RESEARCH

Open Access

A Caccioppoli-type estimate for very weak solutions to obstacle problems with weight

Gao Hongya^{1*} and Qiao Jinjing^{1,2}

* Correspondence: hongyagao@sohu.com ¹College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Hebei University, Baoding 071002, People's Republic of China Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

This paper gives a Caccioppoli-type estimate for very weak solutions to obstacle problems of the \mathcal{A} -harmonic equation $\operatorname{div}\mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u) = 0$ with $|\mathcal{A}(x, \xi)| \approx w(x)|\xi|^{p-1}$, where 1 and <math>w(x) be a Muckenhoupt A_1 weight. **Mathematics Subject Classification (2000)** 35J50, 35J60

Keywords: Caccioppoli-type estimate, very weak solution, obstacle problem, Muckenhoupt weight, A-harmonic equation

1 Introduction

Let *w* be a locally integrable non-negative function in \mathbb{R}^n and assume that $0 < w < \infty$ almost everywhere. We say that *w* belongs to the Muckenhoupt class A_{p} , 1 , or that*w* $is an <math>A_p$ weight, if there is a constant $A_p(w)$ such that

$$\sup_{B} \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B} w dx\right) \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B} w^{1/(1-p)} dx\right)^{p-1} = A_{p}(w) < \infty$$

$$(1.1)$$

for all balls *B* in \mathbb{R}^n . We say that *w* belongs to A_1 , or that *w* is an A_1 weight, if there is a constant $A_1(w)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{|B|} \int\limits_{B} w \mathrm{d}x \leq A_1(w) \mathrm{essinf}_B w$$

for all balls B in \mathbb{R}^n .

As customary, μ stands for the measure whose Radon-Nikodym derivative w is

$$\mu(E)=\int_E w \mathrm{d}x.$$

It is well known that $A_1 \subseteq A_p$ whenever p > 1, see [1]. We say that a weight w is doubling if there is a constant C > 0 such that

 $\mu(2B) \le C\mu(B)$

whenever $B \subseteq 2B$ are concentric balls in \mathbb{R}^n , where 2B is the ball with the same center as *B* and with radius twice that of *B*. Given a measurable subset *E* of \mathbb{R}^n , we will denote by $L^p(E, w)$, 1 , the Banach space of all measurable functions*f*defined on*E*for which



© 2011 Hongya and Jinjing; licensee Springer. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

$$||f||_{L^p(E,w)} = \left(\int_E |f(x)|^p w(x) \mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

The weighted Sobolev class $W^{1,p}(E, w)$ consists of all functions f, and its first generalized derivatives belong to $L^p(E, w)$. The symbols $L^p_{loc}(E, w)$ and $W^{1,p}_{loc}(E, w)$ are selfexplanatory.

If $x_0 \in \Omega$ and t > 0, then B_t denotes the ball of radius t centered at x_0 . For the function u(x) and k > 0, let $A_k = \{x \in \Omega : |u(x)| > k\}$, $A_{k,t} = A_k \cap B_t$. Let $T_k(u)$ be the usual truncation of u at level k > 0, that is

 $T_k(u) = \max\{-k, \min\{k, u\}\}.$

Let Ω be a bounded regular domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \ge 2$. By a regular domain, we understand any domain of finite measure for which the estimates for the Hodge decomposition in (2.1) and (2.2) are satisfied. A Lipschitz domain, for example, is regular. We consider the second-order degenerate elliptic equation (also called \mathcal{A} -harmonic equation or Leray-Lions equation)

$$\operatorname{div}\mathcal{A}(x,\nabla u) = 0 \tag{1.2}$$

where $\mathcal{A}(x,\xi): \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a carathéodory function satisfying the following assumptions

