inequality for a co-ordinated convex function on a rectangle from the plane $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

Theorem 3.1 Suppose that $f: \Delta=[a, b] \times[c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is co-ordinated convex on $\Delta$. Then one has the inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{c+d}{2}\right) & \leq \frac{1}{(b-a)(d-c)} \int_{a}^{b} \int_{c}^{d} f(x, y) d y d x \\
& \leq \frac{f(a, c)+f(a, d)+f(b, c)+f(b, d)}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, let $\Delta$ be a convex area from the plane $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, bounded by a convex function $y=h(x)$ and a concave function $y=g(x)$ and $x=a, x=b$, such that for any $x \in[a, b], g(x) \geq h(x)$.

Also, let $F$ be a two-variable convex function on $\triangle$. In [5] and [6], the following inequality is proved:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\int_{a}^{b}(g(x)-h(x)) d x} \int_{a}^{b} \int_{h(x)}^{g(x)} F(x, y) d y d x \\
& \geq F\left(\frac{\int_{a}^{b} t(g(t)-h(t)) d t}{\int_{a}^{b}(g(t)-h(t)) d t}, \frac{\frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{b}\left(g^{2}(t)-h^{2}(t)\right) d t}{\int_{a}^{b}(g(t)-h(t)) d t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In this paper, we want to find an upper bound for the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\int_{a}^{b}(g(x)-h(x)) d x} \int_{a}^{b} \int_{h(x)}^{g(x)} F(x, y) d y d x \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this purpose, we reach to the following integral:

$$
\frac{1}{\int_{a}^{b}(g(x)-h(x)) d x} \int_{a}^{b}[F(x, g(x))+F(x, h(x))](g(x)-h(x)) d x
$$

It is well known that if $F(x, y)$ is increasing relative to $y$ and $y=h(x)$ is convex on $[a, b]$, then $F(x, h(x))$ is convex on $[a, b]$, but we have no information about the convexity of $F(x, h(x))$ generally. So, in special cases, we will find an upper bound for the integral (3.1).

Theorem 3.2 Let $\triangle$ be a bounded area by a convex function $y=h(x)$ and a concave function $y=g(x)$ on $[a, b]$ such that for any $x \in[a, b], g(x) \geq h(x)$ and $g-h$ is increasing on $[a, b]$. Also, let $F$ be a two-variable convex function on $\Delta$ such that $F(x, g(x))$ and $F(x, h(x))$ are convex on $[a, b]$. Then one has the inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\int_{a}^{b}(g(t)-h(t)) d t} \int_{a}^{b} \int_{h(x)}^{g(x)} F(x, y) d y d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}[F(a, g(a))+F(a, h(a))+F(b, g(b))+F(b, h(b))] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof Since $F$ is convex on $\Delta$, hence $F$ is co-ordinated convex on $\triangle$. So, $F_{x}:[h(x), g(x)] \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}, F_{x}(y)=F(x, y)$ is convex on $[h(x), g(x)]$ for all $x \in[a, b]$. By the right-hand side of Hermite-Hadamard inequality (1.1), we have

$$
\int_{h(x)}^{g(x)} F(x, y) d y \leq(g(x)-h(x))\left[\frac{F(x, g(x))+F(x, h(x))}{2}\right] .
$$

Integrating this inequality on $[a, b]$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\int_{a}^{b}(g(t)-h(t)) d t} \int_{a}^{b} \int_{h(x)}^{g(x)} F(x, y) d y d x \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{2 \int_{a}^{b}(g(t)-h(t)) d t} \int_{a}^{b}(g(x)-h(x))(F(x, g(x))+F(x, h(x))) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $g-h$ is increasing and $F(x, g(x)), F(x, h(x))$ are convex on $[a, b]$, by Theorem 2.1(i), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\int_{a}^{b}(g(t)-h(t)) d t} \int_{a}^{b}(g(x)-h(x))(F(x, g(x))+F(x, h(x))) d x \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{2}[F(a, g(a))+F(a, h(a))+F(b, g(b))+F(b, h(b))] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is complete.

