

Research Article

Some Subclasses of Meromorphic Functions Associated with a Family of Integral Operators

Zhi-Gang Wang,¹ Zhi-Hong Liu,² and Yong Sun³

¹ School of Mathematics and Computing Science, Changsha University of Science and Technology, Yuntang Campus, Changsha, Hunan 410114, China

² School of Mathematics, Honghe University, Mengzi, Yunnan 661100, China

³ Department of Mathematics, Huaihua University, Huaihua, Hunan 418008, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Zhi-Gang Wang, zhigangwang@foxmail.com

Received 11 July 2009; Accepted 3 September 2009

Recommended by Narendra Kumar Govil

Making use of the principle of subordination between analytic functions and a family of integral operators defined on the space of meromorphic functions, we introduce and investigate some new subclasses of meromorphic functions. Such results as inclusion relationships and integral-preserving properties associated with these subclasses are proved. Several subordination and superordination results involving this family of integral operators are also derived.

Copyright © 2009 Zhi-Gang Wang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let Σ denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (1.1)$$

which are *analytic* in the *punctured* open unit disk

$$\mathbb{U}^* := \{z : z \in \mathbb{C}, 0 < |z| < 1\} =: \mathbb{U} \setminus \{0\}. \quad (1.2)$$

Let $f, g \in \Sigma$, where f is given by (1.1) and g is defined by

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k. \quad (1.3)$$

Then the Hadamard product (or convolution) $f * g$ of the functions f and g is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) := \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k =: (g * f)(z). \quad (1.4)$$

Let \mathcal{P} denote the class of functions of the form

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k z^k, \quad (1.5)$$

which are analytic and convex in \mathbb{U} and satisfy the condition

$$\Re(p(z)) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (1.6)$$

For two functions f and g , analytic in \mathbb{U} , we say that the function f is subordinate to g in \mathbb{U} , and write

$$f(z) < g(z), \quad (1.7)$$

if there exists a Schwarz function ω , which is analytic in \mathbb{U} with

$$\omega(0) = 0, \quad |\omega(z)| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \quad (1.8)$$

such that

$$f(z) = g(\omega(z)) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (1.9)$$

Indeed, it is known that

$$f(z) < g(z) \implies f(0) = g(0), \quad f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}). \quad (1.10)$$

Furthermore, if the function g is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then we have the following equivalence:

$$f(z) < g(z) \iff f(0) = g(0), \quad f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}). \quad (1.11)$$

Analogous to the integral operator defined by Jung et al. [1], Lashin [2] introduced and investigated the following integral operator:

$$Q_{\alpha, \beta} : \Sigma \longrightarrow \Sigma \quad (1.12)$$

defined, in terms of the familiar Gamma function, by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\alpha,\beta}f(z) &= \frac{\Gamma(\beta+\alpha)}{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{1}{z^{\beta+1}} \int_0^z t^\beta \left(1 - \frac{t}{z}\right)^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{z} + \frac{\Gamma(\beta+\alpha)}{\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+\beta+1)}{\Gamma(k+\beta+\alpha+1)} a_k z^k \quad (\alpha > 0; \beta > 0; z \in \mathbb{U}^*). \end{aligned} \quad (1.13)$$

By setting

$$f_{\alpha,\beta}(z) := \frac{1}{z} + \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta+\alpha)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+\beta+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(k+\beta+1)} z^k \quad (\alpha > 0; \beta > 0; z \in \mathbb{U}^*), \quad (1.14)$$

we define a new function $f_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda(z)$ in terms of the Hadamard product (or convolution):

$$f_{\alpha,\beta}(z) * f_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda(z) = \frac{1}{z(1-z)^\lambda} \quad (\alpha > 0; \beta > 0; \lambda > 0; z \in \mathbb{U}^*). \quad (1.15)$$

Then, motivated essentially by the operator $Q_{\alpha,\beta}$, we now introduce the operator

$$Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda : \Sigma \longrightarrow \Sigma, \quad (1.16)$$

which is defined as

$$Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda f(z) := f_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda(z) * f(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}^*; f \in \Sigma), \quad (1.17)$$

where (and throughout this paper unless otherwise mentioned) the parameters α , β , and λ are constrained as follows:

$$\alpha > 0; \quad \beta > 0; \quad \lambda > 0. \quad (1.18)$$

We can easily find from (1.14), (1.15), and (1.17) that

$$Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{\Gamma(\beta+\alpha)}{\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda)_{k+1}}{(k+1)!} \frac{\Gamma(k+\beta+1)}{\Gamma(k+\beta+\alpha+1)} a_k z^k \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}^*), \quad (1.19)$$

where $(\lambda)_k$ is the Pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(\lambda)_k := \begin{cases} 1, & (k=0), \\ \lambda(\lambda+1) \cdots (\lambda+k-1), & (k \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \dots\}). \end{cases} \quad (1.20)$$

Clearly, we know that $Q_{\alpha,\beta}^1 = Q_{\alpha,\beta}$.