1. $\langle \mathcal{A}(x,\xi),\xi\rangle \geq \alpha w(x)|\xi|^p$, 2. $|\mathcal{A}(x,\xi)| \leq \beta w(x)|\xi|^{p-1}$,

where $0 < \alpha \le \beta < \infty$, $w \in A_1$ and $w \ge k_0 > 0$. Suppose ψ is any function in Ω with values in the extended reals $[-\infty, +\infty]$ and that $\theta \in W^{1,r}(\Omega, w)$, max $\{1, p, -1\} < r \le p$. Let

$$\mathcal{K}^r_{\psi,\theta} = \mathcal{K}^r_{\psi,\theta}(\Omega, w) = \{ v \in W^{1,r}(\Omega, w) : v \ge \psi, \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and } v - \theta \in W^{1,r}_0(\Omega, w) \}.$$

The function ψ is an obstacle, and θ determines the boundary values.

We introduce the Hodge decomposition for $|\nabla(v-u)|^{r-p}\nabla(v-u) \in L^{\frac{r}{r-p+1}}(\Omega, w)^r$ from Lemma 1 in Section 2,

$$|\nabla(v-u)|^{r-p}\nabla(v-u) = \nabla\varphi + H \tag{1.3}$$

and the following estimate holds

$$\|H\| \frac{r}{{}_{L}r - p + 1} \leq cA_{p}(w)^{\gamma} |r - p| \|\nabla(v - u)\|_{L^{r}(\Omega, w)}^{r - p + 1}.$$
(1.4)

Definition 1 A very weak solution to the $\mathcal{K}^{r}_{\psi,\theta}$ -obstacle problem is a function $u \in \mathcal{K}^{r}_{\psi,\theta}(\Omega, w)$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u), |\nabla(v-u)|^{r-p} \nabla(v-u) \rangle \mathrm{d}x \ge \int_{\Omega} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u), H \rangle \mathrm{d}x$$
(1.5)

whenever $v \in \mathcal{K}^{r}_{\psi,\theta}(\Omega, w)$ and H comes from the Hodge decomposition (1.3).

The local and global higher integrability of the derivatives in obstacle problems with $w(x) \equiv 1$ was first considered by Li and Martio [2] in 1994, using the so-called reverse Hölder inequality. Gao and Tian [3] gave a local regularity result for weak solutions to obstacle problem in 2004. Recently, regularity theory for very weak solutions of the \mathcal{A} -harmonic equations with $w(x) \equiv 1$ have been considered [4], and the regularity theory for very solutions of obstacle problems with $w(x) \equiv 1$ have been explored in [5]. This paper gives a Caccioppoli-type estimate for solutions to obstacle problems with weight, which is closely related to the local regularity theory for very weak solutions of the \mathcal{A} -harmonic equation (1.2).

Theorem There exists $r_1 \in (p - 1, p)$ such that for arbitrary $\psi \in W^{1,p}_{loc}(\Omega, w)$ and $r_1 < r < p$, a solution u to the $\mathcal{K}^r_{\psi,\theta}$ -obstacle problem with weight $w(x) \in A_1$ satisfies the following Caccioppoli-type estimate

$$\int_{A_{k,\rho}} |\nabla u|^r d\mu \le C \left[\int_{A_{k,R}} |\nabla \psi|^r d\mu + \frac{1}{(R-\rho)^r} \int_{A_{k,R}} |u|^r d\mu \right]$$

where $0 < \rho < R < +\infty$ and C is a constant depends only on n, p and β/α .

2 Preliminary Lemmas

The following lemma comes from [6] which is a Hodge decomposition in weighted spaces.

