RESEARCH

Journal of Inequalities and Applications a SpringerOpen Journal

Open Access

Weak lower semicontinuity of variational functionals with variable growth

Fu Yongqiang

Correspondence: fuyqhagd@yahoo. cn Department of Mathematics,

Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, China

Abstract

In this paper, we establish the weak lower semicontinuity of variational functionals with variable growth in variable exponent Sobolev spaces. The weak lower semicontinuity is interesting by itself and can be applied to obtain the existence of an equilibrium solution in nonlinear elasticity.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 49A45

Keywords: lower semicontinuity, variational functional, variable growth

1 Introduction

The main purpose of this paper is to study the weak lower semicontinuity of the functional

$$F(u) = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, \nabla u) dx$$

where Ω is a bounded C^1 domain in \mathbb{R}^n and $f: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{nm} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a Caratheodory function satisfying variable growth conditions.

If m = n = 1, Tonelli [1] proved that the functional *F* is lower semicontinuity in $W^{1,\infty}(a, b)$ if and only if the function *f* is convex in the last variable. Later, several authors generalized this result, in which *x* is allowed to belong to \mathbb{R}^n with n > 1, see for example Serrin [2] and Marcellini and Sbordone [3]. On the other hand, if we allow the function *u* to be vector-valued, i.e., m > 1, then the convexity hypothesis turns to be sufficient but unnecessary. A suitable condition, termed quasiconvex, was introduced by Morrey [4]. Morrey showed that under strong regularity assumptions on *f*, *F* is weakly lower semicontinuous in $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ if and only if *f* is quasiconvex in the last variable. Afterward, for *f* satisfying so-called natural growth condition

$$0 \le f(x, \zeta, \xi) \le a(x) + C(|\zeta|^p + |\xi|^p)$$

where $p \ge 1$, $C \ge 0$ and $a(x) \ge 0$ are locally integrable, Acerbi and Fusco [5] proved that *F* is weakly lower semicontinuous in $W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ if and only if *f* is quasiconvex in the last variable. Later, Kalamajska [6] gave a shorter proof of the result in [5].

Since Kovacik and Rakosnik [7] first discussed variable exponent Lebesgue spaces and variable exponent Sobolev spaces, the field of variable exponent function spaces has witnessed an explosive growth in recent years and now there have been a large number of papers concerning these kinds of variable exponent spaces, see the

SpringerOpen[⊍]

© 2011 Yongqiang; licensee Springer. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. monograph by Diening et al. [8] and the references therein. So we want to extend the result of Acerbi and Fusco to the case that f satisfies variable growth conditions.

This paper is organized as the following: In Section 2, we present some preliminary facts; in Section 3, we discuss the weak lower semicontinuity of variational functionals with variable growth; in Section 4, we give an example to show that the result obtained in Section 3 can be applied to study the existence of an equilibrium solution in non-linear elasticity.

2 Preliminary

In this section, we first recall some facts on variable exponent spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{k,p}(x)(\Omega)$. For the details, see [7,9-13].

Let $\mathbf{P}(\Omega)$ be the set of all Lebesgue measurable functions $p: \Omega \to [1, +\infty]$.

$$\rho_p(f) = \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_\infty} |f(x)|^{p(x)} dx + \inf_{\Omega_\infty} |f(x)|, \qquad (2.1)$$

$$||f||_{p} = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \rho_{p}\left(\frac{f}{\lambda}\right) \le 1\}$$

$$(2.2)$$

where $\Omega_{\infty} = \{x \in \Omega: p(x) = \infty\}$. The variable exponent Lebesgue space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is the class of all functions f such that $\rho_p(\lambda f) < \infty$ for some $\lambda = \lambda(f) > 0$. $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space endowed with the norm (2.2). $\rho_p(f)$ is called the modular of f in $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$.

