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## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

To unify the theory of continuous and discrete dynamic systems, in 1990 Hilger [16] proposed the study of dynamic systems on a time scale and developed the calculus for functions on a time scale (i.e., any closed subset of reals). The purpose of this paper is to establish some complements of Cauchy's inequality on time scales, which extend some results of Cargo [6], Diaz, Goldman, and Metcalf [7-11], Goldman [12], Greub and Rheinboldt [13], Kantorovich [17], Schweitzer [29], Pólya and Szegö [26], and so forth. For other related results, we refer to $[2,3,14,15,18-20,23-27,30,31]$. To do this, we briefly introduce the time scale calculus as follows.

Definition 1.1. A time scale $\mathbb{T}$ is a closed subset of the set $\mathbb{R}$ of all real numbers. Assume throughout this paper that $\mathbb{T}$ has the topology that it inherits from the standard topology on $\mathbb{R}$. Let $t \in \mathbb{T}$, if $t<\sup \mathbb{T}$, define the forward jump operator $\sigma: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(t):=\inf \{\tau \in \mathbb{T}: \tau>t\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $t>\inf \mathbb{\mathbb { L }}$, define the backward jump operator $\rho: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{\mathbb { T }}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(t):=\sup \{\tau \in \mathbb{T}: \tau<t\} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The points $\{t\}$ of a time scale $\mathbb{T}$ can be classified into right-scattered, right-dense, leftscattered, left-dense based on $\sigma(t)>t, \sigma(t)=t, \rho(t)<t$, and $\rho(t)=t$, respectively. Moreover, define the time scale $\mathbb{T}^{\kappa}$ as follows:

$$
\mathbb{T}^{\kappa}= \begin{cases}\mathbb{T} \backslash(\rho(\sup \mathbb{T}), \sup \mathbb{T}) & \text { if } \sup \mathbb{T}<\infty,  \tag{1.3}\\ \mathbb{T} & \text { if } \sup \mathbb{T}=\infty .\end{cases}
$$

2 Some complements of Cauchy's inequality on time scales
Throughout this paper, suppose that
(a) $\mathbb{R}=(-\infty, \infty)$;
(b) $\mathbb{T}$ is a time scale;
(c) an interval means the intersection of a real interval with the given time scale.

Definition 1.2. A mapping $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called rd-continuous if the following two conditions hold:
(a) $f$ is continuous at each right-dense point or maximal element of $\mathbb{T}$,
(b) the left-sided limit $\lim _{s \rightarrow t^{-}} f(s)=f\left(t^{-}\right)$exists at each left-dense point $t \in \mathbb{T}$.

Definition 1.3. Assume that $x: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in \mathbb{T}$ (if $t=\sup \mathbb{T}$, assume $t$ is not left-scattered). Then $x$ is called delta-differentiable at $t \in \mathbb{T}$ if there exists a $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for any given $\varepsilon>0$, there is a neighborhood $U$ of $t$ such that for all $s \in U$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x(\sigma(t))-x(s)-\theta(\sigma(t)-s)| \leq \varepsilon|\sigma(t)-s| . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, $\theta$ is called the delta-derivative of $x$ at $t \in \mathbb{T}$ and denote it by $\theta=x^{\Delta}(t)$. If $x$ is delta-differentiable at each point of $\mathbb{T}$, say that $x$ is delta-differentiable on $\mathbb{T}$.

It can be shown that if $x: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous at $t \in \mathbb{\mathbb { T }}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{\Delta}(t)=\frac{x(\sigma(t))-x(t)}{\sigma(t)-t} \quad \text { if } t \text { is right-scattered, }  \tag{1.5}\\
& x^{\Delta}(t)=\lim _{s \rightarrow t} \frac{x(t)-x(s)}{t-s} \quad \text { if } t \text { is right-dense. } \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

In this paper, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\mathrm{rd}}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}):=\{f \mid f: \mathbb{T} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \text { is a rd-continuous function }\} . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1.4. Let $f: \mathbb{T}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a mapping. Then the mapping $F: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an antiderivative of $f$ on $\mathbb{T}$ if it is delta-differentiable on $\mathbb{T}$ and $F^{\Delta}(t)=f(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{T}^{k}$.