It is readily verified from (1.19) that

$$z(Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda f)'(z) = \lambda Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda+1} f(z) - (\lambda+1)Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda f(z), \quad (1.21)$$

$$z(Q_{\alpha+1,\beta}^\lambda f)'(z) = (\beta+\alpha)Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda f(z) - (\beta+\alpha+1)Q_{\alpha+1,\beta}^\lambda f(z). \quad (1.22)$$

By making use of the principle of subordination between analytic functions, we introduce the subclasses $\mathcal{MS}^*(\eta; \phi)$, $\mathcal{MK}(\eta; \phi)$, $\mathcal{MC}(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi)$, and $\mathcal{MQC}(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi)$ of the class Σ which are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{MS}^*(\eta; \phi) &:= \left\{ f \in \Sigma : \frac{1}{1-\eta} \left(-\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \eta \right) < \phi(z) \text{ } (\phi \in \mathcal{P}; 0 \leq \eta < 1; z \in \mathbb{U}) \right\}, \\ \mathcal{MK}(\eta; \phi) &:= \left\{ f \in \Sigma : \frac{1}{1-\eta} \left(-1 - \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \eta \right) < \phi(z) \text{ } (\phi \in \mathcal{P}; 0 \leq \eta < 1; z \in \mathbb{U}) \right\}, \\ \mathcal{MC}(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi) &:= \left\{ f \in \Sigma : \exists g \in \mathcal{MS}^*(\eta; \phi) \text{ such that } \frac{1}{1-\delta} \left(-\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} - \delta \right) < \psi(z) \right. \\ &\quad \left. (\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{P}; 0 \leq \eta, \delta < 1; z \in \mathbb{U}) \right\}, \\ \mathcal{MQC}(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi) &:= \left\{ f \in \Sigma : \exists g \in \mathcal{MK}(\eta; \phi) \text{ such that } \frac{1}{1-\delta} \left(-\frac{(zf'(z))'}{g'(z)} - \delta \right) < \psi(z) \right. \\ &\quad \left. (\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{P}; 0 \leq \eta, \delta < 1; z \in \mathbb{U}) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.23)$$

Indeed, the above mentioned function classes are generalizations of the general meromorphic starlike, meromorphic convex, meromorphic close-to-convex and meromorphic quasi-convex functions in analytic function theory (see, for details, [3–12]).

Next, by using the operator defined by (1.19), we define the following subclasses $\mathcal{MS}_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda(\eta; \phi)$, $\mathcal{MK}_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda(\eta; \phi)$, $\mathcal{MC}_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi)$, and $\mathcal{MQC}_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi)$ of the class Σ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{MS}_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda(\eta; \phi) &:= \left\{ f \in \Sigma : Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda f \in \mathcal{MS}^*(\eta; \phi) \right\}, \\ \mathcal{MK}_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda(\eta; \phi) &:= \left\{ f \in \Sigma : Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda f \in \mathcal{MK}(\eta; \phi) \right\}, \\ \mathcal{MC}_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi) &:= \left\{ f \in \Sigma : Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda f \in \mathcal{MC}(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi) \right\}, \\ \mathcal{MQC}_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi) &:= \left\{ f \in \Sigma : Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda f \in \mathcal{MQC}(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.24)$$

Obviously, we know that

$$f \in \mathcal{MK}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta; \phi) \iff -zf' \in \mathcal{MS}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta; \phi), \quad (1.25)$$

$$f \in \mathcal{MQC}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi) \iff -zf' \in \mathcal{MC}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi). \quad (1.26)$$

In order to prove our main results, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1.1 (see [13]). *Let $\kappa, \vartheta \in \mathbb{C}$. Suppose also that m is convex and univalent in \mathbb{U} with*

$$m(0) = 1, \quad \Re(\kappa m(z) + \vartheta) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (1.27)$$

If u is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $u(0) = 1$, then the subordination

$$u(z) + \frac{zu'(z)}{\kappa u(z) + \vartheta} < m(z) \quad (1.28)$$

implies that

$$u(z) < m(z). \quad (1.29)$$

Lemma 1.2 (see [14]). *Let h be convex univalent in \mathbb{U} and let ζ be analytic in \mathbb{U} with*

$$\Re(\zeta(z)) \geq 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (1.30)$$