For a given $p(x) \in \mathbf{P}(\Omega)$, we define the conjugate function p'(x) as:

$$p'(x) = \begin{cases} \infty, & \text{if } x \in \Omega_1 = \{x \in \Omega : p(x) = 1\};\\ 1, & \text{if } x \in \Omega_\infty;\\ \frac{p(x)}{p(x) - 1}, \text{ for other } x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.1.Let $p \in \mathbf{P}(\Omega)$. Then, the inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} |f(x)g(x)| dx \leq r_p ||f||_p ||g||_{p'}$$

holds for every $f \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $g \in L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$ with the constant r_p depending on p(x) and Ω only.

Theorem 2.2. The topology of the Banach space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ endowed by the norm (2.2) coincides with the topology of modular convergence if and only if $p \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Theorem 2.3. The dual space to $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is $L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$ if and only if $p \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. The space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is reflexive if and only if

$$1 < \inf_{\Omega} p(x) \le \sup_{\Omega} p(x) < \infty.$$
(2.3)

Next, we assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a nonempty open set, $p \in \mathbf{P}(\Omega)$, and k is a given natural number.

Given a multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n) \in N^n$, we set $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$ and $D^{\alpha} = D_1^{\alpha_1} \dots D_n^{\alpha_n}$, where $D_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ is the generalized derivative operator.

The generalized Sobolev space $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$ is the class of all functions f on Ω such that $D^{\alpha}f \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ for every multi-index α with $|\alpha| \leq k$ endowed with the norm

$$||f||_{k,p} = \sum_{|\alpha| \le k} ||D^{\alpha}f||_{p}.$$
(2.4)

By $W_0^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$, we denote the subspace of $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$ which is the closure of $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm (2.4).

Theorem 2.4. The space $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W_0^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$ are Banach spaces, which are reflexive if p satisfies (2.3).

We denote the dual space of $W_{0}^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$ by $W^{k,p'(x)}(\Omega)$, then we have

Theorem 2.5.Let $p \in \mathbf{P}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Then for every $G \in W^{-k, p'(x)}(\Omega)$, there exists a unique system of functions $\{g_{\alpha} \in L^{p'(x)}(\Omega): |\alpha| \le k\}$ such that

$$G(f) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \int_{\Omega} D^{\alpha} f(x) g_{\alpha}(x) dx, \quad f \in W_0^{k,p(x)}(\Omega).$$

The norm of $W_0^{-k,p'(x)}(\Omega)$ is defined as

$$||G||_{-k,p'} = \sup\{\frac{|G(f)|}{||f||_{k,p}} : f \in W_0^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)\}.$$

Theorem 2.6. If Ω is a bounded domain with cone property, $p(x) \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfies (1.2), and q(x) is any Lebesgue measurable function defined on Ω with $p(x) \leq q(x)$ a. e. on $\overline{\Omega}$ and $\inf_{x \in \Omega} \{p^*(x) - q(x)\} > 0$, then there is a compact embedding $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \to L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$.

Theorem 2.7. Let Ω be a domain with cone property. If $p: \overline{\Omega} \to R$ is Lipschitz continuous and satisfies (1.2), and $q(x) \in \mathbf{P}(\Omega)$ satisfies $p(x) \leq q(x) \leq p^*(x)$ a. e. on $\overline{\Omega}$, then there is a continuous embedding $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \to L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$.

Theorem 2.8. If p is continuous on $\overline{\Omega}$ and $u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$, then

 $||u||_p \le C ||\nabla u||_p$

where *C* is a constant depending on Ω . **Theorem 2.9.** Suppose that *p* satisfies $1 \le p_1 \le p(x) \le p_2 <+\infty$. We have

(1) If
$$||u||_p > 1$$
, then $||u||_p^{p_1} \le \rho_p(u) \le ||u||_p^{p_2}$.
(2) If $||u||_p < 1$, then $||u||_p^{p_2} \le \rho_p(u) \le ||u||_p^{p_1}$.

