RESEARCH Open Access

# Coupled coincidence point theorems in (intuitionistic) fuzzy normed spaces

YJ Cho<sup>1</sup>, J Martínez-Moreno<sup>2\*</sup>, A Roldán<sup>3</sup> and C Roldán<sup>4</sup>

\*Correspondence: jmmoreno@ujaen.es \*Department of Mathematics, University of Jaén, Jaén, Spain Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

#### **Abstract**

In this paper, we prove some coupled coincidence point theorems in fuzzy normed spaces. Our results improve and restate the proof lines of the main results given in the papers (Eshaghi Gordji *et al.* in Math. Comput. Model. 54:1897-1906, 2011) and (Sintunavarat *et al.* in Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2011:81, 2011).

MSC: Primary 47H10; secondary 54H25; 34B15

**Keywords:** fuzzy normed space; intuitionistic fuzzy normed space; coupled fixed point theorem; *t*-norm; *H*-type

#### 1 Introduction

Recently, many authors have shown the existence of coupled fixed points and common fixed points for some contractions in cone metric spaces, partially ordered metric spaces, fuzzy metric spaces, fuzzy normed spaces, intuitionistic fuzzy normed spaces and others ([1–11]).

Especially in [11], Sintunavarat *et al.* proved some coupled fixed point theorems for contractive mappings in partially complete intuitionistic fuzzy normed spaces, which extended and improved coupled coincidence point theorems in Gordji *et al.* [5]. But the authors found some mistakes in the proof lines of the main result (Theorem 2.5) of [5] and the same mistakes in [11].

In Section 2 of this paper, we restate some definitions and the main results in [11]. In Section 3, we give some comments about the incorrect proof lines of the main results given in [5] and [11] and explain why the lines of the proofs are wrong. Finally, in Section 4, we extend and improve some coupled fixed point theorems.

#### 2 Preliminaries

A *t-norm* (resp., a *t-conorm*) is a mapping  $*:[0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$  (resp.,  $\diamond:[0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$ ) that is associative, commutative and non-decreasing in both arguments and has 1 (resp., 0) as identity.

**Definition 2.1** [9] A *fuzzy normed space* (in brief, FNS) is a triple  $(X, \mu, *)$ , where X is a vector space, \* is a continuous t-norm and  $\mu: X \times (0, \infty) \to [0,1]$  is a fuzzy set such that, for all  $x, y \in X$  and t, s > 0,

- (F1)  $\mu(x,t) > 0$ ;
- (F2)  $\mu(x,t) = 1$  for all t > 0 if and only if x = 0;
- (F3)  $\mu(\alpha x, t) = \mu(x, \frac{t}{|\alpha|})$  for all  $\alpha \neq 0$ ;



- (F4)  $\mu(x,t) * \mu(y,s) \le \mu(x+y,t+s);$
- (F5)  $\mu(x, \cdot): (0, \infty) \to [0, 1]$  is continuous;
- (F6)  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \mu(x,t) = 1$  and  $\lim_{t\to 0} \mu(x,t) = 0$ .

Using the continuous *t*-norms and *t*-conorms, Saadati and Park [12] introduced the concept of an intuitionistic fuzzy normed space.

**Definition 2.2** An *intuitionistic fuzzy normed space* (in brief, IFNS) is a 5-tuple  $(X, \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$  where X is a vector space, \* is a continuous t-norm,  $\diamond$  is a continuous t-conorm and  $\mu, \nu : X \times (0, \infty) \to [0, 1]$  are fuzzy sets such that, for all  $x, y \in X$  and t, s > 0,

- (IF1)  $\mu(x,t) + \nu(x,t) \le 1$ ;
- (IF2)  $\mu(x,t) > 0$  and  $\nu(x,t) < 1$ ;
- (IF3)  $\mu(x,t) = 1$  for all t > 0 if and only if x = 0 if and only if  $\nu(x,t) = 0$  for all t > 0;
- (IF4)  $\mu(\alpha x, t) = \mu(x, \frac{t}{|\alpha|})$  and  $\nu(\alpha x, t) = \nu(x, \frac{t}{|\alpha|})$  for all  $\alpha \neq 0$ ;
- (IF5)  $\mu(x,t) * \mu(y,s) \le \mu(x+y,t+s)$  and  $\nu(x,t) \diamond \nu(y,s) \ge \nu(x+y,t+s)$ ;
- (IF6)  $\mu(x,\cdot), \nu(x,\cdot): (0,\infty) \to [0,1]$  are continuous;
- (IF7)  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \mu(x,t) = 1 = \lim_{t\to0} \nu(x,t)$  and  $\lim_{t\to0} \mu(x,t) = 0 = \lim_{t\to\infty} \nu(x,t)$ .

