# RESEARCH

# **Open Access**

# Mappings of type Orlicz and generalized Cesáro sequence space

Nashat F Mohamed<sup>1\*</sup> and Awad A Bakery<sup>1,2</sup>

\*Correspondence: n\_faried@hotmail.com <sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

# Abstract

We study the ideal of all bounded linear operators between any arbitrary Banach spaces whose sequence of approximation numbers belong to the generalized Cesáro sequence space and Orlicz sequence space  $\ell_M$ , when  $\mathbf{M}(t) = t^p$ ,  $0 ; our results coincide with that known for the classical sequence space <math>\ell_p$ .

**Keywords:** approximation numbers; operator ideal; generalized Cesáro sequence space; Orlicz sequence space

# **1** Introduction

By L(X, Y), we denote the space of all bounded linear operators from a normed space X into a normed space Y. The set of natural numbers will denote by  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$  and the real numbers by  $\mathbb{R}$ . By  $\omega$ , we denote the space of all real sequences. A map which assigns to every operator  $T \in L(X, Y)$  a unique sequence  $(s_n(T))_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is called an *s*-function and the number  $s_n(T)$  is called the *n*th *s*-numbers of T if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a)  $||T|| = s_0(T) \ge s_1(T) \ge \cdots \ge 0$ , for all  $T \in L(X, Y)$ .
- (b)  $s_{n+m}(T_1 + T_2) \le s_n(T_1) + ||T_2||$ , for all  $T_1, T_2 \in L(X, Y)$ .
- (c)  $s_n(RST) \le ||R|| s_n(S) ||T||$ , for all  $T \in L(X_0, X)$ ,  $S \in L(X, Y)$  and  $R \in L(Y, Y_0)$ .
- (d)  $s_n(\lambda T) = |\lambda| s_n(T)$ , for all  $T \in L(X, Y)$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (e)  $\operatorname{rank}(T) \le n$  If  $s_n(T) = 0$ , for all  $T \in L(X, Y)$ .
- (f)  $s_r(I_n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } r < n, \\ 0 & \text{for } r \ge n, \end{cases}$  where  $I_n$  is the identity operator on the Euclidean space  $\ell_2^n$ . Example of *s*-numbers, we mention approximation number  $\alpha_r(T)$ , Gelfand numbers  $c_r(T)$ , Kolmogorov numbers  $d_r(T)$  and Tichomirov numbers  $d_n^*(T)$  defined by:
  - (I)  $\alpha_r(T) = \inf\{\|T A\| : A \in L(X, Y) \text{ and } \operatorname{rank}(A) \le r\}.$
  - (II)  $c_r(T) = a_r(J_Y T)$ , where  $J_Y$  is a metric injection (a metric injection is a one to one operator with closed range and with norm equal one) from the space Y into a higher space  $\ell^{\infty}(\Lambda)$  for suitable index set  $\Lambda$ .
  - (III)  $d_n(T) = \inf_{\dim Y \le n} \sup_{\|x\| \le 1} \inf_{y \in Y} \|Tx y\|.$
  - $(\mathrm{IV}) \ d^*_r(T) = d_r(J_YT).$
  - All of these numbers satisfy the following condition:
- (g)  $s_{n+m}(T_1 + T_2) \le s_n(T_1) + s_m(T_2)$  for all  $T_1, T_2 \in L(X, Y)$ .

An operator ideal *U* is a subclass of  $L = \{L(X, Y); X, Y \text{ are Banach spaces}\}$  such that its components  $\{U(X, Y); X, Y \text{ are Banach spaces}\}$  satisfy the following conditions:

- (i)  $I_K \in U$ , where *K* denotes the 1-dimensional Banach space, where  $U \subset L$ .
- (ii) If  $T_1, T_2 \in U(X, Y)$ , then  $\lambda_1 T_1 + \lambda_2 T_2 \in U(X, Y)$  for any scalars  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$ .
- (iii) If  $V \in L(X_0, X)$ ,  $T \in U(X, Y)$ ,  $R \in L(Y, Y_0)$  then  $RTV \in U(X_0, Y_0)$ . See [1–3].

© 2013 Mohamed and Bakery; licensee Springer. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



An Orlicz function is a function  $M : [0, \infty[ \rightarrow [0, \infty[$  which is continuous, nondecreasing and convex with M(0) = 0 and M(x) > 0 for x > 0, and  $M(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . See [4, 5].