Lemma 1 Let Ω be a regular domain of \mathbb{R}^n and w(x) be an A_1 weight. If $u \in W_0^{1,p-\varepsilon}(\Omega, w)$, $1 , <math>-1 < \varepsilon < p - 1$, then there exist $\varphi \in W_0^{1,\frac{p-\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}}(\Omega, w)^{and}$ a divergence-free vector field $H \in L^{\frac{p-\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}}(\Omega, w)^{such}$ that

$$|\nabla u|^{-\varepsilon} \nabla u = \nabla \varphi + H$$

and

$$\|\nabla\varphi\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-\varepsilon}}(\Omega,w)} \leq cA_{p}(w)^{\gamma} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{p-\varepsilon}(\Omega,w)}^{1-\varepsilon}$$

$$(2.1)$$

$$\|H\| \underbrace{p-\varepsilon}_{L} \underbrace{1-\varepsilon}_{(\Omega,w)} \leq cA_p(w)^{\gamma} |\varepsilon| \|\nabla u\|_{L^{p-\varepsilon}(\Omega,w)}^{1-\varepsilon}$$

$$(2.2)$$

where γ depends only on p.

We also need the following lemma in the proof of the main theorem.

Lemma 2 [7]Let f(t) be a non-negative bounded function defined for $0 \le T_0 \le t \le T_1$. Suppose that for $T_0 \le t < s \le T_1$, we have

$$f(t) \le A(s-t)^{-\alpha} + B + \theta f(s),$$

where A, B, α , θ are non-negative constants and $\theta < 1$. Then, there exist a constant c, depending only on α and θ , such that for every ρ , R, $T_0 \le \rho < R \le T_1$ we have

$$f(\rho) \le c[A(R-\rho)^{-\alpha} + B].$$

3 Proof of the main theorem

Let *u* be a very weak solution to the $\mathcal{K}_{\psi,\theta}^r$ -obstacle problem. Let $B_{R_1} \subset \Omega$ and $0 < R_0 \le \tau < t \le R_1$ be arbitrarily fixed. Fix a cut-off function $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(B_t)$ such that

$$\operatorname{supp}\phi \subset B_t, \ 0 \le \phi \le 1, \ \phi = 1 \text{ in } B_\tau \text{ and } |\nabla \phi| \le 2(t-\tau)^{-1}.$$

Consider the function

$$v = u - T_k(u) - \phi^r(u - \psi_k^+),$$

where $T_k(u)$ is the usual truncation of u at the level k defined in Section 1 and $\psi_k^+ = \max\{\psi, T_k(u)\}$. Now $v \in \mathcal{K}_{\psi-T_k(u),\theta-T_k(u)}^r(\Omega, w)$. Indeed,

$$v - (\theta - T_k(u)) = u - \theta - \phi^r(u - \psi_k^+) \in W_0^{1,r}(\Omega, w)$$

since $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and

$$\nu - (\psi - T_k(u)) = (u - \psi) - \phi^r(u - \psi_k^+) \ge (1 - \phi^r)(u - \psi) \ge 0$$

a.e. in Ω . Let

$$E(v,u) = |\phi^r \nabla u|^{r-p} \phi^r \nabla u + |\nabla (v-u+T_k(u))|^{r-p} \nabla (v-u+T_k(u)).$$
(3.1)

From an elementary formula [[8], (4.1)]

$$||X|^{-\varepsilon}X - |Y|^{-\varepsilon}Y| \le 2^{\varepsilon} \frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} |X-Y|^{1-\varepsilon}, \ X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ 0 \le \varepsilon < 1$$

and $\nabla v = \nabla (u - T_k(u)) - \phi^r \nabla (u - \psi_k^+) - r \phi^{r-1} \nabla \phi (u - \psi_k^+)$, we can derive that

$$|E(v,u)| \le 2^{p-r} \frac{p-r+1}{r-p+1} |\phi^r \nabla u - \phi^r \nabla (u - \psi_k^+) - r \phi^{r-1} \nabla \phi (u - \psi_k^+)|^{r-p+1}.$$
 (3.2)

From (3.1), we get that

$$\int_{A_{k,t}} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u), |\phi^r \nabla u|^{r-p} \phi^r \nabla u \rangle dx = \int_{A_{k,t}} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u), E(v, u) \rangle dx$$

$$- \int_{A_{k,t}} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u), |\nabla(v-u)|^{r-p} \nabla(v-u) \rangle dx.$$
(3.3)