If q is analytic in \mathbb{U} and $q(0) = h(0)$, then the subordination

$$q(z) + \zeta(z)zq'(z) < h(z) \quad (1.31)$$

implies that

$$q(z) < h(z). \quad (1.32)$$

The main purpose of the present paper is to investigate some inclusion relationships and integral-preserving properties of the subclasses

$$\mathcal{MS}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta; \phi), \quad \mathcal{MK}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta; \phi), \quad \mathcal{MC}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi), \quad \mathcal{MQC}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi) \quad (1.33)$$

of meromorphic functions involving the operator $Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}$. Several subordination and superordination results involving this operator are also derived.

2. The Main Inclusion Relationships

We begin by presenting our first inclusion relationship given by Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $0 \leq \eta < 1$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$ with*

$$\max_{z \in \mathbb{U}} \{\Re(\phi(z))\} < \min \left\{ \frac{\lambda - \eta + 1}{1 - \eta}, \frac{\beta + \alpha - \eta + 1}{1 - \eta} \right\} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (2.1)$$

Then

$$\mathcal{MS}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\lambda+1}(\eta; \phi) \subset \mathcal{MS}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\lambda}(\eta; \phi) \subset \mathcal{MS}_{\alpha+1, \beta}^{\lambda}(\eta; \phi). \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. We first prove that

$$\mathcal{MS}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\lambda+1}(\eta; \phi) \subset \mathcal{MS}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\lambda}(\eta; \phi). \quad (2.3)$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{MS}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\lambda+1}(\eta; \phi)$ and suppose that

$$\mathfrak{h}(z) := \frac{1}{1 - \eta} \left(-\frac{z(Q_{\alpha, \beta}^{\lambda} f)'(z)}{Q_{\alpha, \beta}^{\lambda} f(z)} - \eta \right), \quad (2.4)$$

where \mathfrak{h} is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $\mathfrak{h}(0) = 1$. Combining (1.21) and (2.4), we find that

$$\lambda \frac{Q_{\alpha, \beta}^{\lambda+1} f(z)}{Q_{\alpha, \beta}^{\lambda} f(z)} = -(1 - \eta)\mathfrak{h}(z) - \eta + \lambda + 1. \quad (2.5)$$

Taking the logarithmical differentiation on both sides of (2.5) and multiplying the resulting equation by z , we get

$$\frac{1}{1 - \eta} \left(-\frac{z(Q_{\alpha, \beta}^{\lambda+1} f)'(z)}{Q_{\alpha, \beta}^{\lambda+1} f(z)} - \eta \right) = \mathfrak{h}(z) + \frac{z\mathfrak{h}'(z)}{-(1 - \eta)\mathfrak{h}(z) - \eta + \lambda + 1} < \phi(z). \quad (2.6)$$

By virtue of (2.1), an application of Lemma 1.1 to (2.6) yields $\mathfrak{h} < \phi$, that is $f \in \mathcal{MS}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\lambda}(\eta; \phi)$. Thus, the assertion (2.3) of Theorem 2.1 holds.

To prove the second part of Theorem 2.1, we assume that $f \in \mathcal{MS}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\lambda}(\eta; \phi)$ and set

$$\mathfrak{g}(z) := \frac{1}{1 - \eta} \left(-\frac{z(Q_{\alpha+1, \beta}^{\lambda} f)'(z)}{Q_{\alpha+1, \beta}^{\lambda} f(z)} - \eta \right), \quad (2.7)$$

where g is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $g(0) = 1$. Combining (1.22), (2.1), and (2.7) and applying the similar method of proof of the first part, we get $g < \phi$, that is $f \in \mathcal{MS}_{\alpha+1,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta; \phi)$. Therefore, the second part of Theorem 2.1 also holds. The proof of Theorem 2.1 is evidently completed. \square

Theorem 2.2. Let $0 \leq \eta < 1$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$ with (2.1) holds. Then

$$\mathcal{MK}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda+1}(\eta; \phi) \subset \mathcal{MK}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta; \phi) \subset \mathcal{MK}_{\alpha+1,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta; \phi). \quad (2.8)$$