Obviously, if  $(X, \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$  is an IFNS, then  $(X, \mu, *)$  is an FNS. We refer to this space as its *support*.

**Lemma 2.1**  $\mu(x,\cdot)$  is a non-decreasing function on  $(0,\infty)$  and  $v(x,\cdot)$  is a non-increasing function on  $(0,\infty)$ .

Some properties and examples of IFNS and the concepts of *convergence* and a *Cauchy sequence* in IFNS are given in [12].

**Definition 2.3** [12] Let  $(X, \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$  be an IFNS.

- (1) A sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  is called a *Cauchy sequence* if, for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and t > 0, there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mu(x_n x_m, t) > 1 \epsilon$  and  $\nu(x_n x_m, t) < \epsilon$  for all  $n, m \ge n_0$ .
- (2) A sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  is said to be *convergent to* a point  $x \in X$  denoted by  $x_n \to x$  or by  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x$  if, for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and t > 0, there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mu(x_n x, t) > 1 \epsilon$  and  $\nu(x_n x, t) < \epsilon$  for all  $n \ge n_0$ .
- (3) An IFNS in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent is said to be complete.

Most of the following definitions were introduced in [8].

**Definition 2.4** Let  $F: X \times X \to X$  and  $g: X \to X$  be two mappings.

- (1) F and g are said to be *commuting* if gF(x,y) = F(gx,gy) for all  $x,y \in X$ .
- (2) A point  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  is called a *coupled coincidence point* of the mappings F and g if F(x, y) = gx and F(y, x) = gy. If g is the identity, (x, y) is called a *coupled fixed point* of F.
- (3) If  $(X, \sqsubseteq)$  is a partially ordered set, then F is said to have the *mixed g-monotone property* if it verifies the following properties:

$$x_1, x_2 \in X$$
,  $gx_1 \sqsubseteq gx_2 \implies F(x_1, y) \sqsubseteq F(x_2, y)$ ,  $\forall y \in X$ ,  $y_1, y_2 \in X$ ,  $gy_1 \sqsubseteq gy_2 \implies F(x, y_1) \supseteq F(x, y_2)$ ,  $\forall x \in X$ .

If *g* is the identity mapping, then *F* is said to have the *mixed monotone property*.

- (4) If  $(X, \sqsubseteq)$  is a partially ordered set, then X is said to have the *sequential g-monotone property* if it verifies the following properties:
  - (B1) If  $\{x_n\}$  is a non-decreasing sequence and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$ , then  $gx_n \sqsubseteq gx$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
  - (B2) If  $\{x_n\}$  is a non-increasing sequence and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = y$ , then  $gy_n \supseteq gy$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

If *g* is the identity mapping, then *X* is said to have the sequential *monotone property*.

**Definition 2.5** Let X and Y be two IFNS. A function  $f: X \to Y$  is said to be *continuous* at a point  $x_0 \in X$  if, for any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X converging to  $x_0$ , the sequence  $\{f(x_n)\}$  in Y converges to  $f(x_0)$ . If f is continuous at each  $x \in X$ , then f is said to be *continuous* on X.

**Definition 2.6** [5] Let  $(X, \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$  be an IFNS. The pair  $(\mu, \nu)$  is said to satisfy the *n*-property on  $X \times (0, \infty)$  if  $\lim_{n \to \infty} [\mu(x, k^n t)]^{n^p} = 1$  and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} [\nu(x, k^n t)]^{n^p} = 0$  whenever  $x \in X$ , k > 1 and p > 0.

The following lemma proved by Haghi *et al.* [7] is useful for our main results.

**Lemma 2.2** Let X be a nonempty set and  $g: X \to X$  be a mapping. Then there exists a subset  $E \subset X$  such that g(E) = g(X) and  $g: E \to X$  is one-to-one.

In order to state our results, we give the main results given in [5] and [11].

**Lemma 2.3** [5, Lemma 2.1] Let  $(X, \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$  be an IFNS. Let  $a * b \ge ab$ ,  $a \diamond b \le ab$  for all  $a, b \in [0,1]$  and  $(\mu, \nu)$  satisfy the n-property. Suppose that  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in X such that

$$\mu(x_{n+1}-x_n,kt) \ge \mu(x_n-x_{n-1},t), \qquad \nu(x_{n+1}-x_n,kt) \le \nu(x_n-x_{n-1},t)$$

for all t > 0 and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where 0 < k < 1. Then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X.