Now we estimate the left-hand side of (3.3),

$$\int_{A_{k,t}} \langle A(x, \nabla u), |\phi^r \nabla u|^{r-p} \phi^r \nabla u \rangle dx \ge \int_{A_{k,r}} \langle A(x, \nabla u), |\nabla u|^{r-p} \nabla u \rangle dx \ge \alpha \int_{A_{k,r}} |\nabla u|^r d\mu.$$
(3.4)

Using (1.3), we get

$$\left|\nabla(v-u+T_k(u))\right|^{r-p}\nabla(v-u+T_k(u)) = \nabla\varphi + H$$
(3.5)

and (1.4) yields

$$||H|| \frac{r}{{}_{L}r-p+1} \leq cA_{p}(w)^{\gamma}|r-p|||\nabla(v-u+T_{k}(u))||_{L^{r}(\Omega,w)}^{r-p+1}.$$
(3.6)

Since $u - T_k(u)$ is a very weak solution to the $\mathcal{K}^r_{\psi-T_k(u),\theta-T_k(u)}$ -obstacle problem, we derive, by

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla(u-T_k(u))), |\nabla(v-u+T_k(u))|^{r-p} \nabla(v-u+T_k(u)) \rangle dx \geq \int_{\Omega} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla(u-T_k(u))), H \rangle dx$$

that is

$$\int_{A_{k,t}} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u), |\nabla(v-u)|^{r-p} \nabla(v-u) \rangle dx \ge \int_{A_{k,t}} \langle \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u), H \rangle dx.$$
(3.7)

Combining the inequalities (3.3), (3.4) and (3.7), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \alpha & \int_{A_{k,r}} |\nabla u|^r d\mu \leq \int_{A_{k,t}} \langle A(x, \nabla u), E(v, u) \rangle dx - \int_{A_{k,t}} \langle A(x, \nabla u), H \rangle dx \\ & \leq \beta \frac{2^{p-r}(p-r+1)}{r-p+1} \int_{A_{k,t}} |\nabla u|^{p-1} |\phi^r \nabla \psi_k^* - r\phi^{r-1} \nabla \phi (u - \psi_k^*)|^{r-p+1} d\mu \\ & + \beta \int_{A_{k,t}} |\nabla u|^{p-1} |H| d\mu \\ & \leq \beta \frac{2^{p-r}(p-r+1)}{r-p+1} \int_{A_{k,t}} |\nabla u|^{p-1} |\phi^r \nabla \psi|^{r-p+1} d\mu \\ & + \beta \frac{2^{p-r}(p-r+1)}{r-p+1} \int_{A_{k,t}} |\nabla u|^{p-1} |r\phi^{r-1} \nabla \phi (u - \psi_k^*)|^{r-p+1} d\mu \\ & + \beta \int_{A_{k,t}} |\nabla u|^{p-1} |H| d\mu \\ & \leq \beta \frac{2^{p-r}(p-r+1)}{r-p+1} \left(\int_{A_{k,t}} |\nabla u|^r d\mu \right)^{\frac{p-1}{r}} \left(\int_{A_{k,t}} |\nabla \psi|^r d\mu \right)^{\frac{r-p+1}{r}} \\ & + \beta \frac{2^{p-r}(p-r+1)}{r-p+1} \left(\int_{A_{k,t}} |\nabla u|^r d\mu \right)^{\frac{p-1}{r}} \left(\int_{A_{k,t}} |r\phi^{p-1} \nabla \phi (u - \psi_k^*)|^r d\mu \right)^{\frac{r-p+1}{r}} \\ & + \beta \frac{2^{p-r}(p-r+1)}{r-p+1} \left(\int_{A_{k,t}} |\nabla u|^r d\mu \right)^{\frac{p-1}{r}} \left(\int_{A_{k,t}} |r\phi^{p-1} \nabla \phi (u - \psi_k^*)|^r d\mu \right)^{\frac{r-p+1}{r}} \\ & + \beta \left(\int_{A_{k,t}} |\nabla u|^r d\mu \right)^{\frac{p-1}{r}} \left(\int_{A_{k,t}} |H|^{\frac{r}{r-p+1}} d\mu \right)^{\frac{r-p+1}{r}}. \end{split}$$