Proof. In view of (1.25) and Theorem 2.1, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} f \in \mathcal{MK}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda+1}(\eta; \phi) &\iff Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda+1} f \in \mathcal{MK}(\eta; \phi) \\ &\iff -z \left(Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda+1} f \right)' \in \mathcal{MS}^*(\eta; \phi) \\ &\iff Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda+1} (-zf') \in \mathcal{MS}^*(\eta; \phi) \\ &\iff -zf' \in \mathcal{MS}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda+1}(\eta; \phi) \\ &\implies -zf' \in \mathcal{MS}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta; \phi) \\ &\iff Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda} (-zf') \in \mathcal{MS}^*(\eta; \phi) \\ &\iff -z \left(Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda} f \right)' \in \mathcal{MS}^*(\eta; \phi) \\ &\iff Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda} f \in \mathcal{MK}(\eta; \phi) \\ &\iff f \in \mathcal{MK}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta; \phi), \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f \in \mathcal{MK}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta; \phi) &\iff -zf' \in \mathcal{MS}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta; \phi) \\ &\implies -zf' \in \mathcal{MS}_{\alpha+1,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta; \phi) \\ &\iff Q_{\alpha+1,\beta}^{\lambda} (-zf') \in \mathcal{MS}^*(\eta; \phi) \\ &\iff Q_{\alpha+1,\beta}^{\lambda} f \in \mathcal{MK}(\eta; \phi) \\ &\iff f \in \mathcal{MK}_{\alpha+1,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta; \phi). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Combining (2.9) and (2.10), we deduce that the assertion of Theorem 2.2 holds. \square

Theorem 2.3. Let $0 \leq \eta < 1$, $0 \leq \delta < 1$ and $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{P}$ with (2.1) holds. Then

$$\mathcal{MC}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda+1}(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi) \subset \mathcal{MC}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi) \subset \mathcal{MC}_{\alpha+1,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi). \quad (2.11)$$

Proof. We begin by proving that

$$\mathcal{MC}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda+1}(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi) \subset \mathcal{MC}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi). \quad (2.12)$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{MC}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda+1}(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi)$. Then, by definition, we know that

$$\frac{1}{1-\delta} \left(-\frac{z(Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda+1}f)'(z)}{Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda+1}g(z)} - \delta \right) < \psi(z) \quad (2.13)$$

with $g \in \mathcal{MS}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda+1}(\eta; \phi)$. Moreover, by Theorem 2.1, we know that $g \in \mathcal{MS}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta; \phi)$, which implies that

$$q(z) := \frac{1}{1-\eta} \left(-\frac{z(Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}g)'(z)}{Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}g(z)} - \eta \right) < \phi(z). \quad (2.14)$$

We now suppose that

$$p(z) := \frac{1}{1-\delta} \left(-\frac{z(Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}f)'(z)}{Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}g(z)} - \delta \right), \quad (2.15)$$

where p is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $p(0) = 1$. Combining (1.21) and (2.15), we find that

$$-[(1-\delta)p(z) + \delta]Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}g(z) = \lambda Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda+1}f(z) - (\lambda+1)Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}f(z). \quad (2.16)$$

Differentiating both sides of (2.16) with respect to z and multiplying the resulting equation by z , we get

$$-(1-\delta)zp'(z) - [(1-\delta)p(z) + \delta][-(1-\eta)q(z) - \eta + \lambda + 1] = \lambda \frac{z(Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda+1}f)'(z)}{Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}g(z)}. \quad (2.17)$$

In view of (1.21), (2.14), and (2.17), we conclude that

$$\frac{1}{1-\delta} \left(-\frac{z(Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda+1}f)'(z)}{Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda+1}g(z)} - \delta \right) = p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{-(1-\eta)q(z) - \eta + \lambda + 1} < \psi(z). \quad (2.18)$$

By noting that (2.1) holds and

$$q(z) < \phi(z), \quad (2.19)$$

we know that

$$\Re(-(1-\eta)q(z) - \eta + \lambda + 1) > 0. \quad (2.20)$$

Thus, an application of Lemma 1.2 to (2.18) yields

$$p(z) < \psi(z), \quad (2.21)$$

that is $f \in \mathcal{MC}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi)$, which implies that the assertion (2.12) of Theorem 2.3 holds.

By virtue of (1.22) and (2.1), making use of the similar arguments of the details above, we deduce that

$$\mathcal{MC}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi) \subset \mathcal{MC}_{\alpha+1,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi). \quad (2.22)$$

The proof of Theorem 2.3 is thus completed. \square

Theorem 2.4. *Let $0 \leq \eta < 1$, $0 \leq \delta < 1$ and $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{P}$ with (2.1) holds. Then*

$$\mathcal{MQC}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda+1}(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi) \subset \mathcal{MQC}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi) \subset \mathcal{MQC}_{\alpha+1,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi). \quad (2.23)$$

Proof. In view of (1.26) and Theorem 2.3, and by similarly applying the method of proof of Theorem 2.2, we conclude that the assertion of Theorem 2.4 holds. \square