Definition 1.5. If $f \in C_{\mathrm{rd}}([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ has an antiderivative $F$, then define the (Cauchy) integral of $f$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{s}^{t} f(r) \Delta r=F(t)-F(s), \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $s, t \in[a, b]$.
It follows from Theorem 1.94 of Bohner and Peterson [4] that every rd-continuous function has an antiderivative.

For further information concerning time scales theory, refer to $[4,5,21]$.

## 2. Main results

First, we state the well-known Cauchy inequality on a time scale $\mathbb{T}$, see $[1,4]$.

Theorem 2.1 (Cauchy's inequality). Let $p, f, g \in C_{\mathrm{rd}}([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ with $p \geq 0$ on $[a, b]$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right) \geq\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)^{2} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.2. Cauchy's inequality has the following variants.
(a) Replacing $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ by $\sqrt{f^{2}(x)+g^{2}(x)}$ and $f(x) g(x) / \sqrt{f^{2}(x)+g^{2}(x)}$ in $\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}\right)$, respectively, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\int_{a}^{b} p(x)\left(f^{2}(x)+g^{2}(x)\right) \Delta x\right]\left[\int_{a}^{b} \frac{p(x) f^{2}(x) g^{2}(x)}{f^{2}(x)+g^{2}(x)} \Delta x\right] \geq\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)^{2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Let $f g \geq 0$ and $f \neq 0$ on $[a, b]$. Replacing $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ by $\sqrt{g(x) / f(x)}$ and $\sqrt{f(x) g(x)}$ in $\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}\right)$, respectively, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \frac{g(x)}{f(x)} \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right) \geq\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)^{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) Suppose that $g(x)>0$ on $[a, b]$. Let $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ be replaced by $f(x) / \sqrt{g(x)}$ and $\sqrt{g(x)}$ in $\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}\right)$, respectively. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{a}^{b} \frac{p(x) f^{2}(x)}{g(x)} \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right) \geq\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.3. Let $p, f, g \in C_{\mathrm{rd}}([a, b],[0, \infty))$ and $I_{n}=\int_{a}^{b} p(x)(f(x))^{n} g(x) \Delta x$. Then it follows from Cauchy's inequality $\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}\right)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n-1}^{2} \leq I_{n} I_{n-2} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any integer $n \geq 2$.
Next, we state and prove some complements of Cauchy's inequality on time scales.
Theorem 2.4. Suppose that $p, f, g \in C_{\mathrm{rd}}([a, b], \mathbb{R}), p(x) \geq 0$, and $f(x) \neq 0$ on $[a, b]$ with $\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x \neq 0$. If $m, M \in \mathbb{R}$ are such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \leq \frac{g(x)}{f(x)} \leq M \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x \in[a, b]$, then the following two statements hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x+M m \int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x \\
& \quad \leq(M+m) \int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x  \tag{2}\\
& \quad \leq|M+m| \sqrt{\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

4 Some complements of Cauchy's inequality on time scales
with equality in the first inequality sign " $\leq$ " if and only if $g(x)=m f(x)$ or $g(x)=M f(x)$ on $[a, b]$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4}\left(\sqrt{\frac{m}{M}}+\sqrt{\frac{M}{m}}\right)^{2}=\frac{(M+m)^{2}}{4 M m} \geq \frac{\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)}{\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}} \geq 1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $M m>0$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4}\left(\sqrt{\frac{m}{M}}-\sqrt{\frac{M}{m}}\right)^{2} \geq \frac{\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)-\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}}{\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}} \geq 0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $M m>0$.
Proof. It follows from (2.5) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x)\left[\frac{g(x)}{f(x)}-m\right]\left[M-\frac{g(x)}{f(x)}\right] f^{2}(x) \geq 0 \quad \text { on }[a, b] . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{a}^{b} M p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x-\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x-M m \int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x \\
& \quad+m \int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x \geq 0 . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

This inequality and $\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}\right)$ imply that $\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}\right)$ holds.
On the other hand, it follows from $M m>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)^{1 / 2}-\left(M m \int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)^{1 / 2}\right]^{2} \geq 0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(M+m)^{2}\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)^{2} \geq 4 M m \int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x \int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This and $\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}\right)$ imply that $\left(\mathrm{R}_{3}\right)$ holds. This completes the proof.
Remark 2.5. Clearly, $\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}\right)$ implies $\left(\mathrm{R}_{3}\right)$ if $M m>0$. Hence $\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{R}_{3}\right)$ are equivalent.