Theorem 3.3 Let $\triangle$ be a bounded area by a convex function $h$ and a concave function $g$ on $[a, b]$ such that for any $x \in[a, b], g(x) \geq h(x)$. Also, let $F$ be a two-variable convex function on $\triangle$ such that $F(x, g(x))$ and $F(x, h(x))$ are convex on $[a, b]$. Then one has the inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\int_{a}^{b}(g(x)-h(x)) d x} \int_{a}^{b} \int_{h(x)}^{g(x)} F(x, y) d y d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{b-\alpha(b)}{b-a}(F(a, g(a))+F(a, h(a)))+\frac{\alpha(b)-a}{b-a}(F(b, g(b))+F(b, h(b)))\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha(b)=\frac{\int_{a}^{b} t(g(t)-h(t)) d t}{\int_{a}^{b}(g(t)-h(t)) d t}$.
Proof By a similar way to the proof of Theorem 3.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\int_{a}^{b}(g(t)-h(t)) d t} \int_{a}^{b} \int_{h(x)}^{g(x)} F(x, y) d y d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\int_{a}^{b}(g(x)-h(x)) d x} \int_{a}^{b}(g(x)-h(x))[F(x, g(x))+F(x, h(x))] d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $F(x, g(x))+F(x, h(x))$ is convex, by Theorem $2.2\left(P(x)=\frac{g(x)-h(x)}{\int_{a}^{b}(g(x)-h(x)) d x}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\int_{a}^{b}(g(x)-h(x)) d x} \int_{a}^{b}(g(x)-h(x))[F(x, g(x))+F(x, h(x))] d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{b[F(a, g(a))+F(a, h(a))]-a[F(b, g(b))+F(b, h(b))]}{b-a} \\
&+\frac{1}{2} \frac{[F(b, g(b))+F(b, h(b))]-[F(a, g(a))+F(a, h(a))]}{b-a} \\
& \quad \times \frac{1}{\int_{a}^{b}(g(x)-h(x)) d x} \int_{a}^{b} x(g(x)-h(x)) d x \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{b-\alpha(b)}{b-a}[F(a, g(a))+F(a, h(a))]+\frac{\alpha(b)-a}{b-a}[F(b, g(b))+F(b, h(b))]\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is complete.

In the following theorem, we prove the assertion of Theorem 3.3 with weak conditions.

Theorem 3.4 Let $\Delta, g$ and $h$ be defined as in Theorem 3.3. Also, let $F$ be a two-variable convex function on $\Delta$ such that

$$
\frac{\partial F(x, g(x))}{\partial g}\left(\frac{g(x)-g(a)}{x-a}-g^{\prime}(x)\right)+\frac{\partial F(x, h(x))}{\partial h}\left(\frac{h(x)-h(a)}{x-a}-h^{\prime}(x)\right) \leq 0
$$

then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\int_{a}^{b}(g(x)-h(x)) d x} \int_{a}^{b} \int_{h(x)}^{g(x)} F(x, y) d y d x \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{(b-\alpha(b))(F(a, g(a))+F(a, h(a)))+(\alpha(b)-a)(F(b, g(b))+F(b, h(b)))}{b-a}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha(b)=\frac{\int_{a}^{b} t(g(t)-h(t)) d t}{\int_{a}^{b}(g(t)-h(t))}$.
Proof Denote

$$
H(x)=\int_{a}^{x} \int_{h(x)}^{g(x)} f(t, y) d y d t-\frac{1}{2} K(x) \int_{a}^{x}(g(t)-h(t)) d t,
$$

where

$$
K(x)=\left(\frac{x-\alpha(x)}{x-a}\right)[F(a, g(a))+F(a, h(a))]+\left(\frac{\alpha(x)-a}{x-a}\right)[F(x, g(x))+F(x, h(x))] .
$$

Then we have

$$
H^{\prime}(x)=\int_{h(x)}^{g(x)} F(x, y) d y-\frac{1}{2} K(x)(g(x)-h(x))-\frac{1}{2} K^{\prime}(x) \int_{a}^{x}(g(t)-h(t)) d t .
$$