If convexity of Orlicz function M is replaced by  $M(x + y) \le M(x) + M(y)$ . Then this function is called modulus function, introduced by Nakano [6]; also, see [7, 8] and [9]. An Orlicz function M is said to satisfy  $\Delta_2$ -condition for all values of u, if there exists a constant k > 0, such that  $M(2u) \le kM(u)$  ( $u \ge 0$ ). The  $\Delta_2$ -condition is equivalent to  $M(lu) \le klM(u)$  for all values of u and for l > 1. Lindentrauss and Tzafriri [10] used the idea of Orlicz function to construct Orlicz sequence space

$$\ell_M = \left\{ x \in \omega : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_n|}{\rho}\right) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\},\$$

which is a Banach space with respect to the norm

$$\|x\| = \inf\left\{\rho > 0 : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_n|}{\rho}\right) \le 1\right\}$$

For  $M(t) = t^p$ ,  $1 \le p < \infty$  the space  $\ell_M$  coincides with the classical sequence space  $\ell_p$ . Recently, different classes of sequences have been introduced by using an Orlicz function. See [11] and [12].

**Remark 1.1** Let *M* be an Orlicz function then  $M(\lambda x) \le \lambda M(x)$  for all  $\lambda$  with  $0 < \lambda < 1$ .

For a sequence  $p = (p_n)$  of positive real numbers with  $p_n \ge 1$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the generalized Cesáro sequence space is defined by

$$Ces(p_n) = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : \rho(\lambda x) < \infty \text{ for some } \lambda > 0\},\$$

where

$$\rho(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} |x_k| \right)^{p_n}.$$

The space  $Ces(p_n)$  is a Banach space with the norm

$$||x|| = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \rho\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right) \le 1 \right\}.$$

If  $p = (p_n)$  is bounded, we can simply write

$$Ces(p_n) = \left\{ x \in \omega : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} |x_k| \right)^{p_n} < \infty \right\}.$$

Also, some geometric properties of  $Ces(p_n)$  are studied by Sanhan and Suantai [13].

Throughout this paper, the sequence  $(p_n)$  is a bounded sequence of positive real numbers, we denote  $e_i = (0, 0, ..., 1, 0, 0, ...)$  where 1 appears at *i*th place for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Different classes of paranormed sequence spaces have been introduced and their different properties have been investigated. See [14–18] and [19].

For any bounded sequence of positive numbers  $(p_k)$ , we have the following well-known inequality  $|a_k + b_k|^{p_k} \le 2^{h-1}(|a_k|^{p_k} + |b_k|^{p_k})$ ,  $h = \sup_n p_n$ , and  $p_k \ge 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . See [20].

# 2 Preliminary and notation

**Definition 2.1** A class of linear sequence spaces *E*, called a special space of sequences (sss) having the following conditions:

- (1) *E* is a linear space and  $e_n \in E$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (2) If  $x \in \omega$ ,  $y \in E$  and  $|x_n| \le |y_n|$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $x \in E$  '*i.e. E* is solid',
- (3) if  $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \in E$ , then  $(x_{[\frac{n}{2}]})_{n=0}^{\infty} = (x_0, x_0, x_1, x_1, x_2, x_2, \ldots) \in E$ , where  $[\frac{n}{2}]$  denotes the integral part of  $\frac{n}{2}$ .

We call such space  $E_{\rho}$  a pre modular special space of sequences if there exists a function  $\rho: E \to [o, \infty[$ , satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $\rho(x) \ge 0 \ \forall x \in E_{\rho}$  and  $\rho(\theta) = 0$ , where  $\theta$  is the zero element of *E*,
- (ii) there exists a constant  $l \ge 1$  such that  $\rho(\lambda x) \le l|\lambda|\rho(x)$  for all values of  $x \in E$  and for any scalar  $\lambda$ ,
- (iii) for some numbers  $k \ge 1$ , we have the inequality  $\rho(x + y) \le k(\rho(x) + \rho(y))$ , for all  $x, y \in E$ ,
- (iv) if  $|x_n| \le |y_n|$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  then  $\rho((x_n)) \le \rho((y_n))$ ,
- (v) for some numbers  $k_0 \ge 1$  we have the inequality  $\rho((x_n)) \le \rho((x_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor})) \le k_0 \rho((x_n))$ ,
- (vi) for each  $x = (x(i))_{i=0}^{\infty} \in E$  there exists  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\rho(x(i))_{i=s}^{\infty} < \infty$ . This means the set of all finite sequences is  $\rho$ -dense in E.
- (vii) for any  $\lambda > 0$  there exists a constant  $\zeta > 0$  such that  $\rho(\lambda, 0, 0, 0, ...) \ge \zeta \lambda \rho(1, 0, 0, 0, ...).$

It is clear that from condition (ii) that  $\rho$  is continuous at  $\theta$ . The function  $\rho$  defines a metrizable topology in *E* endowed with this topology is denoted by  $E_{\rho}$ .