**Theorem 2.4** ([5, Theorem 2.5], [11, Theorem 3.1]) Let  $(X, \sqsubseteq)$  be a partially ordered set and suppose that  $a \diamond b \leq ab \leq a*b$  for all  $a,b \in [0,1]$ . Let  $(X,\mu,\nu,*,\diamond)$  be a complete IFNS such that  $(\mu,\nu)$  has the n-property. Let  $F: X \times X \to X$  and  $g: X \to X$  be two mappings such that F has the mixed g-monotone property and

$$\mu(F(x,y) - F(u,v), kt) \ge \mu(gx - gu, t) * \mu(gy - gv, t),$$
  
$$\nu(F(x,y) - F(u,v), kt) \le \nu(gx - gu, t) \diamond \nu(gy - gv, t),$$

for which  $gx \sqsubseteq gu$  and  $gy \supseteq gv$ , where 0 < k < 1,  $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$  and g is continuous. Suppose either

- (a) F is continuous or
- (b) X has the sequential g-monotone property.

If there exist  $x_0, y_0 \in X$  such that  $gx_0 \sqsubseteq F(x_0, y_0)$  and  $gy_0 \supseteq F(y_0, x_0)$ , then there exist  $x, y \in X$  such that gx = F(x, y) and gy = F(y, x).

### 3 Comments and suggestions

In this section, we show that the conditions of the above Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 2.4 in [5] are inadequate and, furthermore, the proof lines of Theorem 2.4 are not correct. We also would like to point out that the results in [5] can be corrected under the appropriate conditions on the t-norm and the FNS.

First of all, in the conditions of Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 2.4, we have a t-conorm  $\diamond$  such that  $a \diamond b \leq ab$  for all  $a, b \in [0,1]$ . If we take b = 0, then  $a = a \diamond 0 \leq 0$  for all  $a \in [0,1]$ . It is obviously impossible. Moreover, it is well known and easy to see that if \* is a t-norm and  $\diamond$  is a t-conorm, then  $a * b \leq a \diamond b$  for all  $a, b \in [0,1]$ . In this sense, Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 2.4 have to be corrected.

Secondly, from the property (IF1), it follows that a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  is a *Cauchy sequence* if, for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and t > 0, there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mu(x_n - x_m, t) > 1 - \epsilon$  for all  $n, m \ge n_0$ . That is, the sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  is a *Cauchy sequence* on the IFNS  $(X, \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$  if it also is on the FNS  $(X, \mu, *)$ . A similar comment is valid for the convergence.

Furthermore, the completeness of an IFNS is equivalent to the completeness of its support FNS and so we can deduce any fixed point theorem for IFNS (when the conditions on  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are splitting) as an immediate consequence of its associated fixed point theorem for FNS. In particular, it is sufficient to prove Theorem 2.4 just for FNS. Therefore, we only develop Theorem 4.2 for FNS.

Also, some proof lines of Lemma 2.3 are not correct (see p.1900, lines 9-23):

$$\mu(x_n - x_m, t) \ge \left[ \mu \left( x_0 - x_1, (1 - k) \frac{t}{k^n} \right) \right]^m$$

$$\ge \left[ \mu \left( x_0 - x_1, (1 - k) \frac{t}{k^n} \right) \right]^{n^q} \to 1,$$

where q > 0 such that  $m < n^q$ , and

$$v(x_n - x_m, t) \le \left[ v \left( x_0 - x_1, (1 - k) \frac{t}{k^n} \right) \right]^m$$

$$\le \left[ v \left( x_0 - x_1, (1 - k) \frac{t}{k^n} \right) \right]^{n^p} \to 0,$$

where p > 0 such that  $m < n^p$ . Hence the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. This is not correct since the same q (or p) would not be valid for all positive integers  $m > n \ge n_0$ . For instance, let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be an ordinary normed space, define  $\mu(x, t) = \frac{t}{t + \|x\|}$  for any  $x \in X$  and t > 0 and a \* b = ab for all  $a, b \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $(X, \mu, 1 - \mu, *, *')$  is an IFNS. If k = 1/2 and  $m = 2^n$ , we have

$$\left[\mu\left(x_0 - x_1, (1 - k)\frac{t}{k^n}\right)\right]^m = \left[\frac{2^{n-1}t}{2^{n-1}t + \|x_0 - x_1\|}\right]^{2^n} \to e^{-\frac{2\|x_0 - x_1\|}{t}} < 1.$$