Since $F$ is convex, so it is co-ordinated convex. Hence, by the right-hand side of the Hermite-Hadamard inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{\prime}(x) \leq & \frac{1}{2}(g(x)-h(x))(F(x, g(x))+F(x, h(x))) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} K(x)(g(x)-h(x))-\frac{1}{2} K^{\prime}(x) \int_{a}^{x}(g(t)-h(t)) d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

So,

$$
H^{\prime}(x) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[(g(x)-h(x))(F(x, g(x))+F(x, h(x))-K(x))-K^{\prime}(x) \int_{a}^{x}(g(t)-h(t)) d t\right] .
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\frac{x-\alpha(x)}{x-a} F(a, g(a))+\frac{\alpha(x)-a}{x-a} F(x, h(x))\right]^{\prime}} \\
& \quad=\frac{\left(1-\alpha^{\prime}(x)\right)(x-a)-x+\alpha(x)}{(x-a)^{2}} F(a, g(a)) \\
& \quad+\frac{\alpha^{\prime}(x)(x-a)-\alpha(x)+a}{(x-a)^{2}} F(x, g(x))+F^{\prime}(x, g(x)) \frac{\alpha(x)-a}{x-a} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, multiplying each term by

$$
\int_{a}^{x}(g(t)-h(t)) d t
$$

and using the fact

$$
\int_{a}^{x}(g(t)-h(t)) d t \alpha(x)=\int_{a}^{x} t(g(t)-h(t)) d t
$$

we obtain

$$
\int_{a}^{x}(g(t)-h(t)) d t \alpha^{\prime}(x)=(g(x)-h(x))(x-\alpha(x)) .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{x} & (g(t)-h(t)) d t\left[\frac{x-\alpha(x)}{x-a} F(a, g(a))+\frac{\alpha(x)-a}{x-a} F(x, h(x))\right]^{\prime} \\
= & {\left[-\frac{(g(x)-h(x))(x-\alpha(x))}{x-a}+\frac{\alpha(x)-a}{(x-a)^{2}} \int_{a}^{x}(g(t)-h(t)) d t\right] F(a, g(a)) } \\
& +\left[\frac{(g(x)-h(x))(x-\alpha(x))}{x-a}+\frac{a-\alpha(x)}{(x-a)^{2}} \int_{a}^{x}(g(t)-h(t)) d t\right] F(x, g(x)) \\
& +\int_{a}^{x}(g(t)-h(t)) d t F^{\prime}(x, g(x)) \frac{\alpha(x)-a}{x-a} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By a similar way, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{a}^{x}(g(t)-h(t)) d t\left[\frac{x-\alpha(x)}{x-a} F(a, h(a))+\frac{\alpha(x)-a}{x-a} F(x, h(x))\right]^{\prime} \\
&= {\left[-\frac{(g(x)-h(x))(x-\alpha(x))}{x-a}+\frac{\alpha(x)-a}{(x-a)^{2}} \int_{a}^{x}(g(t)-h(t)) d t\right] F(a, h(a)) } \\
&+\left[\frac{(g(x)-h(x))(x-\alpha(x))}{x-a}+\frac{a-\alpha(x)}{(x-a)^{2}} \int_{a}^{x}(g(t)-h(t)) d t\right] F(x, h(x)) \\
& \quad+\int_{a}^{x}(g(t)-h(t)) d t F^{\prime}(x, h(x))\left(\frac{\alpha(x)-a}{x-a}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{x} & (g(t)-h(t)) d t K^{\prime}(x) \\
\quad= & \frac{(g(x)-h(x))(x-\alpha(x))}{x-a}[F(x, g(x))-F(a, g(a))+F(x, h(x))-F(a, h(a))] \\
& -\left(\frac{\alpha(x)-a}{(x-a)^{2}}\right) \int_{a}^{x}(g(t)-h(t)) d t[F(x, g(x))-F(a, g(a))+F(x, h(x))-F(a, h(a))] \\
& +\left(\frac{\alpha(x)-a}{x-a}\right) \int_{a}^{x}(g(t)-h(t)) d t\left[F^{\prime}(x, g(x))+F^{\prime}(x, h(x))\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{\prime}(x) \leq & \frac{1}{2}\left[(g(x)-h(x))(F(x, g(x))+F(x, h(x))-K(x))-K^{\prime}(x) \int_{a}^{x}(g(t)-h(t)) d t\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{2}(g(x)-h(x)) \frac{x-\alpha(x)}{x-a}[F(x, g(x))+F(x, h(x))-F(a, g(a))-F(a, h(a))] \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{(g(x)-h(x))(x-\alpha(x))}{x-a}-\frac{\alpha(x)-a}{(x-a)^{2}} \int_{a}^{x}(g(t)-h(t)) d t\right] \\
& \times[F(x, g(x))+F(x, h(x))-F(a, g(a))-F(a, h(a))] \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{x}(g(t)-h(t)) d t\left(\frac{\alpha(x)-a}{x-a}\right)\left(F^{\prime}(x, g(x))+F^{\prime}(x, h(x))\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{\prime}(x) \leq & \frac{1}{2}[F(x, g(x))+F(x, h(x))-F(a, g(a))-F(a, h(a))] \\
& \times\left[(g(x)-h(x)) \frac{x-\alpha(x)}{x-a}-\frac{(g(x)-h(x))(x-\alpha(x))}{x-a}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\alpha(x)-a}{(x-a)^{2}} \int_{a}^{x}(g(t)-h(t)) d t\right] \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{x}(g(t)-h(t)) d t\left(\frac{\alpha(x)-a}{x-a}\right)\left(F^{\prime}(x, g(x))+F^{\prime}(x, h(x))\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{\prime}(x) \leq & \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\alpha(x)-a}{x-a}\right) \int_{a}^{x}(g(t)-h(t)) d t \\
& \times\left[\frac{F(x, g(x))-F(a, g(a))}{x-a}+\frac{F(x, h(x))-F(x, g(x))}{x-a}-F^{\prime}(x, g(x))-F^{\prime}(x, h(x))\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, notice that if $F(x, g(x)), F(x, h(x))$ were convex on $[a, b]$, we can deduce the assertion of Theorem 3.3. Since $F$ is convex on $\Delta$, we have