**Example 2.2**  $\ell_p$  is a pre-modular special space of sequences for  $0 , with <math>\rho(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |x_n|^p$ .

**Example 2.3** *ces*<sub>*p*</sub> is a pre-modular special space of sequences for  $1 , with <math>\rho(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} |x_n|)^p$ .

## **Definition 2.4**

 $U_E^{\text{app}} := \{ U_E^{\text{app}}(X, Y); X, Y \text{ are Banach spaces} \},\$ 

where

$$U_E^{\operatorname{app}}(X,Y) := \left\{ T \in L(X,Y) : \left( \alpha_n(T) \right)_{n=0}^{\infty} \in E \right\}.$$

## 3 Main results

**Theorem 3.1**  $U_E^{\text{app}}$  is an operator ideal if E is a special space of sequences (sss).

*Proof* To prove  $U_E^{\text{app}}$  is an operator ideal:

(i) let  $A \in F(X, Y)$  and rank(A) = m for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , since E is a linear space and  $e_n \in E$ for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $(\alpha_n(A))_{n=0}^{\infty} = (\alpha_0(A), \alpha_1(A), \dots, \alpha_{m-1}(A), 0, 0, 0, \dots) =$  $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha_i(A)e_i \in E$ ; for that  $A \in U_E^{app}(X, Y)$ , which implies  $F(X, Y) \subset U_E^{app}(X, Y)$ . (ii) Let  $T_1, T_2 \in U_E^{\text{app}}(X, Y)$  and  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  then from Definition 2.1 condition (3) we get  $(\alpha_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}(T_1))_{n=0}^{\infty} \in E$  and  $(\alpha_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}(T_2))_{n=0}^{\infty} \in E$ , since  $n \ge 2\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, \alpha_n(T)$  is a decreasing sequence and from the definition of approximation numbers we get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n(\lambda_1 T_1 + \lambda_2 T_2) &\leq \alpha_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}(\lambda_1 T_1 + \lambda_2 T_2) \leq \alpha_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}(\lambda_1 T_1) + \alpha_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}(\lambda_2 T_2) \\ &\leq |\lambda_1| \alpha_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}(T_1) + |\lambda_2| \alpha_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}(T_2) \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Since *E* is a linear space and from Definition 2.1 condition (2) we get  $(\alpha_n(\lambda_1T_1 + \lambda_2T_2))_{n=0}^{\infty} \in E$ , hence  $\lambda_1T_1 + \lambda_2T_2 \in U_F^{app}(X, Y)$ .

(iii) If  $V \in L(X_0, X)$ ,  $T \in U_E^{app}(X, Y)$  and  $R \in L(Y, Y_0)$ , then we get  $(\alpha_n(T))_{n=0}^{\infty} \in E$  and since  $\alpha_n(RTV) \leq ||R||\alpha_n(T)||V||$ , from Definition 2.1 conditions (1) and (2) we get  $(\alpha_n(RTV))_{n=0}^{\infty} \in E$ , then  $RTV \in U_E^{app}(X_0, Y_0)$ .

**Theorem 3.2**  $U_{\ell_M}^{\text{app}}$  is an operator ideal, if M is an Orlicz function satisfying  $\Delta_2$ -condition and there exists a constant  $l \ge 1$  such that  $M(x + y) \le l(M(x) + M(y))$ .