3. A Set of Integral-Preserving Properties

In this section, we derive some integral-preserving properties involving two families of integral operators.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{MS}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta; \phi)$ with $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$ and*

$$\Re(\phi(z)) < \frac{\Re(\nu) - \eta}{1 - \eta} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; \Re(\nu) > 1). \quad (3.1)$$

Then the integral operator $F_{\nu}(f)$ defined by

$$F_{\nu}(f) := F_{\nu}(f)(z) = \frac{\nu - 1}{z^{\nu}} \int_0^z t^{\nu-1} f(t) dt \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; \Re(\nu) > 1) \quad (3.2)$$

belongs to the class $\mathcal{MS}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta; \phi)$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{MS}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta; \phi)$. Then, from (3.2), we find that

$$z \left(Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda} F_{\nu}(f) \right)'(z) + \nu Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda} F_{\nu}(f)(z) = (\nu - 1) Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda} f(z). \quad (3.3)$$

By setting

$$\mathbb{P}(z) := \frac{1}{1-\eta} \left(-\frac{z(Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda F_\nu(f))'(z)}{Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda F_\nu(f)(z)} - \eta \right), \quad (3.4)$$

we observe that \mathbb{P} is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $\mathbb{P}(0) = 0$. It follows from (3.3) and (3.4) that

$$-(1-\eta)\mathbb{P}(z) - \eta + \nu = (\nu-1) \frac{Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda f(z)}{Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda F_\nu(f)(z)}. \quad (3.5)$$

Differentiating both sides of (3.5) with respect to z logarithmically and multiplying the resulting equation by z , we get

$$\mathbb{P}(z) + \frac{z\mathbb{P}'(z)}{-(1-\eta)\mathbb{P}(z) - \eta + \nu} = \frac{1}{1-\eta} \left(-\frac{z(Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda f)'(z)}{Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda f(z)} - \eta \right) < \phi(z). \quad (3.6)$$

Since (3.1) holds, an application of Lemma 1.1 to (3.6) yields

$$\frac{1}{1-\eta} \left(-\frac{z(Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda F_\nu(f))'(z)}{Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda F_\nu(f)(z)} - \eta \right) < \phi(z), \quad (3.7)$$

which implies that the assertion of Theorem 3.1 holds. \square

Theorem 3.2. Let $f \in \mathcal{MK}_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda(\eta; \phi)$ with $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$ and (3.1) holds. Then the integral operator $F_\nu(f)$ defined by (3.2) belongs to the class $\mathcal{MK}_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda(\eta; \phi)$.

Proof. By virtue of (1.25) and Theorem 3.1, we easily find that

$$\begin{aligned} f \in \mathcal{MK}_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda(\eta; \phi) &\iff -zf' \in \mathcal{MS}_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda(\eta; \phi) \\ &\implies F_\nu(-zf') \in \mathcal{MS}_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda(\eta; \phi) \\ &\iff -z(F_\nu(f))' \in \mathcal{MS}^*(\eta; \phi) \\ &\iff F_\nu(f) \in \mathcal{MK}_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda(\eta; \phi). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

The proof of Theorem 3.2 is evidently completed. \square

Theorem 3.3. Let $f \in \mathcal{MC}_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi)$ with $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$ and (3.1) holds. Then the integral operator $F_\nu(f)$ defined by (3.2) belongs to the class $\mathcal{MC}_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi)$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{MC}_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi)$. Then, by definition, we know that there exists a function $g \in \mathcal{MS}^*(\eta; \phi)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{1-\eta} \left(-\frac{z(Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda f)'(z)}{Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda g(z)} - \eta \right) < \psi(z). \quad (3.9)$$

Since $g \in \mathcal{MS}^*(\eta; \phi)$, by Theorem 3.1, we easily find that $F_\nu(g) \in \mathcal{MS}^*(\eta; \phi)$, which implies that

$$\mathbb{H}(z) := \frac{1}{1-\eta} \left(-\frac{z(Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda F_\nu(g))'(z)}{Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda F_\nu(g)(z)} - \eta \right) < \phi(z). \quad (3.10)$$

We now set

$$\mathbb{Q}(z) := \frac{1}{1-\delta} \left(-\frac{z(Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda F_\nu(f))'(z)}{Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda F_\nu(g)(z)} - \delta \right), \quad (3.11)$$

where \mathbb{Q} is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $\mathbb{Q}(0) = 1$. From (3.3), and (3.11), we get

$$-[(1-\delta)\mathbb{Q}(z) + \delta]Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda F_\nu(g)(z) + \nu Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda F_\nu(f)(z) = (\nu-1)Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda f(z). \quad (3.12)$$