Also, the proof lines of Theorem 2.4 that are not correct are the following ones (see p.1902, lines 22-32):

$$\mu(gx_n - gx_m, t) * \mu(gx_n - gx_m, t)$$

$$\geq \left[\mu\left(gx_{0} - gx_{1}, (1-k)\frac{t}{k^{n}}\right)\right]^{m-n} * \left[\mu\left(gy_{0} - gy_{1}, (1-k)\frac{t}{k^{n}}\right)\right]^{m-n} \\ \geq \left[\mu\left(gx_{0} - gx_{1}, (1-k)\frac{t}{k^{n}}\right)\right]^{m} * \left[\mu\left(gy_{0} - gy_{1}, (1-k)\frac{t}{k^{n}}\right)\right]^{m} \\ \geq \left[\mu\left(gx_{0} - gx_{1}, (1-k)\frac{t}{k^{n}}\right)\right]^{n^{p}} * \left[\mu\left(gy_{0} - gy_{1}, (1-k)\frac{t}{k^{n}}\right)\right]^{n^{p}} \to 1,$$

where q > 0 such that  $m < n^q$ . In general, we cannot obtain  $\mu(x_n - x_m, t) \to 1$  as  $n, m \to \infty$ . It is not shown that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Moreover, a similar conclusion can be obtained for  $\nu(x_n - x_m, t) \to 0$ . Thus, from the hypothesis of Theorem 2.4, the conclusion cannot be guaranteed.

#### 4 The modification in FNS

In this section, by replacing the hypothesis that  $\mu$  satisfies the n-property with the one that the t-norm is of H-type, we state and prove a coupled fixed point theorem as a modification.

**Definition 4.1** [13] For any  $a \in [0,1]$ , let the sequence  $\{*^n a\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be defined by  $*^1 a = a$  and  $*^n a = (*^{n-1} a) * a$ . Then a t-norm \* is said to be of H-type if the sequence  $\{*^n a\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is equicontinuos at a = 1.

**Theorem 4.1** Let  $(X, \sqsubseteq)$  be a partially ordered set and  $(X, \mu, *)$  be a complete FNS such that \* is of H-type and  $a*b \ge ab$  for all  $a,b \in [0,1]$ . Let  $k \in (0,1)$  be a number and  $F: X \times X \to X$  be a mapping such that F has the mixed monotone property and

$$\mu(F(x,y) - F(u,v),kt) \ge \left[\mu(x-u,t)\right]^{1/2} * \left[\mu(y-v,t)\right]^{1/2},\tag{4.1}$$

for which  $x \sqsubseteq u$  and  $y \supseteq v$ . Suppose that either

- (a) F is continuous or
- (b) X has the sequential monotone property.

If there exist  $x_0, y_0 \in X$  such that  $x_0 \sqsubseteq F(x_0, y_0)$  and  $y_0 \supseteq F(y_0, x_0)$ , then F has a coupled fixed point. Furthermore, if  $x_0$  and  $y_0$  are comparable, then x = y, that is, x = F(x, x).

*Proof* Let  $x_0, y_0 \in X$  be such that  $x_0 \sqsubseteq F(x_0, y_0)$  and  $y_0 \supseteq F(y_0, x_0)$ . Since  $F(X \times X) \subseteq X$ , we can choose  $x_1, y_1 \in X$  such that  $x_1 = F(x_0, y_0)$  and  $y_1 = F(y_0, x_0)$ . Again, from  $F(X \times X) \subseteq X$ , we can choose  $x_2, y_2 \in X$  such that  $x_2 = F(x_1, y_1)$  and  $y_2 = F(y_1, x_1)$ . Continuing this process, we can construct two sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in X such that, for each  $n \ge 0$ ,

$$x_{n+1} = F(x_n, y_n), y_{n+1} = F(y_n, x_n).$$
 (4.2)

The proof is divided into two steps.

Step 1. Prove that  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  are Cauchy sequences. Firstly, we show by induction that, for each  $n \ge 0$ ,

$$x_n \sqsubseteq x_{n+1}, \qquad y_n \supseteq y_{n+1}.$$
 (4.3)

For n = 0, (4.3) holds trivially. Suppose that, for some fixed  $n \ge 0$ , (4.3) holds. Since  $x_n \sqsubseteq x_{n+1}$  and  $x_n \supseteq x_{n+1}$  and F has the mixed monotone property, it follows from (4.2) that

$$x_{n+1} = F(x_n, y_n) \sqsubseteq F(x_{n+1}, y_n), \qquad y_{n+1} = F(y_n, x_n) \supseteq F(y_{n+1}, x_n).$$
 (4.4)

Similarly, we have

$$x_{n+2} = F(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \supseteq F(x_{n+1}, y_n), \qquad y_{n+2} = F(y_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \sqsubseteq F(y_{n+1}, x_n).$$
 (4.5)

Thus, combining (4.4) and (4.5), (4.3) holds.