# Proof

- (1-i) Let  $x, y \in \ell_M$ , since M is non-decreasing, we get  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M(|x_n + y_n|) \le l[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M(|x_n|) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M(|y_n|)] < \infty$ , then  $x + y \in \ell_M$ .
- (1-ii)  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \ell_M$  since M satisfies  $\Delta_2$ -condition, we get  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M(|\lambda x_n|) \le |\lambda| l \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M(|x_n|) < \infty$ , for that  $\lambda x \in \ell_M$ , then from (1-i) and (1-ii)  $\ell_M$  is a linear space over the field of numbers. Also  $e_n \in \ell_M$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ since  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} M(|e_n(i)|) = M(1) < \infty$ .
  - (2) Let  $|x_n| \le |y_n|$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(y_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \ell_M$ , since M is none decreasing, then we get  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M(|x_n|) \le \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M(|y_n|) < \infty$ , then  $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \ell_M$ .
  - (3) Let  $(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \ell_M$ ,  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M(|x_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}|) \le 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M(|x_n|) < \infty$ , then  $(x_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor})_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \ell_M$ . Hence, from Theorem 3.1, it follows that  $U_{\ell_M}^{\text{app}}$  is an operator ideal.

**Theorem 3.3**  $U_{ces_{(p_n)}}^{app}$  is an operator ideal, if  $(p_n)$  is an increasing sequence of positive real numbers,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup p_n < \infty$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \inf p_n > 1$ .

## Proof

(1-i) Let  $x, y \in ces(p_n)$  since

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} |x_k + y_k| \right)^{p_n}$$
  

$$\leq 2^{h-1} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} |x_k| \right)^{p_n} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} |y_k| \right)^{p_n} \right),$$
  

$$h = \sup_{n} p_n,$$

then  $x + y \in ces(p_n)$ . (1-ii) Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x \in ces(p_n)$ , then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} |\lambda x_k| \right)^{p_n} \leq \sup_{n} |\lambda|^{p_n} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} |x_k| \right)^{p_n} < \infty,$$

we get  $\lambda x \in ces(p_n)$ , from (1-i) and (1-ii)  $ces(p_n)$  is a linear space.

$$\rho(e_m) = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} |e_m(k)| \right)^{p_n} = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \right)^{p_n} < \infty.$$

Hence  $e_m \in ces(p_n)$ .

(2) Let  $|x_n| \leq |y_n|$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} |\lambda x_k| \right)^{p_n} \le \sup_{n} |\lambda|^{p_n} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} |y_k| \right)^{p_n} < \infty,$$

since  $y \in ces(p_n)$ . Thus,  $x \in ces(p_n)$ .

(3) Let  $(x_n) \in ces(p_n)$ , then we have

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} |x_{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor}| \right)^{p_n} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{2n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{2n} |x_{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor}| \right)^{p_{2n}} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{2n+2} \sum_{k=0}^{2n+1} |x_{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor}| \right)^{p_{2n+1}} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{2n+1} \left( \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n} 2|x_k| \right) + |x_n| \right) \right)^{p_n} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{2n+2} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n} 2|x_k| \right) \right)^{p_n} \\ &\leq 2^{h-1} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \left( 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n} |x_k| \right) \right)^{p_n} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} |x_k| \right)^{p_n} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} |x_k| \right)^{p_n} \\ &\leq 2^{h-1} (2^h+1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} |x_k| \right)^{p_n} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} |x_k| \right)^{p_n} \\ &\leq (2^{2h-1}+2^{h-1}+1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} |x_k| \right)^{p_n} < \infty. \end{split}$$

Hence,  $(x_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor})_{n=0}^{\infty} \in ces(p_n)$ . Hence, from Theorem 3.1 it follows that  $U_{ces_{(p_n)}}^{app}$  is an operator ideal.

**Theorem 3.4** Let M be an Orlicz function. Then the linear space F(X, Y) is dense in  $U_{\ell_M}^{\text{app}}(X, Y)$ .

*Proof* Define  $\rho(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M(|x_n|)$  on  $\ell_M$ . First we prove that every finite mapping  $T \in F(X, Y)$  belongs to  $U_{\ell_M}^{app}(X, Y)$ . Since  $e_m \in \ell_M$  for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\ell_M$  is a linear space then for every finite mapping  $T \in F(X, Y)$  the sequence  $(\alpha_n(T))_{n=0}^{\infty}$  contains only finitely many numbers different from zero. To prove that  $U_{\ell_M}^{app}(X, Y) \subseteq \overline{F(X, Y)}$ , let  $T \in U_{\ell_M}^{app}(X, Y)$ , we get  $(\alpha_n(T))_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \ell_M$ , and since  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M(\alpha_n(T)) < \infty$ , let  $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$  then there exists a natural number s > 0 such that  $\sum_{n=s}^{\infty} M(\alpha_n(T)) < \frac{s}{4}$ , since  $\rho$  is none decreasing and  $\alpha_n(T)$  is