$$
F(x, g(x))-F(a, g(a)) \leq \frac{\partial F(x, g(x))}{\partial x}(x-a)+\frac{\partial F(x, g(x))}{\partial g}(g(x)-g(a))
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(x, g(x))-F(a, g(a)) \\
& x-a \\
& \quad \leq \frac{\partial F(x, g(x))}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial F(x, g(x))}{\partial g} \frac{(g(x)-g(a))}{x-a} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By a similar way, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(x, h(x))-F(a, h(a)) \\
& x-a \\
& \quad \leq \frac{\partial F(x, h(x))}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial F(x, h(x))}{\partial h} \frac{h(x)-h(a)}{x-a} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
F^{\prime}(x, g(x))=\frac{\partial F(x, g(x))}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial F(x, g(x))}{\partial g} g^{\prime}(x)
$$

and

$$
F^{\prime}(x, h(x))=\frac{\partial F(x, h(x))}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial F(x, h(x))}{\partial h} h^{\prime}(x) .
$$

So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{\prime}(x) \leq & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha(x)-a}{x-a} \int_{a}^{x}(g(t)-h(t)) d t\left[\frac{\partial F(x, g(x))}{\partial x}\right. \\
& +\frac{\partial F(x, g(x))}{\partial g} \frac{g(x)-g(a)}{x-a}+\frac{\partial F(x, h(x))}{\partial x} \\
& +\frac{\partial F(x, h(x))}{\partial h} \frac{h(x)-h(a)}{x-a}-\frac{\partial F(x, g(x))}{\partial x} \\
& \left.-\frac{\partial F(x, g(x))}{\partial g} g^{\prime}(x)-\frac{\partial F(x, h(x))}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial F(x, h(x))}{\partial h} h^{\prime}(x)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{\prime}(x) \leq & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha(x)-a}{x-a} \int_{a}^{x}(g(t)-h(t)) d t\left[\frac{\partial F(x, g(x))}{\partial g}\left(\frac{g(x)-g(a)}{x-a}-g^{\prime}(x)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\partial F(x, h(x))}{\partial x}\left(\frac{h(x)-h(a)}{x-a}-h^{\prime}(x)\right)\right] \leq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\alpha(x) \geq a$. Therefore, $H$ is decreasing and

$$
H(b) \leq H(a)=0 .
$$

The proof is complete.