Let  $\delta_n(t) = [\mu(x_n - x_{n+1}, t)]^{1/2} * [\mu(y_n - y_{n+1}, t)]^{1/2}$  for all  $n \ge 0$ . Then it follows from (4.1), (4.3) and (F3) that

$$\mu(x_{n} - x_{n+1}, kt) = \mu(F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}) - F(x_{n}, y_{n}), kt)$$

$$\geq \left[\mu(x_{n-1} - x_{n}, t)\right]^{1/2} * \left[\mu(y_{n-1} - y_{n}, t)\right]^{1/2}$$

$$= \delta_{n-1}(t) \tag{4.6}$$

and

$$\mu(y_n - y_{n+1}, kt) = \mu(F(y_n, x_n) - F(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), kt)$$

$$\geq \left[\mu(y_n - y_{n-1}, t)\right]^{1/2} * \left[\mu(x_n - x_{n-1}, t)\right]^{1/2}$$

$$= \delta_{n-1}(t). \tag{4.7}$$

Then it follows from the *t*-norm and  $a*b \ge ab$  that  $\delta_n(kt) \ge \delta_{n-1}(t)$  for all  $n \ge 1$ . This implies that

$$1 \ge \delta_n(t) \ge \delta_{n-1}\left(\frac{t}{k}\right) \ge \delta_{n-2}\left(\frac{t}{k^2}\right) \ge \dots \ge \delta_0\left(\frac{t}{k^n}\right). \tag{4.8}$$

Since  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \delta_0(\frac{t}{k^n}) = 1$  for all t > 0, we have  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \delta_n(t) = 1$  for all t > 0.

Now, we claim that, for any  $p \ge 1$ ,

$$\mu(x_n - x_{n+p}, t) \ge *^p \delta_{n-1}(t - kt), \qquad \mu(y_n - y_{n+p}, t) \ge *^p \delta_{n-1}(t - kt), \quad \forall n \ge 1.$$
 (4.9)

In fact, it is obvious for p = 1 by (4.6), (4.7) and Lemma 2.1 since  $t/k \ge t - kt$  and  $\delta_{n-1}^1$  is non-decreasing. Assume that (4.9) holds for some  $p \ge 1$ . By (4.7), we have

$$\mu(x_n - x_{n+1}, t) > \mu(x_n - x_{n+1}, kt) > \delta_{n-1}(t)$$

and so

$$\mu(x_n - x_{n+1}, t - kt) \ge \delta_{n-1}(t - kt).$$

Thus, from (4.1), (4.9) and  $a * b \ge ab$ , we have

$$\mu(x_{n+1}-x_{n+p+1},kt) \ge \left[\mu(x_n-x_{n+p},t)\right]^{1/2} * \left[\mu(y_n-y_{n+p},t)\right]^{1/2} \ge *^p \delta_{n-1}(t-kt).$$

Hence, by the monotonicity of the t-norm \*, we have

$$\mu(x_n - x_{n+p+1}, t) = \mu(x_n - x_{n+p+1}, t - kt + kt)$$

$$\geq \mu(x_n - x_{n+1}, t - kt) * \mu(x_{n+1} - x_{n+p+1}, kt)$$

$$\geq \delta_{n-1}(t - kt) * (*^p \delta_{n-1}(t - kt)) = *^{p+1} \delta_{n-1}(t - kt).$$

Similarly, we have

$$\mu(y_{n+1} - y_{n+p+1}, kt) \ge *^{p+1} \delta_{n-1}(t - kt).$$

Therefore, by induction, (4.9) holds for all  $p \ge 1$ . Suppose that t > 0 and  $\epsilon \in (0,1]$  are given. By hypothesis, since \* is a t-norm of H-type, there exists  $0 < \eta < 1$  such that  $*^p(a) > 1 - \epsilon$  for all  $a \in (1 - \eta, 1]$  and  $p \ge 1$ . Since  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \delta_n(t) = 1$ , there exists  $n_0$  such that  $\delta_n(t - kt) > 1 - \eta$  for all  $n \ge n_0$ . Hence, from (4.9), we get

$$\mu(x_n - x_{n+p}, t) > 1 - \epsilon, \qquad \mu(y_n - y_{n+p}, t) > 1 - \epsilon, \quad \forall n \ge n_0.$$

Therefore,  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  are Cauchy sequences.

Step 2. We prove that F has a coupled fixed point. Since X is complete, there exist  $x, y \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = y$ . Suppose that the assumption (a) holds. By the continuity of F, we get

$$x = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_{n+1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} F(x_n, y_n) = F\left(\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n, \lim_{n \to \infty} y_n\right) = F(x, y).$$

Similarly, we can show that F(y, x) = y.

Suppose now that (b) holds. Since  $\{x_n\}$  is a non-decreasing sequence with  $x_n \to x$  and  $\{y_n\}$  is a non-increasing sequence with  $x_y \to y$ , from (B1) and (B2), we have  $x_n \sqsubseteq x$  and  $y_n \supseteq y$  for all  $n \ge 1$ . Then, by (4.1), we obtain

$$\mu(x_{n+1} - F(x, y), kt) \ge \mu(F(x_n, y_n) - F(x, y), kt) \ge [\mu(x_n - x, t)]^{1/2} * [\mu(y_n - y, t)]^{1/2}.$$

Letting  $n \to \infty$ , we have  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = F(x, y)$ . Hence F(x, y) = x.