decreasing for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we get

$$sM(\alpha_{2s}(T)) \leq \sum_{n=s+1}^{2s} M(\alpha_n(T)) \leq \sum_{n=s}^{\infty} M(\alpha_n(T)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4},$$

then there exists  $A \in F_{2s}(X, Y)$ , rank $(A) \le 2s$  with  $M(||T - A||) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4s}$ , and by using the conditions of M we get

$$d(T,A) = \rho(\alpha_n(T-A))_{n=0}^{\infty} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M(\alpha_n(T-A))$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{3s-1} M(\alpha_n(T-A)) + \sum_{n=3s}^{\infty} M(\alpha_n(T-A))$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=0}^{3s-1} M(||T-A||) + \sum_{n=3s}^{\infty} M(\alpha_n(T-A))$$

$$\leq 3sM(||T-A||) + \sum_{n=s}^{\infty} M(\alpha_{n+2s}(T-A))$$

$$\leq 3sM(||T-A||) + \sum_{n=s}^{\infty} M(\alpha_n(T)) < \varepsilon.$$

**Corollary 3.5** If  $0 and <math>M(t) = t^p$ , we get  $U_{\ell p}^{\text{app}}(X, Y) = \overline{F(X, Y)}$ . See [3].

**Theorem 3.6** The linear space F(X, Y) is dense in  $U_{ces_{(pn)}}^{app}(X, Y)$ , if  $(p_n)$  is an increasing sequence of positive real numbers with  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup p_n < \infty$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \inf p_n > 1$ .

Proof First we prove that every finite mapping  $T \in F(X, Y)$  belongs to  $U_{ces(p_n)}^{app}(X, Y)$ . Since  $e_m \in ces(p_n)$  for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $ces(p_n)$  is a linear space, then for every finite mapping  $T \in F(X, Y)$  *i.e.* the sequence  $(\alpha_n(T))_{n=0}^{\infty}$  contains only finitely many numbers different from zero. Now we prove that  $U_{ces(p_n)}^{app}(X, Y) \subseteq \overline{F(X, Y)}$ . Since  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \inf p_n > 1$ , we have  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\frac{1}{n+1})^{p_n} < \infty$ , let  $T \in U_{ces(p_n)}^{app}(X, Y)$  we get  $(\alpha_n(T))_{n=0}^{\infty} \in ces(p_n)$ , and since  $\rho((\alpha_n(T))_{n=0}^{\infty}) < \infty$ , let  $\varepsilon \in ]0,1]$  then there exists a natural number s > 0 such that  $\rho((\alpha_n(T))_{n=0}^{\infty}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{h+3}\delta_c}$  for some  $c \ge 1$ , where  $\delta = \max\{1, \sum_{n=s}^{\infty} (\frac{1}{n+1})^{p_n}\}$ , since  $\alpha_n(T)$  is decreasing for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we get

$$\sum_{n=s+1}^{2s} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_{2s}(T) \right)^{p_n} \le \sum_{n=s+1}^{2s} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_n(T) \right)^{p_n} \le \sum_{n=s}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_k(T) \right)^{p_n} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{h+3} \delta c},$$
(1)

then there exists  $A \in F_{2s}(X, Y)$ ,

$$\operatorname{rank}(A) \le 2s \quad \text{with} \ \sum_{n=2s+1}^{3s} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \|T-A\| \right)^{p_n} \le \sum_{n=s+1}^{2s} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \|T-A\| \right)^{p_n} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{h+3}\delta c}, \tag{2}$$

and

$$\sup_{n=s}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{s} \|T - A\| \right)^{p_n} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{2h+2}\delta},\tag{3}$$

since  $\alpha_n(T) = \inf\{\|T - A\| : A \in L(X, Y) \text{ and } \operatorname{rank}(A) \le n\}$ . Then there exists a natural number N > 0,  $A_N$  with  $\operatorname{rank}(A_N) \le N$  and  $\|T - A_N\| \le 2\alpha_N(T)$ . Since  $\alpha_n(T) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0$ , then  $\|T - A_N\| \xrightarrow{N \to \infty} 0$ , so we can take

$$\sum_{n=0}^{s} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \|T - A\| \right)^{p_n} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{h+3} \delta c},$$
(4)

since  $(p_n)$  is an increasing sequence and by using (1), (2), (3) and (4), we get