Remark 3.1 Notice that since $g$ is concave and $h$ is convex on $[a, b]$, so $g^{\prime}$ is decreasing and $h^{\prime}$ is increasing on $[a, b]$. By the mean value theorem, we have

$$
\frac{g(x)-g(a)}{x-a}-g^{\prime}(x) \geq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{h(x)-h(a)}{x-a}-h^{\prime}(x) \leq 0 .
$$

In particular, if we have $g(x)=m x+n$, then $\frac{g(x)-g(a)}{x-a}-g^{\prime}(x)=0$. So, if $\frac{\partial F(x, h(x))}{\partial h} \geq 0$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial F(x, g(x))}{\partial g}\left[\frac{g(x)-g(a)}{x-a}-g^{\prime}(x)\right]+\frac{\partial F(x, h(x))}{\partial x}\left[\frac{h(x)-h(a)}{x-a}-h^{\prime}(x)\right] \\
& \quad=\frac{\partial F(x, h(x))}{\partial x}\left[\frac{h(x)-h(a)}{x-a}-h^{\prime}(x)\right] \leq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the following theorem, we find an upper bound of the Hermite-Hadamard inequality for a co-ordinated convex function.

Theorem 3.5 Let $\Delta, g$ and $h$ be defined as in Theorem 3.3. Also, let $F$ be a convex function only relative to $y$, that is, $F_{x}:[h(x), g(x)] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, F_{x}(v)=F(x, v)$ is convex for all $x \in[a, b]$. If $F^{\prime}(x, g(x))+F^{\prime}(x, h(x)) \geq 0$, then

$$
\frac{1}{\int_{a}^{b}(g(t)-h(t)) d t} \int_{a}^{b} \int_{h(x)}^{g(x)} F(x, y) d y d x \leq \frac{1}{2}[F(b, g(b))+F(b, h(b))] .
$$

Proof Denote

$$
H(x)=\int_{a}^{x} \int_{h(x)}^{g(x)} F(t, y) d y d t-\frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{x}(g(t)-h(t)) d t[F(x, g(x))+F(x, h(x))]
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{\prime}(x)= & \int_{h(x)}^{g(x)} F(x, y) d y-\frac{1}{2}(g(x)-h(x))(F(x, g(x))+F(x, h(x))) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{x}(g(t)-h(t)) d t\left(F^{\prime}(x, g(x))+F^{\prime}(x, h(x))\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $F$ is convex relative to $y$, by the right-hand side of the Hermite-Hadamard inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{\prime}(x) \leq & \frac{1}{2}(g(x)-h(x))(F(x, g(x))+F(x, h(x))) \\
& -\frac{1}{2}(g(x)-h(x))(F(x, g(x))+F(x, h(x))) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{x}(g(t)-h(t)) d t\left(F^{\prime}(x, g(x))+F^{\prime}(x, h(x))\right) \\
= & -\frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{x}(g(t)-h(t)) d t\left(F^{\prime}(x, g(x))+F^{\prime}(x, h(x))\right) \\
\leq & 0
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $H$ is decreasing on $[a, b]$. That is, $H(b) \leq H(a)=0$.

## 4 Examples

Example 4.1 Let $F(x, y)=x^{2}+y^{2}$ and $\Delta$ be bounded by $g(x)=\sqrt{1-x^{2}}, h(x)=x-1$ on $[0,1]$. Then $g(x)-h(x)=\sqrt{1-x^{2}}-x+1$ is decreasing on $[0,1]$ and $F(x, g(x))=1, F(x, h(x))=$ $x^{2}+(x-1)^{2}$ are convex on $[0,1]$. By Theorem 3.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\int_{0}^{1}\left(\sqrt{1-x^{2}}-x+1\right) d x} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{x-1}^{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) d y d x \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{4}[F(0, g(0))+F(0, h(0))+F(1, g(1))+F(1, h(1))]
\end{aligned}
$$

By easy calculation, we see that

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left(\sqrt{1-x^{2}}-x+1\right) d x=\frac{\pi}{4}+\frac{1}{2}=\frac{\pi+2}{4}
$$

and

$$
\frac{4}{\pi+2} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{x-1}^{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) d y d x \leq 1
$$