Remark 2.6. Under the conditions of Theorem 2.4, if $\lambda \in(0,1)$ and $M m>0$, then it follows from $\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}\right)$ and the arithmetric-geometric mean inequality that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{1}{1-\lambda} \int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)^{1-\lambda}\left(\frac{M m}{\lambda} \int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)^{\lambda} \\
& \quad \leq \int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x+M m \int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x  \tag{2.11}\\
& \quad \leq(M+m) \int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)^{1-\lambda}\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)^{\lambda} \leq \lambda^{\lambda}(1-\lambda)^{1-\lambda} \frac{M+m}{(M m)^{\lambda}} \int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x . \tag{0}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $\lambda \rightarrow 0^{+}$in inequality ( $\mathrm{r}_{0}$ ), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x \leq(M+m) \int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, $\left(r_{1}\right)$ is weaker than the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x \leq \int_{a}^{b} M p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x \quad \text { if } m<0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left(\mathrm{r}_{1}\right)$ is also weaker than the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x \leq \int_{a}^{b} m p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x \quad \text { if } M<0 . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $\lambda \rightarrow 1^{-}$in inequality ( $\mathrm{r}_{0}$ ), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x & \leq\left(\frac{1}{M}+\frac{1}{m}\right) \int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x  \tag{2.14}\\
& =\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \frac{g(x)}{m} \Delta x+\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \frac{g(x)}{M} \Delta x . \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Evidently, it follows from (2.5) that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x \leq \int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \frac{g(x)}{m} \Delta x & \text { if } m>0 \\
\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x \leq \int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \frac{g(x)}{M} \Delta x & \text { if } M<0 \tag{2.16}
\end{array}
$$

Remark 2.7. The inequality $\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}\right)$ extends [6, Theorems 1 and 2] and inequality (3.3) in Makai [22].

## 6 Some complements of Cauchy's inequality on time scales

Remark 2.8. Let $F \in C_{\mathrm{rd}}([a, b],(0, \infty))$. If $f=F^{-1 / 2}, g=F^{1 / 2}$, then $\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}\right)$ is reduced to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} p(x) F(x) \Delta x+M m \int_{a}^{b} \frac{p(x)}{F(x)} \Delta x \leq(M+m) \int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which extends Rennie's result [28].
Conversely, if we take $F=g / f, p=p f g$, then $\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}^{*}\right)$ is reduced to $\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}\right)$. Thus, $\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}^{*}\right)$ are equivalent if $F \in C_{\mathrm{rd}}([a, b],(0, \infty))$.
Remark 2.9. Let $F \in C_{\mathrm{rd}}([a, b],(0, \infty))$. If $f=F^{-1 / 2}, g=F^{1 / 2}$, then $\left(\mathrm{R}_{3}\right)$ is reduced to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(M+m)^{2}}{4 M m} \geq \frac{\int_{a}^{b} p(x) F(x) \Delta x \int_{a}^{b}(p(x) / F(x)) \Delta x}{\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}} \geq 1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which generalizes some results in $[17,29,31]$. Conversely, if $F=g / f, p=p f g$, then $\left(\mathrm{R}_{3}^{*}\right)$ is reduced to $\left(R_{3}\right)$. Hence, $\left(\mathrm{R}_{3}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{R}_{3}^{*}\right)$ are equivalent.