$$\begin{split} d(T,A) &= \rho \left( \alpha_n (T-A) \right)_{n=0}^{\infty} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{3s-1} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k (T-A) \right)^{p_n} + \sum_{n=3s}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k (T-A) \right)^{p_n} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{3s} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \|T-A\| \right)^{p_n} + \sum_{n=s}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n+2s} \alpha_k (T-A) \right)^{p_{n+2s}} \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{n=0}^s \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \|T-A\| \right)^{p_n} \\ &+ \sum_{n=s}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{2s-1} \alpha_k (T-A) + \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=2s}^{n+2s} \alpha_k (T-A) \right)^{p_n} \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{n=0}^s \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \|T-A\| \right)^{p_n} \\ &+ 2^{h-1} \left( \sum_{n=s}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{2s-1} \alpha_k (T-A) \right)^{p_n} + \sum_{n=s}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=2s}^{n+2s} \alpha_k (T-A) \right)^{p_n} \right) \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{n=0}^s \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \|T-A\| \right)^{p_n} \\ &+ 2^{h-1} \left( \sum_{n=s}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{2s-1} \|T-A\| \right)^{p_n} + \sum_{n=s}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=2s}^n \alpha_k (T-A) \right)^{p_n} \right) \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{n=0}^s \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \|T-A\| \right)^{p_n} + 2^{2h-1} \left( \sum_{n=s}^n \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_{k+2s} (T-A) \right)^{p_n} \right) \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{n=0}^s \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \|T-A\| \right)^{p_n} + 2^{2h-1} \left( \sum_{n=s}^\infty \left( \sum_{k=0}^s \|T-A\| \right)^{p_n} \right) \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{n=0}^s \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \|T-A\| \right)^{p_n} + 2^{2h-1} \left( \sum_{n=s}^\infty \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k (T) \right)^{p_n} \right) \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{n=0}^s \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \|T-A\| \right)^{p_n} + 2^{2h-1} \left( \sum_{n=s}^\infty \left( \sum_{k=0}^s \|T-A\| \right)^{p_n} \right) \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{n=0}^s \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k (T) \right)^{p_n} \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{n=0}^s \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k (T) \right)^{p_n} \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{n=0}^s \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k (T) \right)^{p_n} \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{n=0}^s \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k (T) \right)^{p_n} \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{n=0}^s \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k (T) \right)^{p_n} \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{n=0}^s \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k (T) \right)^{p_n} \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{n=0}^s \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k (T) \right)^{p_n} \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{n=0}^s \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k (T) \right)^{p_n} \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{n=0}^s \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k (T) \right)^{p_n} \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{n=0}^s \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k (T) \right)^{p_n} \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{n=0}^s \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k (T) \right)^{p_n} \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{n=0}^s \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k (T) \right)^{p_n} \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{n=0}^s \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k (T) \right)^{p_n} \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{n=0$$

**Theorem 3.7** Let X be a normed space, Y a Banach space and  $E_{\rho}$  be a pre-modular special space of sequences (sss), then  $U_{E_{\rho}}^{app}(X, Y)$  is complete.

*Proof* Let  $(T_m)$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $U_{E_\rho}^{\text{app}}(X, Y)$ , then by using Definition 2.1 condition (vii) and since  $U_{E_\rho}^{\text{app}}(X, Y) \subseteq L(X, Y)$ , we have

$$\rho((\alpha_n(T_i - T_j))_{n=0}^{\infty}) \ge \rho(\alpha_0(T_i - T_j), 0, 0, 0, ...)$$
  
=  $\rho(||T_i - T_j||, 0, 0, 0, ...) \ge \zeta ||T_i - T_j||\rho(1, 0, 0, 0, ...),$ 

then  $(T_m)$  is also Cauchy sequence in L(X, Y). Since the space L(X, Y) is a Banach space, then there exists  $T \in L(X, Y)$  such that  $||T_m - T|| \xrightarrow{m \to \infty} 0$  and since  $(\alpha_n(T_m))_{n=0}^{\infty} \in E$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\rho$  is continuous at  $\theta$  and using Definition 2.1(iii), we have

$$\rho(\alpha_n(T))_{n=0}^{\infty} = \rho(\alpha_n(T - T_m + T_m))_{n=0}^{\infty} \le k\rho(\alpha_{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor}(T_m - T))_{n=0}^{\infty} + k\rho(\alpha_{\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\rfloor}(T_m))_{n=0}^{\infty}$$
$$\le k\rho((||T_m - T||)_{n=0}^{\infty}) + k\rho(\alpha_n(T_m))_{n=0}^{\infty} < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for some } k \ge 1.$$

Hence  $(\alpha_n(T))_{n=0}^{\infty} \in E$  as such  $T \in U_{E_n}^{app}(X, Y)$ .