Lemma 2.10. Suppose $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded in $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $f_n \to f \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ a. e. on Ω . If p(x) satisfies (1.2), then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\Omega}|f_n|^{p(x)}-|f_n-f|^{p(x)}dx=\int_{\Omega}|f|^{p(x)}dx.$$

3 Semicontinuity of variational functionals

Definition 3.1. A continuous function $f : \mathbb{R}^{nm} \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be quasiconvex if for $\tilde{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^{nm}$, any open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $z \in C_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$

$$f(\tilde{\xi})$$
meas $\Omega \leq \int_{\Omega} f(\tilde{\xi} + \nabla z(x)) dx$

This section will establish the following result: **Theorem 3.1.** Let Ω be a bounded C^1 domain in \mathbb{R}^n . $f: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{nm} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies.

(1) *f* is a Caratheodory function, i.e., measurable with respect to *x* and continuous with respect to (ζ, ζ) ; (2) $0 \le f(x, \zeta, \zeta) \le a(x) + C(|\zeta|^{p(x)} + |\zeta|^{p(x)})$ where $C \ge 0$, $a(x) \ge 0$ is locally integritient.

(2) $0 \le f(x, \zeta, \zeta) \le a(x) + C(|\zeta|^{p(\alpha)} + |\zeta|^{p(\alpha)})$ where $C \ge 0$, $a(x) \ge 0$ is locally integrable, p(x) is Lipchitz continuous and satisfies $1 \le p_1 \le p(x) \le p_2 < +\infty$.

Then $F(u) = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, \nabla u) dx$ is weakly lower semicontinuous in $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ if and only if $f(x, \zeta, \zeta)$ is quasiconvex with respect to ζ .

Theorem 3.1 is a generalization of the corresponding result in [5].

Definition 3.2. For $u \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we define

$$(M^*u)(x) = Mu(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n (MD_iu)(x)$$

where

$$(Mu)(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{\operatorname{meas}B_r(x)} \int_{B_r(x)} |u(y)| dy$$

Definition 3.3 Let Φ be a bijective transformation from a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ onto a domain $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\Psi = \Phi^{-1}$ is the inverse transformation of Φ . Denote $y = \Phi(x)$ and

$$y = (\phi_1(x), \dots, \phi_n(x)),$$

$$x = (\psi_1(y), \dots, \psi_n(y)).$$

If $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n \in C^k(\overline{\Omega})$ and $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n \in C^k(\overline{G})$, we call Φ a k-smooth transformation.

For a measurable function u on Ω , we define a measurable function on G by $Au(y) = u(\Psi(y))$.

Lemma 3.1. If $\Phi: \Omega \to G$ is k-smooth transformation, $k \ge 1$, then A is a bounded transformation from $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$ onto $W^{k,p(\Psi(y))}(G)$ and the inverse transformation of A is bounded as well.

Proof. We need only to show

 $||Au||_{k,p(\Psi(\gamma)),G} \leq C||u||_{k,p(x),\Omega}$

for $u \in W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$ where *C* is a constant dependent on Φ only, because similarly by dealing with A^{-1} , we can also obtain

 $||Au||_{k,p(\Psi(\gamma)),G} \geq C||u||_{k,p(x),\Omega}.$

As $C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is dense in $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$ (see [10]), for each $u \in W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$, there exists a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that $u_k \to u$ in $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$. For u_n , we have

$$D^{\alpha}(Au_n)(\gamma) = \sum_{|\beta| \le |\alpha|} M_{\alpha\beta}[A(D^{\beta}u_n)](\gamma)$$
(3.1)

where $M_{\alpha\beta}$ is a polynomial of the derivatives of Ψ with degrees not bigger than $|\alpha|$ and the orders of derivatives of the component of ψ are not bigger than $|\beta|$. For $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$, in the same way as [14] by (3.1) and letting $n \to \infty$, we obtain

$$(-1)^{|\alpha|} \int\limits_G (D^{\alpha}\phi)(\Phi(x)) |\det \Phi'(x)| dx = \sum_{|\beta| \le |\alpha|} \int\limits_G D^{\beta}u(x) M_{\alpha\beta}(\Phi(x)) |\det \Phi'(x)| \phi dx,$$

this is to say,

$$D^{\alpha}(Au)(\gamma) = \sum_{|\beta| \le |\alpha|} M_{\alpha\beta}[A(D^{\beta}u)](\gamma)$$

is satisfied in the weak sense.