Example 4.2 Let $F, g$ and $h$ be defined as in Example 4.1. By Theorem 3.3, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha(1) & =\frac{\int_{0}^{1} t\left(\sqrt{1-t^{2}}-t+1\right) d t}{\int_{0}^{1}\left(\sqrt{1-t^{2}}-t+1\right) d t}=\frac{\frac{5}{6}}{\frac{\pi}{4}+\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =\frac{10}{3(\pi+2)} \frac{1}{\int_{0}^{1}\left(\sqrt{1-t^{2}}-t+1\right) d t} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{x-1}^{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) d y d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{3 \pi-4}{3(\pi+2)}(F(0, g(0))+F(0, h(0)))+\frac{10}{3(\pi+2)}(F(1, g(1))+F(1, h(1)))\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

So,

$$
\frac{4}{\pi+2} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{x-1}^{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) d y d x \leq 1
$$

Example 4.3 Let $F(x, y)=x^{2}+y^{2}$ and $\Delta$ be bounded by $g(x)=x+2, h(x)=x^{2}$ on $[-1,2]$. Then $g-h$ is not decreasing on $[-1,2]$ and also $F(x, h(x))=x^{2}+x^{4}$ is not convex on $[-1,2]$. So, $g, h$ and $F$ do not hold in the hypothesis of Theorems 3.2 and 3.3. But we have

$$
\frac{g(x)-g(-1)}{x+1}-g^{\prime}(x)=\frac{x+1}{x+1}-1=0, \quad \frac{h(x)-h(-1)}{x+1}-h^{\prime}(x)=-x-1 \leq 0
$$

and

$$
\frac{\partial F(x, g(x))}{\partial g}=2(x+2), \quad \frac{\partial F(x, h(x))}{\partial h}=2 x^{2}
$$

So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial F(x, g(x))}{\partial g}\left[\frac{g(x)-g(-1)}{x+1}-g^{\prime}(x)\right]+\frac{\partial F(x, h(x))}{\partial h}\left[\frac{h(x)-h(-1)}{x+1}-h^{\prime}(x)\right] \\
& \quad=2 x^{2}(-x-1)=-2 x^{2}(x+1) \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we can apply Theorem 3.4

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\int_{-1}^{2}\left(x+2-x^{2}\right) d x} \int_{-1}^{2} \int_{x^{2}}^{x+2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) d y d x \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{(2-\alpha(2))(F(-1, g(-1))+F(-1, h(-1)))+(\alpha(2)+1)(F(2, g(2))+F(2, h(2)))}{2-(-1)}\right], \\
& \alpha(2)=\frac{\int_{-1}^{2} t\left(t+2-t^{2}\right) d t}{\int_{-1}^{2}\left(t+2-t^{2}\right) d t}=\frac{\frac{9}{4}}{\frac{9}{2}}=\frac{1}{2}, \\
& g(-1)=h(-1)=1, \quad g(2)=h(2)=4 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\frac{2}{9} \int_{-1}^{2} \int_{x^{2}}^{x+2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) d y d x \leq 11
$$

Example 4.4 Let $F(x, y)=x y$ and $\Delta$ be bounded by $g(x)=x+2$, and $h(x)=x^{2}$ on $[-1,2]$. Then $F$ is not convex on $\Delta$, but it is convex relative to $y$, we have

$$
F(x, g(x))=x^{2}+2 x \quad \text { and } \quad F(x, h(x))=x^{3} .
$$

So,

$$
F^{\prime}(x, g(x))+F^{\prime}(x, h(x))=2 x+2+3 x^{2}>0 .
$$

Hence, by Theorem 3.5, we have

$$
\frac{1}{\int_{-1}^{2}\left(x+2-x^{2}\right) d x} \int_{-1}^{2} \int_{x^{2}}^{x+2} x y d y d x \leq \frac{1}{2}[F(2, g(2))+F(2, h(2))]
$$

## Hence,

$$
\frac{2}{9} \int_{-1}^{2} \int_{x^{2}}^{x+2} x y d y d x \leq 8
$$
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