Let $c_1 = \frac{2^{p-r}(p-r+1)}{r-p+1}$, by (3.6) and Young's inequality

$$ab \leq \varepsilon a^{p'} + c_2(\varepsilon, p)b^p, \frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{p} = 1, a, b \geq 0, \varepsilon \geq 0, p \geq 1,$$

we can derive that

$$\begin{split} \alpha \int_{A_{k,t}} |\nabla u|^r \mathrm{d}\mu &\leq \beta c_1 \varepsilon \int_{A_{k,t}} |\nabla u|^r \mathrm{d}\mu + \beta c_1 c_2(\varepsilon, p) \int_{A_{k,t}} |\nabla \psi|^r \mathrm{d}\mu \\ &+ \beta c_1 \varepsilon \int_{A_{k,t}} |\nabla u|^r \mathrm{d}\mu + \beta c_1 c_2(\varepsilon, p) \int_{A_{k,t}} |r\phi^{r-1} \nabla \phi(u - \psi_k^+)|^r \mathrm{d}\mu \\ &+ \beta c A_p(w)^{\gamma} (p - r) \varepsilon \int_{A_{k,t}} |\nabla u|^r \mathrm{d}\mu \\ &+ \beta c A_p(w)^{\gamma} (p - r) c_2(\varepsilon, p) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla (v - u + T_k(u))|^r \mathrm{d}\mu, \end{split}$$

where *c* is the constant given by Lemma 1. Since $v - u + T_k(u) = 0$ on $\Omega \setminus A_{k,t}$, by the equality

$$\nabla v = \nabla (u - T_k(u)) - \phi^r \nabla (u - \psi_k^+) - r \phi^{r-1} \nabla \phi (u - \psi_k^+),$$

we obtain that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(v - u + T_{k}(u))|^{r} d\mu = \int_{A_{k,t}} |\nabla(v - u)|^{r} d\mu$$
$$= \int_{A_{k,t}} |\phi^{r} \nabla(u - \psi_{k}^{+}) + r\phi^{r-1} \nabla \phi(u - \psi_{k}^{+})|^{r} d\mu$$
$$\leq 2^{r-1} \int_{A_{k,t}} |\nabla(u - \psi_{k}^{+})|^{r} d\mu + 2^{r-1} r \int_{A_{k,t}} |\nabla \phi(u - \psi_{k}^{+})|^{r} d\mu$$
$$\leq 2^{2r-2} \int_{A_{k,t}} |\nabla u|^{r} d\mu + 2^{2r-2} \int_{A_{k,t}} |\nabla \psi|^{r} d\mu + r2^{2r-2} \int_{A_{k,t}} \frac{|u^{r}|}{(t - \tau)^{r}} d\mu$$

Finally, we obtain

$$\int_{A_{k,\tau}} |\nabla u|^{r} d\mu \leq \frac{\beta(2c_{1} + cA_{p}(w)^{\gamma}(p-r))\varepsilon + \beta cA_{p}(w)^{\gamma}c_{2}(\varepsilon, p)2^{2r-2}(p-r)}{\alpha} \int_{A_{k,t}} |\nabla u|^{r} d\mu
+ \frac{\beta c_{1}c_{2}(\varepsilon, p) + 2^{2r-2}\beta cA_{p}(w)^{\gamma}c_{2}(\varepsilon, p)(p-r)}{\alpha} \int_{A_{k,t}} |\nabla \psi|^{r} d\mu
+ r \frac{\beta c_{1}c_{2}(\varepsilon, p) + 2^{2r-1}\beta cA_{p}(w)^{\gamma}c_{2}(\varepsilon, p)(p-r)}{\alpha} \int_{A_{k,t}} \frac{|u|^{r}}{(t-\tau)^{r}} d\mu.$$
(3.8)