Combining (3.10), (3.11), and (3.12), we find that

$$-(1-\delta)z\mathbb{Q}'(z) - [(1-\delta)\mathbb{Q}(z) + \delta][-(1-\eta)\mathbb{H}(z) - \eta + \nu] = (\nu-1)\frac{z(Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda f)'(z)}{Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda F_\nu(g)(z)}. \quad (3.13)$$

By virtue of (1.21), (3.10), and (3.13), we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{1-\delta} \left(-\frac{z(Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda f)'(z)}{Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda g(z)} - \delta \right) = \mathbb{Q}(z) + \frac{z\mathbb{Q}'(z)}{-(1-\eta)\mathbb{H}(z) - \eta + \nu} < \psi(z). \quad (3.14)$$

The remainder of the proof of Theorem 3.3 is much akin to that of Theorem 2.3. We, therefore, choose to omit the analogous details involved. We thus find that

$$\mathbb{Q}(z) < \psi(z), \quad (3.15)$$

which implies that $F_v(f) \in \mathcal{MC}_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi)$. The proof of Theorem 3.3 is thus completed. \square

Theorem 3.4. Let $f \in \mathcal{MQC}_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi)$ with $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$ and (3.1) holds. Then the integral operator $F_v(f)$ defined by (3.2) belongs to the class $\mathcal{MQC}_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi)$.

Proof. In view of (1.26) and Theorem 3.3, and by similarly applying the method of proof of Theorem 3.2, we deduce that the assertion of Theorem 3.4 holds. \square

Theorem 3.5. Let $f \in \mathcal{MS}_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda(\eta; \phi)$ with $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$ and

$$\Re(\sigma - \eta\xi - (1 - \eta)\xi\phi(z)) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; \xi \neq 0). \quad (3.16)$$

Then the function $K_\xi^\sigma(f) \in \Sigma$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda K_\xi^\sigma(f) &:= Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda K_\xi^\sigma(f)(z) \\ &= \left(\frac{\sigma - \xi}{z^\sigma} \int_0^z t^{\sigma-1} \left(Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda f(t) \right)^\xi dt \right)^{1/\xi} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}^*; \xi \neq 0) \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

belongs to the class $\mathcal{MS}_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda(\eta; \phi)$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{MS}_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda(\eta; \phi)$ and suppose that

$$\mathbb{M}(z) := \frac{1}{1 - \eta} \left(- \frac{z \left(Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda K_\xi^\sigma(f) \right)'(z)}{Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda K_\xi^\sigma(f)(z)} - \eta \right). \quad (3.18)$$

Combining (3.17) and (3.18), we have

$$\sigma - \eta\xi - (1 - \eta)\xi\mathbb{M}(z) = (\sigma - \xi) \left(\frac{Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda f(z)}{Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda K_\xi^\sigma(f)(z)} \right)^\xi. \quad (3.19)$$

Now, in view of (3.17), (3.18), and (3.19), we get

$$\mathbb{M}(z) + \frac{z\mathbb{M}'(z)}{\sigma - \eta\xi - (1 - \eta)\xi\mathbb{M}(z)} = \frac{1}{1 - \eta} \left(- \frac{z \left(Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda f \right)'(z)}{Q_{\alpha,\beta}^\lambda f(z)} - \eta \right) < \phi(z). \quad (3.20)$$

Since (3.16) holds, an application of Lemma 1.1 to (3.20) yields

$$\mathbb{M}(z) < \phi(z), \quad (3.21)$$

that is, $K_{\xi}^{\sigma}(f) \in \mathcal{MS}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta; \phi)$. We thus complete the proof of Theorem 3.5. \square

Theorem 3.6. *Let $f \in \mathcal{MK}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta; \phi)$ with $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$ and (3.16) holds. Then the function $K_{\xi}^{\sigma}(f) \in \Sigma$ defined by (3.17) belongs to the class $\mathcal{MK}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta; \phi)$.*

Proof. By virtue of (1.25) and Theorem 3.5, and by similarly applying the method of proof of Theorem 3.2, we conclude that the assertion of Theorem 3.6 holds. \square