Moreover, if $p(x)=1$, then $\left(\mathrm{R}_{3}\right)$ is reduced to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(M+m)^{2}}{4 M m} \geq \frac{\int_{a}^{b} f^{2}(x) \Delta x \int_{a}^{b} g^{2}(x) \Delta x}{\left(\int_{a}^{b} f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}} \geq 1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which extends a result in [26]. Obviously, $\left(\mathrm{R}_{3}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{R}_{3}^{* *}\right)$ are also equivalent if $f$ and $g$ are replaced by $\sqrt{p} f$ and $\sqrt{p} g$, respectively, in $\left(\mathrm{R}_{3}^{* *}\right)$.
Remark 2.10. Let $p(x)>0$ on $[a, b]$. If $g(x)$ is replaced by $f(x) / p(x)$, then $\left(\mathrm{R}_{3}\right)$ is reduced to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(M+m)^{2}}{4 M m} \geq \frac{\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b}\left(f^{2}(x) / p(x)\right) \Delta x\right)}{\left(\int_{a}^{b} f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}} \geq 1 \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we can prove the following.
Theorem 2.11. Let $p, f, g \in C_{r d}([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ with $p(x) \geq 0$ on $[a, b]$. Suppose that there exist four constants $h, H, m, M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(M f(g)-h g(x))(H g(x)-m f(x)) \geq 0 \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $[a, b]$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& M m \int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x+H h \int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x \\
& \quad \leq(H M+h m) \int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x  \tag{2.19}\\
& \quad \leq|H M+h m|\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, if $\mathrm{HMhm}>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right) \leq\left(\frac{H M+h m}{4 H M h m}\right)^{2}\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)^{2} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sqrt{\frac{M m}{H h}}\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)+\sqrt{\frac{H h}{M m}}\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right) \\
& \leq\left(\sqrt{\frac{H M}{h m}}+\sqrt{\frac{h m}{H M}}\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right) \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 2.12. Theorem 2.11 extends a result in [20, page 18].
The following is an extension of [24, Theorem 1, page 122].
Theorem 2.13. Let $p, f, g \in C_{\mathrm{rd}}([a, b],[0, \infty))$. Suppose that there exist six constants $\alpha, \beta, h$, $H, m, M \in(0, \infty)$ such that $h \leq f(x) \leq H, m \leq g(x) \leq M$ on $[a, b], 1>\alpha \geq \beta>0$ and $\alpha+$ $\beta=1$. Then the following two inequalities hold:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)^{\alpha}\left(\int_{a}^{b} \frac{p(x)}{f(x)} \Delta x\right)^{\beta} \leq \frac{\alpha H+\beta h}{(H h)^{\beta}}\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)  \tag{4}\\
\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)^{\alpha}\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)^{\beta} \leq \frac{\alpha H M+\beta h m}{(H h)^{\beta}(M m)^{\alpha}}\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right) \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Since $(\alpha f(x)-\beta h)(f(x)-H) \leq 0$ on $[a, b]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha f^{2}(x)-(\alpha H+\beta h) f(x)+\beta H h \leq 0 . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha p(x) f(x)+\beta H h \frac{p(x)}{f(x)} \leq(\alpha H+\beta h) p(x) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A direct consequence of the foregoing inequality with appeal to the arithmetric-geometric mean inequality leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)^{\alpha}\left(\int_{a}^{b} \frac{p(x)}{f(x)} \Delta x\right)^{\beta} \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{(H h)^{\beta}}\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)^{\alpha}\left(H h \int_{a}^{b} \frac{p(x)}{f(x)}\right)^{\beta}  \tag{2.23}\\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{(H h)^{\beta}}\left(\alpha \int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x+\beta H h \int_{a}^{b} \frac{p(x)}{f(x)} \Delta x\right) \\
& \quad \leq \frac{\alpha H+\beta h}{(H h)^{\beta}}\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $\left(\mathrm{R}_{4}\right)$ holds.

Replacing $p(x)$ and $f(x)$ by $p(x) f(x) g(x)$ and $f(x) / g(x)$ in $\left(\mathrm{R}_{4}\right)$, respectively, and using $h / M \leq f(x) / g(x) \leq H / m$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)^{\alpha}\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)^{\beta} \leq \frac{\alpha H M+\beta h m}{(H h)^{\beta}(M m)^{\alpha}}\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right) . \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $\left(\mathrm{R}_{5}\right)$ holds.
Remark 2.14. Let $p, f, g \in C_{\mathrm{rd}}([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ with $p(x) \geq 0$ on $[a, b]$. Suppose that there are $\alpha, \beta, m, M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $0<\beta \leq \alpha<1, \alpha+\beta=1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \leq \frac{g(x)}{f(x)} \leq M \quad \text { on }[a, b] . \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $h, H$ and $f(x)$ by $m, M$ and $g(x) / f(x)$ in $\left(\mathrm{R}_{6}\right)$, respectively, and then integrating the resulting inequality from $a$ to $b$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x+\beta M m \int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x \leq(\beta m+\alpha M) \int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is an extension of inequality $\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}\right)$.
Remark 2.15. Clearly, $\left(\mathrm{R}_{4}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{R}_{5}\right)$ are equivalent. In fact, let $f^{2}(x)=F(x), g^{2}(x)=$ $1 / F(x)$, where $F \in C_{\mathrm{rd}}([a, b],(0, \infty))$. If $0<k \leq F(x) \leq K$ on $[a, b]$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
h & :=\sqrt{k} \leq f(x) \leq \sqrt{K}:=H \\
m & :=\frac{1}{\sqrt{K}} \leq g(x) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}:=M \tag{2.27}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $\left(R_{5}\right)$ is reduced to $\left(R_{4}\right)$. Similarly, $\left(R_{4}\right)$ is reduced to $\left(R_{5}\right)$. Hence, $\left(R_{4}\right)$ and $\left(R_{5}\right)$ are equivalent.
Remark 2.16. Let $\alpha=\beta=1 / 2$. Then ( $\left.\mathrm{R}_{5}\right)$ is reduced to