**Corollary 3.8** Let X be a normed space, Y a Banach space and M be an Orlicz function such that M satisfies  $\Delta_2$ -condition. Then M is continuous at  $\theta = (0, 0, 0, ...)$  and  $U^{app}_{\ell_M}(X, Y)$  is complete.

**Corollary 3.9** Let X be a normed space, Y a Banach space and  $(p_n)$  be an increasing sequence of positive real numbers with  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup p_n < \infty$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \inf p_n > 1$ , then  $U^{\text{app}}_{ces(p_n)}(X, Y)$  is complete.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

NFM gave the idea of the article. AAB carried out the proofs and its application. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt. <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts, King Abdulaziz University (KAU), P.O. Box 80200, Khulais, 21589, Saudi Arabia.

#### Acknowledgements

Dedicated to Professor Hari M Srivastava.

The authors wish to thank the referees for their careful reading of the paper and for their helpful suggestions.

#### Received: 7 January 2013 Accepted: 5 April 2013 Published: 18 April 2013

#### References

- 1. Kalton, NJ: Spaces of compact operators. Math. Ann. 208, 267-278 (1974)
- Lima, Å, Oja, E: Ideals of finite rank operators, intersection properties of balls, and the approximation property. Stud. Math. 133, 175-186 (1999) MR1686696 (2000c:46026)
- 3. Pietsch, A: Operator Ideals. North-Holland, Amsterdam (1980) MR582655 (81j:47001)
- 4. Krasnoselskii, MA, Rutickii, YB: Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces. Noordhoff, Groningen (1961)
- 5. Orlicz, W: Über Raume (L<sup>M</sup>). Bull. Int. Acad Polon. Sci. A, 93-107 (1936)
- 6. Nakano, H: Concave modulars. J. Math. Soc. Jpn. 5, 29-49 (1953)
- 7. Maddox, U: Sequence spaces defined by a modulus. Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 100(1), 161-166 (1986)
- Ruckle, WH: FK spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors is bounded. Can. J. Math. 25, 973-978 (1973)
   Tripathy, BC, Chandra, P On some generalized difference paranormed sequence spaces associated with multiplier
- sequences defined by modulus function. Anal. Theory Appl. **27**(1), 21-27 (2011)
- 10. Lindenstrauss, J, Tzafriri, L: On Orlicz sequence spaces. Isr. J. Math. 10, 379-390 (1971)
- Altin, Y, Et, M, Tripathy, BC: The sequence space |N<sub>p</sub>|(M, r, q, s) on seminormed spaces. Appl. Math. Comput. 154, 423-430 (2004)

- 12. Et, M, Altin, Y, Choudhary, B, Tripathy, BC: On some classes of sequences defined by sequences of Orlicz functions. Math. Inequal. Appl., **9**(2), 335-342 (2006)
- Sanhan, W, Suantai, S: On k-nearly uniformly convex property in generalized Cesáro sequence space. Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 57, 3599-3607 (2003)
- 14. Rath, D, Tripathy, BC: Matrix maps on sequence spaces associated with sets of integers. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 27(2), 197-206 (1996)
- 15. Tripathy, BC, Sen, M: On generalized statistically convergent sequences. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 32(11), 1689-1694 (2001)
- 16. Tripathy, BC, Hazarika, B: Paranormed I-convergent sequences. Math. Slovaca 59(4), 485-494 (2009)
- Tripathy, BC, Sen, M: Characterization of some matrix classes involving paranormed sequence spaces. Tamkang J. Math. 37(2), 155-162 (2006)
- Tripathy, BC: Matrix transformations between some classes of sequences. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 206, 448-450 (1997)
   Tripathy, BC: On generalized difference paranormed statistically convergent sequences. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 35(5), 655-663 (2004)
- Altay Band Başar, F: Generalization of the sequence space ℓ(p) derived by weighted means. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 330(1), 147-185 (2007)

#### doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2013-186

Cite this article as: Mohamed and Bakery: Mappings of type Orlicz and generalized Cesáro sequence space. Journal of Inequalities and Applications 2013 2013:186.

# Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com