Theorem 3.7. *Let $f \in \mathcal{MC}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi)$ with $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$ and (3.16) holds. Then the function $K_{\xi}^{\sigma}(f) \in \Sigma$ defined by (3.17) belongs to the class $\mathcal{MC}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi)$.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{MC}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi)$. Then, by definition, we know that there exists a function $g \in \mathcal{MS}^*(\eta; \phi)$ such that (3.9) holds. Since $g \in \mathcal{MS}^*(\eta; \phi)$, by Theorem 3.5, we easily find that $K_{\xi}^{\sigma}(g) \in \mathcal{MS}^*(\eta; \phi)$, which implies that

$$\mathbb{R}(z) := \frac{1}{1-\eta} \left(-\frac{z(Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda} K_{\xi}^{\sigma}(g))'(z)}{Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda} K_{\xi}^{\sigma}(g)(z)} - \eta \right) < \phi(z). \quad (3.22)$$

We now set

$$\mathbb{D}(z) := \frac{1}{1-\delta} \left(-\frac{z(Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda} K_{\xi}^{\sigma}(f))'(z)}{Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda} K_{\xi}^{\sigma}(f)(z)} - \delta \right), \quad (3.23)$$

where \mathbb{D} is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $\mathbb{D}(0) = 1$. From (3.17) and (3.23), we get

$$-\xi[(1-\delta)\mathbb{D}(z) + \delta]Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda} K_{\xi}^{\sigma}(g)(z) + \delta Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda} K_{\xi}^{\sigma}(f)(z) = (\delta - \xi)Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda} f(z). \quad (3.24)$$

Combining (3.22), (3.23), and (3.24), we find that

$$-\xi(1-\delta)z\mathbb{D}'(z) - [(1-\delta)\mathbb{D}(z) + \delta][-(1-\eta)\xi\mathbb{R}(z) - \eta\xi + \delta] = (\delta - \xi) \frac{z(Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda} f)'(z)}{Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda} K_{\xi}^{\sigma}(g)(z)}. \quad (3.25)$$

Furthermore, by virtue of (1.22), (3.22), and (3.25), we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{1-\delta} \left(-\frac{z(Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda} f)'(z)}{Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda} f(z)} - \delta \right) = \mathbb{D}(z) + \frac{z\mathbb{D}'(z)}{-(1-\eta)\xi\mathbb{R}(z) - \eta\xi + \delta} < \psi(z). \quad (3.26)$$

The remainder of the proof of Theorem 3.7 is similar to that of Theorem 2.3. We, therefore, choose to omit the analogous details involved. We thus find that

$$\mathbb{D}(z) < \psi(z), \quad (3.27)$$

which implies that $K_{\xi}^{\sigma}(f) \in \mathcal{MC}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi)$. The proof of Theorem 3.7 is thus completed. \square

Theorem 3.8. *Let $f \in \mathcal{MQC}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi)$ with $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$ and (3.16) holds. Then the function $K_{\xi}^{\sigma}(f) \in \Sigma$ defined by (3.17) belongs to the class $\mathcal{MQC}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}(\eta, \delta; \phi, \psi)$.*

Proof. By virtue of (1.26) and Theorem 3.7, and by similarly applying the method of proof of Theorem 3.2, we deduce that the assertion of Theorem 3.8 holds. \square

4. Subordination and Superordination Results

In this section, we derive some subordination and superordination results associated with the operator $Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}$. By similarly applying the methods of proof of the results obtained by Cho et al. [15], we get the following subordination and superordination results. Here, we choose to omit the details involved. For some other recent sandwich-type results in analytic function theory, one can find in [16–30] and the references cited therein.

Corollary 4.1. *Let $f, g \in \Sigma$. If*

$$\Re\left(1 + \frac{z\varphi''(z)}{\varphi'(z)}\right) > -Q \quad \left(z \in \mathbb{U}; \varphi(z) := zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}g(z)\right), \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$Q := \frac{1 + (\beta + \alpha)^2 - \left|1 - (\beta + \alpha)^2\right|}{4(\beta + \alpha)}, \quad (4.2)$$

then the subordination relationship

$$zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}f(z) < zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}g(z) \quad (4.3)$$

implies that

$$zQ_{\alpha+1,\beta}^{\lambda}f(z) < zQ_{\alpha+1,\beta}^{\lambda}g(z). \quad (4.4)$$

Furthermore, the function $zQ_{\alpha+1,\beta}^{\lambda}g$ is the best dominant.

Corollary 4.2. *Let $f, g \in \Sigma$. If*

$$\Re\left(1 + \frac{z\chi''(z)}{\chi'(z)}\right) > -\varpi \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; \chi(z) := zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda+1}g(z)), \quad (4.5)$$

where

$$\varpi := \frac{1 + \lambda^2 - |1 - \lambda^2|}{4\lambda}, \quad (4.6)$$

then the subordination relationship

$$zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda+1}f(z) < zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda+1}g(z) \quad (4.7)$$

implies that

$$zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}f(z) < zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}g(z). \quad (4.8)$$

Furthermore, the function $zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}g$ is the best dominant.