Similarly, we can show that F(y, x) = y.

Suppose that  $x_0 \sqsubseteq y_0$ . By induction and the mixed monotone property of F, it follows that  $x_n = F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}) \sqsubseteq F(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}) = y_n$ . From (4.1), it follows that

$$\mu(x_{n+1} - y_{n+1}, kt) = \mu(F(x_n, y_n) - F(y_n, x_n, kt))$$

$$\geq \left[\mu(x_n - y_n, t)\right]^{1/2} * \left[\mu(y_n - x_n, t)\right]^{1/2}$$

$$= \mu(x_n - y_n, t).$$

By the iterative procedure, we have

$$\mu(x_n - y_n, kt) \ge \mu(x_{n-1} - y_{n-1}, t) \ge \mu\left(x_{n-2} - y_{n-2}, \frac{t}{k}\right) \ge \cdots \ge \mu\left(x_0 - y_0, \frac{t}{k^{n-1}}\right).$$

Taking  $n \to \infty$ , since  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(x_0 - y_0, \frac{t}{k^{n-1}}) = 1$  for all t > 0, we conclude that  $\mu(x - y, t) \ge 1$  for all t > 0, *i.e.*, x = y. This completes the proof.

Next, we prove the existence of a coupled coincidence point theorem, where we do not require that F and g are commuting.

**Theorem 4.2** Let  $(X, \sqsubseteq)$  be a partially ordered set and let  $(X, \mu, *)$  be a complete FNS such that \* is of H-type and  $a * b \ge ab$  for all  $a, b \in [0,1]$ . Let  $k \in (0,1)$  be a number and  $F: X \times X \to X$  and  $g: X \to X$  be two mappings such that F has the mixed g-monotone property and

$$\mu(F(x,y) - F(u,v),kt) \ge \left[\mu(gx - gu,t)\right]^{1/2} * \left[\mu(gy - gv,t)\right]^{1/2},\tag{4.10}$$

for which  $gx \sqsubseteq gu$  and  $gy \sqsupseteq gv$ . Suppose that  $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$ , g is continuous and either

- (a) F is continuous or
- (b) *X* has the sequential g-monotone property.

If there exist  $x_0, y_0 \in X$  such that  $gx_0 \sqsubseteq F(x_0, y_0)$  and  $gy_0 \supseteq F(y_0, x_0)$ , then there exist  $x, y \in X$  such that gx = F(x, y) and gy = F(y, x), that is, F and g have a coupled coincidence point.

*Proof* Using Lemma 2.2, there exists  $E \subset X$  such that g(E) = g(X) and  $g: E \to X$  is one-to-one. We define a mapping  $\mathcal{A}: g(E) \times g(E) \to X$  by  $\mathcal{A}(gx, gy) = F(x, y)$ . Since g is one-to-one on g(E),  $\mathcal{A}$  is well defined. Thus it follows from (4.10) that

$$\mu(A(gx,gy) - A(gu,gv),kt) \ge [\mu(gx - gu,t)]^{1/2} * [\mu(gy - gv,t)]^{1/2},$$
 (4.11)

for which  $gx \sqsubseteq gu$  and  $gy \supseteq gv$ . Since *F* has the mixed *g*-monotone property, we have

$$gx_1, gx_2 \in g(X), \quad gx_1 \sqsubseteq gx_2$$
  
 $\implies \mathcal{A}(gx_1, gy) = F(x_1, y) \sqsubseteq F(x_2, y) = \mathcal{A}(gx_2, gy), \quad \forall gy \in g(X),$   
 $gy_1, gy_2 \in g(X), \quad gy_1 \sqsubseteq gy_2$   
 $\implies \mathcal{A}(gx, gy_1) = F(x, y_1) \supseteq F(x, y_2) = \mathcal{A}(gx, gy_2), \quad \forall gx \in g(X),$ 

which implies that A has the mixed monotone property.

Suppose that the assumption (a) or (b) holds. Using Theorem 4.1 with the mapping  $\mathcal{A}$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{A}$  has a coupled fixed point  $(u,v) \in g(X) \times g(X)$ , *i.e.*,  $u = \mathcal{A}(u,v)$  and  $v = \mathcal{A}(v,u)$ . Since  $(u,v) \in g(X) \times g(X)$ , there exists  $(\tilde{u},\tilde{v}) \in X \times X$  such that  $g\tilde{u} = u$  and  $g\tilde{v} = v$ . Thus  $g\tilde{u} = u = \mathcal{A}(u,v) = \mathcal{A}(g\tilde{u},g\tilde{v})$ . Similarly,  $g\tilde{v} = \mathcal{A}(g\tilde{v},g\tilde{u})$ . This completes the proof.