As Φ is a 1-smooth transformation, there exist C_1 and $C_2 > 1$ such that

 $C_1 \le |\det \Phi'(x)| \le C_2$

for $x \in \Omega$. Then,

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{D^{\alpha}(Au)(\gamma)}{C||u||_{k,p,\Omega}} \right)^{p(\Psi(\gamma))} d\gamma \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{|\beta| \le |\alpha|} 1 \right)^{p_2} \max_{|\beta| \le |\alpha|} \left((\sup_{\gamma \in G} |M_{\alpha\beta}(\gamma)|^{p_2} + 1) \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{(D^{\beta}u)(\Psi(\gamma))}{C||u||_{k,p,\Omega}} \right)^{p(\Psi(\gamma))} d\gamma \right) \\ &\leq C_2 \left(\sum_{|\beta| \le |\alpha|} 1 \right)^{p_2} \max_{|\beta| \le |\alpha|} (\sup_{\gamma \in G} |M_{\alpha\beta}(\gamma)|^{p_2} + 1) \max_{|\beta| \le |\alpha|} \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{(D^{\beta}u)(x)}{C||u||_{k,p,\Omega}} \right)^{p(x)} dx. \end{split}$$

Taking

$$C = C_2 \left(\sum_{|\beta| \le |\alpha|} 1 \right)^{p_2} \max_{|\beta| \le |\alpha|} (\sup_{\gamma \in G} |M_{\alpha\beta}(\gamma)|^{p_2} + 1),$$

we have

 $||Au||_{k,p(\Psi(\gamma)),G} \leq C||u||_{k,p(x),\Omega}.$

Definition 3.4. Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{R}^n . If E is a linear operator from $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$ onto $W^{k,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying: for each $u \in W^{k,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

1) $Eu(x) = u(x) \ a. \ e. \ on \ \Omega,$ 2) $||Eu||_{k,p,R^n} \le C||u||_{k,p,\Omega}$ where C = C(k, p) is a constant,

then we call E a simple (k, p(x)) extension operator of Ω .

Lemma 3.2. Let Ω be a bounded C^k domain. Then there exists a simple (k, p(x)) extension operator of Ω .

Proof. First let Ω be the half space $R_+^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n > 0\}$. For $u \in W^{k,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$, define Eu and $E_\alpha u$ as the following

$$Eu(x) = \begin{cases} u(x), & x_n > 0;\\ \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \lambda_j u(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, -jx_n), & x_n \le 0. \end{cases}$$
$$E_{\alpha}u(x) = \begin{cases} u(x), & x_n > 0;\\ \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{\alpha_n} \lambda_j u(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, -jx_n), & x_n \le 0. \end{cases}$$

where the coefficients λ_1 , ..., λ_{m+1} is the unique solution of the linear system

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k+1} (-j)^l \lambda_j = 1, \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, k.$$

If $u \in C^k(\overline{R}^n_+)$, then $Eu \in C^k(R^n)$ and $D^{\alpha}Eu(x) = E_{\alpha}D^{\alpha}u(x)$. As

$$\int_{R^{n}} \left(\frac{D^{\alpha} Eu(x)}{C||u||_{k,p,R^{n}_{+}}}\right)^{p(x)} dx$$