$$
\frac{(H M+h m)^{2}}{4 H M h m} \geq \frac{\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)}{\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}} \geq 1
$$

which is an extension of a result in Greub and Rheinboldt [13], see also [3, 23, 24]. In fact, $\left(\mathrm{R}_{3}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{R}_{3}^{* * *}\right)$ are equivalent.

The following is an example of the presented theory with $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 2.17. Let $p_{i} \geq 0,0<h \leq a_{i} \leq H, 0<m \leq b_{i} \leq M$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. If $1>\alpha \geq \beta>0$ with $\alpha+\beta=1$, then $\left(\mathrm{R}_{3}\right),\left(\mathrm{R}_{4}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{R}_{3}^{* * *}\right)$ are reduced to

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} a_{i}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p_{i}}{a_{i}}\right)^{\beta} \leq \frac{\alpha H+\beta h}{(H h)^{\beta}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\right),  \tag{a}\\
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} a_{i}^{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} b_{i}^{2}\right)^{\beta} \leq \frac{\alpha H M+\beta h m}{(H h)^{\beta}(M m)^{\alpha}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} a_{i} b_{i}\right),  \tag{b}\\
\frac{1}{4}\left(\sqrt{\frac{H M}{h m}}+\sqrt{\frac{h m}{H M}}\right)^{2}=\frac{(H M+h m)^{2}}{4 H M h m} \geq \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} a_{i}^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} b_{i}^{2}\right)}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} a_{i} b_{i}\right)^{2}}, \tag{c}
\end{gather*}
$$

respectively (see [24, pages 121 and 122]). Inequality (c) generalizes some results of [13, 16].

If $\alpha=\beta=1 / 2$, then (a), (b) are reduced to

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{a_{i}}\right) \leq \frac{(H+h)^{2}}{4 H h} n^{2} \quad(\text { Schweitzer [29]), }  \tag{*}\\
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} a_{i}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p_{i}}{a_{i}}\right) \leq \frac{(H+h)^{2}}{4 H h}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\right) \quad(\text { Kantorovich [17]), }  \tag{**}\\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2} \leq \frac{H M+h m}{4 H M h m}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} b_{i}\right)^{2} \quad(\text { Pólya and Szegö [26]). } \tag{b*}
\end{gather*}
$$

## 3. More results

In this section, we give some inequalities on time scales which extend some results in $[23,27,31]$. To do this, let $f, g \in C_{\mathrm{rd}}([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ and $p \in C_{\mathrm{rd}}([a, b],[0, \infty))$, we define the operator $T_{p}(f, g)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{p}(f, g):=\frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{b} \int_{a}^{b} p(x) p(t)(f(x)-f(t))(g(x)-g(t)) \Delta x \Delta t . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{p}(f, g)=\left[\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)-\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)\right] \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $T_{p}(f, f) \geq 0$. Invoking (3.1) and Cauchy's inequality $\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}\right)$ yields the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[T_{p}(f, g)\right]^{2} \leq T_{p}(f, f) T_{p}(g, g) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.1. It follows from (3.3) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)-\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)\right]^{2}} \\
& \leq  \tag{3.4}\\
& \quad\left[\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)-\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}\right] \\
& \quad \times\left[\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)-\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)^{2} \\
& \quad-2\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right) \\
& \quad+\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \\
& \leq\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right) \\
& \quad-\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)^{2} \\
& \quad-\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)  \tag{3.5}\\
& \quad+\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)^{2},
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)( & \left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)-\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)^{2} \\
\geq \frac{1}{\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x}[ & \left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)^{2} \\
& +\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)^{2} \\
& \left.-2\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)\right] \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from the arithmetric-geometric mean inequality that the right-hand side of inequality $\left(R_{7}\right)$ is greater than or equal to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x}\left[2\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)\right. \\
& \times \\
& \quad \times \sqrt{\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)}  \tag{3.6}\\
& \left.\quad-2\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{2}{\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x}\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right) \\
& \quad \times
\end{align*} \quad\left[\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)-\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)\right]=0 .
$$