Now, we show the existence and uniqueness of coupled coincidence points. Note that if  $(S, \sqsubseteq)$  is a partially ordered set, then we endow the product  $S \times S$  with the following partial order:

$$(x,y) \sqsubseteq (u,v) \iff x \sqsubseteq u,y \supseteq v, \quad \forall x,y,u,v \in S.$$

We say that (x, y) and (u, v) are *comparable* if  $(x, y) \sqsubseteq (u, v)$  or  $(x, y) \supseteq (u, v)$ .

**Theorem 4.3** In addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 4.2, suppose that, for any pair of coupled coincidence points  $(x,y), (x^*,y^*) \in X \times X$ , there exists a point  $(u,v) \in X \times X$  such that (gu,gv) is comparable to (gx,gy) and  $(gx^*,gy^*)$ . Then F and g have a unique coupled coincidence point, that is, there exists a unique  $(x,y) \in X \times X$  such that x = gx = F(x,y) and y = gy = F(y,x).

*Proof* From Theorem 4.2, the set of coupled coincidences is nonempty. Now, we show that if (x, y) and  $(x^*, y^*)$  are coupled coincidence points, that is, gx = F(x, y), gy = F(y, x) and  $gx^* = F(x^*, y^*)$ ,  $gy^* = F(y^*, x^*)$ , then

$$gx = gx^*, \qquad gy = gy^*. \tag{4.12}$$

Put  $u_0 = u$  and  $v_0 = v$  and choose  $u_1, v_1 \in X$  such that  $gu_1 = F(u_0, v_0)$  and  $gv_1 = F(v_0, u_0)$ . Then, as in the proof of Theorem 4.2, we can inductively define the sequences  $\{u_n\}$  and  $\{v_n\}$  such that

$$gu_{n+1} = F(u_n, v_n), \qquad gv_{n+1} = F(v_n, u_n).$$
 (4.13)

Since (F(x, y), F(y, x)) = (gx, gy) and  $(F(u, v), F(v, u)) = (gu_1, gv_1)$  are comparable, we can suppose that  $gx \sqsubseteq gu_1$  and  $gy \supseteq gv_1$ . It is easy to show, by induction and g-monotonicity, that  $gx \sqsubseteq gu_n$  and  $gy \supseteq gv_n$  for all  $n \ge 1$ . From (4.1), we obtain

$$\mu(gx - gu_n, kt) = \mu(F(x, y) - F(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}), kt)$$

$$\geq \left[\mu(gx - gu_{n-1}, t)\right]^{1/2} * \left[\mu(gy - gv_{n-1}, t)\right]^{1/2}$$
(4.14)

and

$$\mu(gv_{n} - gy, kt) = \mu(F(v_{n-1}, u_{n-1}) - F(y, x), kt)$$

$$\geq \left[\mu(gv_{n-1} - gy, t)\right]^{1/2} * \left[\mu(gu_{n-1} - gx, t)\right]^{1/2}.$$
(4.15)

Now, let  $\beta_n(t) = [\mu(gx - gu_n, t)]^{1/2} * [\mu(gy - gv_n, t)]^{1/2}$ . By (4.14) and (4.15), we have

$$\beta_n(t) \ge \beta_{n-1}\left(\frac{t}{k}\right) \ge \cdots \ge \beta_0\left(\frac{t}{k^n}\right)$$

and

$$\mu(gx-gu_n,kt) \ge \beta_0\left(\frac{t}{k^n}\right), \qquad \mu(gy-gv_n,kt) \ge \beta_0\left(\frac{t}{k^n}\right).$$

Since  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \beta_0(\frac{t}{k^n}) = 1$ , we conclude that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} gu_n = gx$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} gv_n = gy$ . Similarly,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} gu_n = gx^*$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} gv_n = gy^*$ . Hence  $gx = gx^*$  and  $gy = gy^*$  and so (4.12) is proved.

Since gx = F(x, y) and gy = F(y, x), if z = gx and w = gy, by the commutativity of F and g, we have

$$gz = ggx = gF(x, y) = F(gx, gy) = F(z, w)$$

and

$$gw = ggy = gF(y,x) = F(gy,gx) = F(w,z),$$

*i.e.*, (z, w) is a coupled coincidence point. In particular, from (4.12), we have z = gx = gz and w = gy = gw. Therefore, (z, w) is a coupled common fixed point of F and g.

To prove the uniqueness of the coupled common fixed point of F and g, assume that (p,q) is another coupled common fixed point. Then, by (4.12), we have p = gp = gz = z and q = gq = gw = w. This completes the proof.