= $\int_{R^{n}_{+}} \left(\frac{D^{\alpha} u(x)}{C||u||_{k,p,R^{n}_{+}}}\right)^{p(x)} dx + \int_{R^{n}_{-}} \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{k+1} (-j)^{\alpha_{n}} \lambda_{j} D^{\alpha} u(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n-1}, -jx_{n})}{C||u||_{k,p,R^{n}_{+}}}\right)^{p(x)} dx$
 $\leq C(k, p, \alpha) \int_{R^{n}_{+}} \left(\frac{D^{\alpha} u(x)}{C||u||_{k,p,R^{n}_{+}}}\right)^{p(x)} dx,$

we have

 $||Eu(x)||_{k,p,R^n} \leq C||u||_{k,p,R^n_+}$

where $C = \max_{|\alpha| \le k} C(k, p, \alpha)$.

Next, let Ω be a C^k domain with bounded boundary. In the same way as [14], we can show that there exists a simple (k, p(x)) extension operator of Ω . \Box

Theorem 3.2. Let Ω be a bounded C^1 domain in \mathbb{R}^n . If $f: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{nm} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies:

(1) f is a Caratheodory function;
(2) f is quasiconvex with respect to ζ;
(3) 0 ≤ f(x, ζ, ζ) ≤ a(x) + C(|ζ|^{p(x)} + |ζ|^{p(x)}) for x ∈ Rⁿ, ζ ∈ Rⁿ, ζ ∈ R^m,

where C is a nonnegative constant, a(x) is nonnegative and locally integrable, and p (x) is Lipchitz continuous and satisfies $1 \le p_1 \le p(x) \le p_2 <+\infty$, then for each open subset $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $F(u, \Omega) = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, \nabla u) dx$ is weakly lower semicontinuous on $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$. **Proof.** We can consider Ω as a ball. Take $u \in W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ and $\{z_k\} \subset W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ satisfying $z_k \to 0$ weakly in $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$. Extracting a subsequence if necessary, we can suppose that

$$\liminf_{k\to\infty}F(u+z_k,\Omega)=\lim_{k\to\infty}F(u+z_k,\Omega).$$

By Lemma 3.2, we can suppose that z_k is defined on \mathbb{R}^n , and $||z_k||_{1,p(x),\mathbb{R}^n}$ is uniformly bounded with respect to k. As $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$ is dense in $W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$ (see [15]) and F (u, Ω) is continuous with respect to the norm of $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$, we can find $\{\omega_k\} \subset C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that

$$||\omega_k - z_k||_{1,p,R^n} < \frac{1}{k},$$

$$|F(u + \omega_k, \Omega) - F(u + z_k, \Omega)| < \frac{1}{k}.$$

Therefore, we can further suppose that $\{z_k\}$ is in $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$ and bounded in $W^{1,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$.

Take a continuous, monotone function $\eta : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\eta(0) = 0$ and for each measurable $B \subseteq \Omega$.

$$\int_{B} \left[a(x) + C(|u(x)|^{p(x)} + |\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)})\right] dx < \eta(\mathrm{meas}B).$$

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$, in the same way as [5] there exist a subsequence (still denote it by $\{z_k\}$), and a subset $A_{\varepsilon} \subset \Omega$ with meas $A_{\varepsilon} < \varepsilon$ and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\int_{B} \left(M^* z_k^{(i)} \right)^{p(x)} dx < \varepsilon, \quad 1 \le i \le m,$$

for all k and $B \subseteq \Omega \setminus A_{\varepsilon}$ with meas $B < \delta$ and there exists sufficiently large $\lambda > 0$ such that for all i, k,

$$\operatorname{meas}\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : (M^{*} z_{k}^{(i)})(x) \ge \lambda\} < \min(\varepsilon, \delta).$$

$$(3.2)$$

Denote

$$H_{i,k}^{\lambda} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : (M^{*}z_{k}^{(i)})(x) < \lambda\},\$$
$$H_{k}^{\lambda} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{m} H_{i,k}^{\lambda}.$$