Now we want to eliminate the first term in the right-hand side containing ∇u . Choosing ε and r_1 such that

$$\eta = \frac{\beta(2c_1 + cA_p(w)^{\gamma}(p-r))\varepsilon + \beta cA_p(w)^{\gamma}c_2(\varepsilon, p)2^{2r-2}(p-r)}{\alpha} < 1$$

and let ρ , R be arbitrarily fixed with $R_0 \le \rho < R \le R_1$. Thus, from (3.8), we deduce that for every t and τ such that $\rho \le \tau < t \le R$, we have

$$\int_{A_{k,\tau}} |\nabla u|^r \mathrm{d}\mu \le \eta \int_{A_{k,t}} |\nabla u|^r \mathrm{d}\mu + \frac{c_3}{\alpha} \int_{A_{k,t}} |\nabla \psi| \mathrm{d}\mu + \frac{c_4}{\alpha (t-\tau)^r} \int_{A_{k,t}} |u|^r \mathrm{d}\mu,$$
(3.9)

where

$$c_3=\beta c_1c_2(\varepsilon,p)+2^{2r-2}\beta cA_p(w)^{\gamma}c_2(\varepsilon,p)(p-r)$$

and

$$c_4=r\beta c_1c_2(\varepsilon,p)+r2^{2r-1}\beta cA_p(w)^{\gamma}c_2(\varepsilon,p)(p-r).$$

Applying Lemma 2 in (3.9), we conclude that

$$\int_{A_{k,\rho}} |\nabla u|^r \mathrm{d}\mu \leq \frac{cc_3}{\alpha} \int_{A_{k,R}} |\nabla \psi|^r \mathrm{d}\mu + \frac{cc_4}{\alpha (R-\rho)^r} \int_{A_{k,R}} |u|^r \mathrm{d}\mu,$$

where c is the constant given by Lemma 2. This ends the proof of the main theorem.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the referee of this paper for helpful suggestions. Research supported by NSFC (10971224) and NSF of Hebei Province (A2011201011).

Author details

¹College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Hebei University, Baoding 071002, People's Republic of China ²College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Hunan Normal University, Changsha 410082, People's Republic of China

Authors' contributions

GH gave Definition 1. QJ found Lemmas 1 and 2. Theorem 1 was proved by both authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 3 March 2011 Accepted: 17 September 2011 Published: 17 September 2011

References

- Heinonen, J, Kilpeläinen, T, Martio, O: Nonlinear potential theory of degenerate elliptic equations. Dover Publications, New York (2006)
- Li, GB, Martio, O: Local and global integrability of gradients in obstacle problems. Ann Acad Sci Fenn Ser A I Math. 19, 25–34 (1994)
- 3. Gao, HY, Tian, HY: Local regularity result for solutions of obstacle problems. Acta Math Sci. 24B(1), 71–74 (2004)
- 4. Iwaniec, T, Sbordone, C: Weak minima of variational integrals. J Reine Angew Math. 454, 143–161 (1994)
- 5. Li, J, Gao, HY: Local regularity result for very weak solutions of obstacle problems. Radovi Math. 12, 19–26 (2003)
- Jia, HY, Jiang, LY: On non-linear elliptic equation with weight. Nonlinear Anal TMA. 61, 477–483 (2005). doi:10.1016/j. na.2004.12.007
- Giaquinta, M, Giusti, E: On the regularity of the minima of variational integrals. Acta Math. 148, 31–46 (1982). doi:10.1007/BF02392725
- Iwaniec, T, Migliaccio, L, Nania, L, Sbordone, C: Integrability and removability results for quasiregular mappings in high dimensions. Math Scand. 75, 263–279 (1994)

doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2011-58

Cite this article as: Hongya and Jinjing: A Caccioppoli-type estimate for very weak solutions to obstacle problems with weight. Journal of Inequalities and Applications 2011 2011:58.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com