Finally, we present an intuitionistic version of Theorem 4.2 with the dual conditions on *t*-conorms. The proof is just reduced to apply Theorem 4.2 to the support FNS.

**Corollary 4.4** Let  $(X, \sqsubseteq)$  be a partially ordered set and suppose that  $a * b \ge ab$  and  $(1 - a) \diamond (1 - b) \le 1 - ab$  for all  $a, b \in [0,1]$ . Let  $(X, \mu, \nu, *, \diamond)$  be a complete IFNS such that \* and  $\diamond$  are of H-type. Let  $F: X \times X \to X$  and  $g: X \to X$  be two mappings such that F has the mixed g-monotone property,

$$\mu\big(F(x,y)-F(u,v),kt\big)\geq \left[\mu(gx-gu,t)\right]^{1/2}*\left[\mu(gy-gv,t)\right]^{1/2}$$

and

$$\nu(F(x,y) - F(u,v),kt)$$

$$\leq (1 - [1 - \nu(gx - gu,t)]^{1/2}) \diamond (1 - [1 - \nu(gy - gv,t)]^{1/2}),$$
(4.16)

for which  $g(x) \sqsubseteq g(u)$  and  $g(y) \supseteq g(v)$ , where 0 < k < 1,  $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$  and g is continuous. Suppose that either

- (a) F is continuous or
- (b) *X* has the sequential g-monotone property.

If there exist  $x_0, y_0 \in X$  such that  $g(x_0) \sqsubseteq F(x_0, y_0)$  and  $g(y_0) \supseteq F(y_0, x_0)$ , then there exist  $x, y \in X$  such that g(x) = F(x, y) and g(y) = F(y, x), that is, F and g have a coupled coincidence point.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics Education and RINS, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju, 660-701, Korea. <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Jaén, Jaén, Spain. <sup>3</sup>Department of Statistics and Operations Research, University of Jaén, Jaén, Spain. <sup>4</sup>Department of Statistics and Operations Research, University of Granada, Granada, Spain.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (Grant Number: 2012-0008170) and by the Junta de Andalucía and projects FQM-268, FQM-178 of the Andalusian CICYE, Spain.

Received: 20 September 2012 Accepted: 24 February 2013 Published: 18 March 2013

#### References

- Abbas, M, Cho, YJ, Nazir, T: Common fixed point theorems for four mappings in TVS-valued cone metric spaces. J. Math. Inequal. 5, 287-299 (2011)
- Cho, YJ, He, G, Huang, NJ: The existence results of coupled quasi-solutions for a class of operator equations. Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 47, 455-465 (2010)
- Cho, YJ, Saadati, R, Wang, S: Common fixed point theorems on generalized distance in order cone metric spaces. Comput. Math. Appl. 61, 1254-1260 (2011)
- 4. Cho, YJ, Shah, MH, Hussain, N: Coupled fixed points of weakly *F*-contractive mappings in topological spaces. Appl. Math. Lett. **24**, 1185-1190 (2011)
- Eshaghi Gordji, M, Baghani, H, Cho, YJ: Coupled fixed point theorems for contractions in intuitionistic fuzzy normed spaces. Math. Comput. Model. 54, 1897-1906 (2011)
- Graily, E, Vaezpour, SM, Saadati, R, Cho, YJ: Generalization of fixed point theorems in ordered metric spaces concerning generalized distance. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2011, 30 (2011). doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2011-30
- Haghi, RH, Rezapour, SH, Shahzad, N: Some fixed point generalizations are not real generalizations. Nonlinear Anal. 74, 1799-1803 (2011)
- 8. Lakshmikantham, V, Ćirić, LB: Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces. Nonlinear Anal. 70, 4341-4349 (2009)
- 9. Saadati, R, Vaezpour, S: Some results on fuzzy Banach spaces. J. Appl. Math. Comput. 17, 475-484 (2005)
- Sintunavarat, W, Cho, YJ, Kumam, P: Common fixed point theorems for c-distance in ordered cone metric spaces. Comput. Math. Appl. 62, 1969-1978 (2011)
- Sintunavarat, W, Cho, YJ, Kumam, P: Coupled coincidence point theorems for contractions without commutative condition in intuitionistic fuzzy normed spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2011, 81 (2011)
- 12. Saadati, R, Park, JH: On the intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces. Chaos Solitons Fractals 27, 331-344 (2006)
- 13. Hadzic, O, Pap, E: Fixed Point Theory in Probabilistic Metric Spaces. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht (2001)

#### doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2013-104

Cite this article as: Cho et al.: Coupled coincidence point theorems in (intuitionistic) fuzzy normed spaces. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2013 **2013**:104.

# Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ► Immediate publication on acceptance
- ► Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ springeropen.com