Then

$$\operatorname{meas}((\Omega \setminus A_{\varepsilon}) \setminus H_{k}^{\lambda}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{meas}((\Omega \setminus A_{\varepsilon}) \setminus H_{i,k}^{\lambda}) < m \min\{\varepsilon, \delta\}.$$

We can extend $z_k^{(i)}$ out of H_k^{λ} to become a Lipschitz function $g_k^{(i)}$ with the Lipschitz constant not bigger than $C(n)\lambda$. As

$$F(u+z_k,\Omega) \geq F(u+g_k,(\Omega\backslash A_{\varepsilon})\cap H_k^{\lambda}) = F(u+g_k,\Omega\backslash A_{\varepsilon}) - F(u+g_k,(\Omega\backslash A_{\varepsilon})\backslash H_k^{\lambda}),$$

from condition (3), we have

$$F(u + g_{k}, (\Omega \setminus A_{\varepsilon}) \setminus H_{k}^{\lambda})$$

$$\leq 2^{p_{2}-1}(\eta(m\varepsilon) + C(n, \Omega)\lambda^{p_{2}} \operatorname{meas}((\Omega, \setminus A_{\varepsilon}) \setminus H_{k}^{\lambda}))$$

$$\leq 2^{p_{2}-1}(\eta(m\varepsilon) + C(n, \Omega)\sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{(\Omega \setminus A_{\varepsilon}) \setminus H_{i,k}^{\lambda}} (M^{*}z_{k}^{(i)})^{p(x)} dx)$$

$$\leq 2^{p_{2}-1}(\eta(m\varepsilon) + mC(n, \Omega)\varepsilon)$$

$$= O(\varepsilon).$$

Furthermore,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}F(u+z_k,\Omega)\geq F(u,\Omega)-O(\varepsilon)-\varepsilon-\eta[(m+2)\varepsilon].$$

By the arbitrariness of ε , we conclude the theorem. \Box

Since semicontinuity in $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ implies semicontinuity in $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$, from Theorem 3.2 above and Theorem [II.2] in [5], it is immediate to get Theorem 3.1.

Next, we state a Corollary of Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.1. Let Ω be a bounded C^1 domain in \mathbb{R}^n . If $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

(1) *f* is measurable with respect to *x* and continuous with respect to (ζ, ζ) ; (2) $0 \le f(x, \zeta, \zeta) \le a(x) + C(|\zeta|^{p(x)} + |\zeta|^{p(x)})$ where a(x) is nonnegative and locally integrable, and p(x) is Lipchitz continuous and satisfies $1 \le p_1 \le p(x) \le p_2 < +\infty$.

Then $F(u) = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, \nabla u)$ is weakly lower semicontinuous in $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ if and only if $f(x, \zeta, \xi)$ is convex with respect to ξ .

It is immediate in view of the fact that in the case m = 1, quasiconvexity is equivalent to convexity.

4 Application

We adopt the variational approach to prove the existence of an equilibrium solution in nonlinear elasticity. We consider only elastic materials possessing stored energy functions. In this case, the problem consists in finding the minimizer in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ of the functional

$$F(u) = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, \nabla u) dx$$

where *f* satisfies variable growth conditions. **Example**. Let Ω be a bounded C^1 domain in \mathbb{R}^n . $f: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{nm} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies:

(1) f is a Caratheodory function,

(2) $b(x) + c(|\zeta|^{p(x)} + |\zeta|^{p(x)}) \le f(x, \zeta, \zeta) \le a(x) + C(|\zeta|^{p(x)} + |\zeta|^{p(x)})$ where $c, C \ge 0, a$ (x), $b(x) \ge 0$ are locally integrable, p(x) is Lipchitz continuous and satisfies $1 < p_1 \le p(x) \le p_2 < +\infty$;

(3) $f(x, \zeta, \xi)$ is quasiconvex with respect to ξ .