This means $\left(R_{7}\right)$ is stronger than inequality $\left(R_{1}\right)$.
Theorem 3.2. Let $p, f, g \in C_{r d}([a, b],[0, \infty))$.
(a) If there exist four constants $H, h, M, m \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $[H g(x)-m f(x)][M f(x)-$ $h g(x)] \geq 0$ on $[a, b]$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(H M+h m) \int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x \geq H h \int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x+M m \int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) If there exist four constants $H, h, M, m \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $x, t \in[a, b]$ with $[H g(x)-$ $m f(t)][M f(t)-h g(x)] \geq 0$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
(H M+h m) & \left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right) \\
\geq & H h\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)  \tag{3.8}\\
& +M m\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

(c) If $\mathrm{Hh}>0$ and $\mathrm{Mm}>0$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& (H M+h m)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right) \\
& \quad \geq H h\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}+M m\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)^{2} \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

(d) If $\mathrm{Hh}>0$ and $\mathrm{Mm}>0$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& (H M+h m)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right) \\
& \quad \geq H h\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}+M m\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)^{2} \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

## Proof

Case (a). It follows from the assumption that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x)(H g(x)-m f(x))(M f(x)-h g(x)) \geq 0 \quad \text { on }[a, b] \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(H M+h m) p(x) f(x) g(x) \geq H h p(x) g^{2}(x)+M m p(x) f^{2}(x) \quad \text { on }[a, b] . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(H M+h m) \int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x \geq H h \int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x+M m \int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case (b). It follows from the assumption that for $x, t \in[a, b]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x) p(t)(H g(x)-m f(t))(M f(t)-h g(x)) \geq 0 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
& H M p(x) p(t) f(t) g(x)+h m p(x) p(t) f(t) g(x) \\
& \quad \geq H h p(x) p(t) g^{2}(x)+M m p(x) p(t) f^{2}(t) \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
(H M & +h m)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(t) f(t) \Delta t\right) \\
& \geq H h\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)+M m\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right) \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Cases (c) and (d). It follows from Cauchy's inequality $\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}\right)$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right) & \geq\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)^{2} \\
\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right) & \geq\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)^{2} \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (a), (b), and the preceding two inequalities, we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
(H M & +h m)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right) \\
& \geq H h\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)+M m\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right) \\
& \geq H h\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}+M m\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)^{2} . \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

This completes the proof of (c).

Furthermore,

$$
\begin{align*}
(H M & +h m)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right) \\
& \geq H h\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)+M m\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right) \\
& \geq H h\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}+M m\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)^{2} \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, (d) holds.
Remark 3.3. It follows from (a) of Theorem 3.2 that

$$
\begin{align*}
& -(H M+h m)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right) \\
& \quad+H h\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)  \tag{3.20}\\
& \quad+M m\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right) \leq 0
\end{align*}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& -(H M+h m) T_{p}(f, g)+H h\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right) \\
& \quad+M m\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)  \tag{3.21}\\
& \leq(H M+h m)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $T_{p}(f, g)$ is defined as in (3.1). The foregoing inequality is stronger than Theorem 3.2(b). Hence, by Cauchy's inequality,

$$
\begin{align*}
& -(H M+h m) T_{p}(f, g)+H h\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}+M m\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)^{2} \\
& \quad \leq(H M+h m)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 3.4. If $H M h m>0$, then it follows from (a) of Theorem 3.2 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(H M+h m)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right) \geq 2 \sqrt{H M h m\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)} . \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(H M+h m)^{2}}{4 H M h m}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\sqrt{\frac{H M}{h m}}+\sqrt{\frac{h m}{H M}}\right)^{2} \geq \frac{\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)}{\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}} . \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a generalized Cauchy's inequality.
Remark 3.5. It follows from (b) of Theorem 3.2 that