Then, the variational problem

$$\inf\{F(u): u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)\}$$

has a solution.

Proof. As $f(x, u, \nabla u) \ge 0$, F(u) is bounded below. Because

$$c\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} + |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, \nabla u) dx - \int_{\Omega} b(x) dx,$$

we know that F(u) is coercive, i.e.,

$$\lim_{||u||_{1,p(x)}\to+\infty}F(u)=+\infty.$$

Then, there exists a minimizing sequence $\{u_n\} \subset W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}F(u_n)=\inf\{F(u):u\in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega,R^m)\}.$$

As F(u) is coercive, $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$, and further $\{u_n\}$ has a subsequence (still denoted by $\{u_n\}$) weakly convergent to $u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$. Then, by the weak lower semicontinuity of F(u), we have

$$F(u) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} F(u_n),$$

i.e.,
$$F(u) = \inf\{F(u) : u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)\}. \square$$

Competing interests

The author declares that he has no competing interests.

Received: 7 March 2011 Accepted: 19 July 2011 Published: 19 July 2011

References

- Tonelli, L: La semicontinuita nel calcolo delle variazioni. Rend Circ Matem Palermo. 44, 167-249 (1920). doi:10.1007/ 1. BF03014600
- Serrin, J: On the definition and properties of certain variational integrals. Trans Am Math Soc. 101, 139–167 (1961). 2 doi:10.1090/S0002-9947-1961-0138018-9
- Marcellini, P, Sbordone, C: Semicontinuity problems in the calculus of variations. Nonlinear Anal. 4, 241–257 (1980). 3 doi:10.1016/0362-546X(80)90052-8
- Morrey, CB: Quasiconvexity and the semicontinuity of multiple integrals, Pacific J Math. 2, 25–53 (1952) 4
- 5. Acerbi, E, Fusco, N: Semicontinuity problems in the calculus of variations. Arch Ration Mech Anal. 86, 125–145 (1984). doi:10.1007/BF00275731
- Kalamajska, A: On lower semicontinuity of multiple integrals. Colloq Math. **74**(1), 71–78 (1997) Kovacik, O, Rakosnik, J: On spaces $L^{p(v)}$ and $W^{kp(v)}$. Czechoslovak Math J. **41**, 592–618 (1991) 6.
- 7
- Diening, L, Harjulehto, P, Hasto, P, Ruzicka, M: Lebesque and Sobolev Spaces with Variable Exponents. Springer (2011) 8.
- Edmunds, D, Lang, J, Nekvinda, A: On L^{p(x)} norms. Proc R Soc A. **1981**, 219–225 (1999) 9
- 10. Edmunds, D, Rakosnik, J: Sobolev embedding with variable exponent. Stud Math. 143, 267-293 (2000)
- 11. Edmunds, D, Rakosnik, J: Sobolev embedding with variable exponent II. Math Nachr. 246-247, 53-67 (2002). doi:10.1002/1522-2616(200212)246:13.0.CO;2-T
- 12. Fan, X, Shen, J, Zhao, D: Sobolev embedding theorems for spaces W^{k,p(x)}(Ω). J Math Anal Appl. 262, 749–760 (2001). doi:10.1006/jmaa.2001.7618
- 13. Fu, YQ: The principle of concentration compactness in $L^{p(x)}$ spaces and its application. Nonlinear Anal. 71, 1876–1892 (2009). doi:10.1016/j.na.2009.01.023
- 14. Adams, R: Sobolev Space. Acadimic Press, New York (1975)
- 15. Samko, S: The density of in generalized Sobolev spaces W^{(n,p(x)}(Rⁿ). Doklady Math. 60, 382–385 (1999)

doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2011-19

Cite this article as: Yonggiang: Weak lower semicontinuity of variational functionals with variable growth. Journal of Inequalities and Applications 2011 2011:19.