$$
\begin{align*}
&(H M+h m)^{2}\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)^{2} \\
& \geq H^{2} h^{2}\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)^{2} \\
&+M^{2} m^{2}\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}  \tag{3.24}\\
&+2 H M h m\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right) \\
& \geq 4 H M h m\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, if $\mathrm{HMhm}>0$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right) \\
& \quad \leq \frac{(H M+h m)^{2}}{4 H M h m}\left(\frac{\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x \int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x}{\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x}\right)^{2} \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 3.6. It follows from (b) of Theorem 3.2 that

$$
\begin{align*}
& -(H M+h m)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right) \\
& \quad+H h\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)  \tag{3.26}\\
& \quad+M m\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right) \leq 0,
\end{align*}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{align*}
(H M+h m) & {\left[\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)\right.} \\
& \left.-\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)\right] \\
+ & H h\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)+M m\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right) \\
\leq & (H M+h m)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right) . \tag{3.27}
\end{align*}
$$

Then by Cauchy's inequality $\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& (H M+h m) T_{p}(f, g)+H h\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}+M m\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}  \tag{9}\\
& \leq(H M+h m)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $T_{p}(f, g)$ is defined as (3.1).
Remark 3.7. If $H M h m>0$, then it follows from (c) of Theorem 3.2 that

$$
\begin{align*}
(H M & +h m)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right) \\
& \geq 2 \sqrt{H M h m}\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)^{2} \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{\frac{H M}{h m}}+\sqrt{\frac{h m}{H M}}\right)=\frac{H M+h m}{2 \sqrt{H M h m}} \geq \frac{\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)}{\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.8. Let $p, f, g \in C_{r d}([a, b],[0, \infty))$. Then
(a)

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)+\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}\right]} \\
& \quad \times\left[\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)+\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}\right] \\
& \geq \geq\left[\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)+\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)\right]^{2} . \tag{3.30}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, under the assumption of (a) and (b) in Theorem 3.2, then the following two inequalities hold:

16 Some complements of Cauchy's inequality on time scales
(b)

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
(H K+h m)^{2} & {\left[\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)\right.} \\
& \left.+\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)\right]^{2} \\
\geq & 4 H M h m \tag{3.31}
\end{array}\right]\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)+\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}\right] .
$$

(c)

$$
\begin{align*}
1 \leq & {\left[\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)+\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}\right] } \\
& \times \frac{\left[\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)+\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}\right]}{\left[\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)+\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta\right)\right]^{2}}  \tag{3.32}\\
\leq & \frac{(H M+h m)^{2}}{4 H M h m} .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof
Case (a). A straightforward calculation shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)+\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}\right]} \\
& \quad \times\left[\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)+\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}\right] \\
& =\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right) \\
& \quad+\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)^{2} \\
& \quad+\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right) \\
& \quad+\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)^{2} \\
& \geq \\
& \quad\left[\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)\right]^{2}+2\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right) \\
& \quad \times\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right) \sqrt{\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)} \\
& \quad+\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)^{2} \quad(\text { by Cauchy's inequality })
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\geq & {\left[\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)\right]^{2} } \\
& +2\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right) \\
& +\left[\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)\right]^{2} \\
= & {\left[\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)+\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)\right]^{2} } \tag{3.33}
\end{align*}
$$

Case (b). It is follows from $\left(\mathrm{R}_{8}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{R}_{9}\right)$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
&(H M+h m)^{2} {\left[\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)\right.} \\
&\left.+\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)\right]^{2} \\
& \geq\left\{H h\left[\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)+\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}\right]\right. \\
&\left.+M m\left[\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)+\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}\right]\right\}^{2}  \tag{3.34}\\
& \geq 4 H M h m\left[\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)+\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}\right] \\
& \times\left[\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) \Delta x\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f^{2}(x) \Delta x\right)+\left(\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \Delta x\right)^{2}\right]^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

This completes the proof of (b).
Case (c). Clearly, (c) follows from (a) and (b).
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