Denote by Q the set of all functions f that are analytic and injective on $\overline{\mathbb{U}} - E(f)$, where

$$E(f) = \left\{ \varepsilon \in \partial\mathbb{U} : \lim_{z \rightarrow \varepsilon} f(z) = \infty \right\}, \quad (4.9)$$

and such that $f'(\varepsilon) \neq 0$ for $\varepsilon \in \partial\mathbb{U} - E(f)$. If f is subordinate to \mathcal{F} , then \mathcal{F} is superordinate to f . We now derive the following superordination results.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $f, g \in \Sigma$. If*

$$\Re\left(1 + \frac{z\varphi''(z)}{\varphi'(z)}\right) > -\varrho \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; \varphi(z) := zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}g(z)), \quad (4.10)$$

where ϱ is given by (4.2), also let the function $zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}f$ be univalent in \mathbb{U} and $zQ_{\alpha+1,\beta}^{\lambda}f \in Q$, then the subordination relationship

$$zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}g(z) < zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}f(z) \quad (4.11)$$

implies that

$$zQ_{\alpha+1,\beta}^{\lambda}g(z) < zQ_{\alpha+1,\beta}^{\lambda}f(z). \quad (4.12)$$

Furthermore, the function $zQ_{\alpha+1,\beta}^{\lambda}g$ is the best subordinant.

Corollary 4.4. Let $f, g \in \Sigma$. If

$$\Re\left(1 + \frac{z\chi''(z)}{\chi'(z)}\right) > -\varpi \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; \chi(z) := zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda+1}g(z)), \quad (4.13)$$

where ϖ is given by (4.6), also let the function $zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda+1}f$ be univalent in \mathbb{U} and $zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}f \in Q$, then the subordination relationship

$$zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda+1}g(z) < zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda+1}f(z) \quad (4.14)$$

implies that

$$zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}g(z) < zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}f(z). \quad (4.15)$$

Furthermore, the function $zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}g$ is the best subordinant.

Combining the above mentioned subordination and superordination results involving the operator $Q_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}$, we get the following “sandwich-type results”.

Corollary 4.5. Let $f, g_k \in \Sigma$ ($k = 1, 2$). If

$$\Re\left(1 + \frac{z\varphi_k''(z)}{\varphi_k'(z)}\right) > -\varrho \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; \varphi_k(z) := zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}g_k(z) \ (k = 1, 2)), \quad (4.16)$$

where ϱ is given by (4.2), also let the function $zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}f$ be univalent in \mathbb{U} and $zQ_{\alpha+1,\beta}^{\lambda}f \in Q$, then the subordination chain

$$zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}g_1(z) < zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}f(z) < zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}g_2(z) \quad (4.17)$$

implies that

$$zQ_{\alpha+1,\beta}^{\lambda}g_1(z) < zQ_{\alpha+1,\beta}^{\lambda}f(z) < zQ_{\alpha+1,\beta}^{\lambda}g_2(z). \quad (4.18)$$

Furthermore, the functions $zQ_{\alpha+1,\beta}^{\lambda}g_1$ and $zQ_{\alpha+1,\beta}^{\lambda}g_2$ are, respectively, the best subordinant and the best dominant.

Corollary 4.6. Let $f, g_k \in \Sigma$ ($k = 1, 2$). If

$$\Re\left(1 + \frac{z\chi_{k'}''(z)}{\chi_{k'}'(z)}\right) > -\varpi \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; \chi_k(z) := zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda+1}g_k(z) \ (k = 1, 2)), \quad (4.19)$$

where ϖ is given by (4.6), also let the function $zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda+1}f$ be univalent in \mathbb{U} and $zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}f \in Q$, then the subordination chain

$$zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda+1}g_1(z) < zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda+1}f(z) < zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda+1}g_2(z) \quad (4.20)$$

implies that

$$zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}g_1(z) < zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}f(z) < zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}g_2(z). \quad (4.21)$$

Furthermore, the functions $zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}g_1$ and $zQ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\lambda}g_2$ are, respectively, the best subordinant and the best dominant.

Acknowledgments

The present investigation was supported by the Scientific Research Fund of Hunan Provincial Education Department under Grant 08C118 of China. The authors would like to thank Professor R. M. Ali for sending several valuable papers to them.

References

- [1] I. B. Jung, Y. C. Kim, and H. M. Srivastava, "The Hardy space of analytic functions associated with certain one-parameter families of integral operators," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 176, no. 1, pp. 138–147, 1993.
- [2] A. Y. Lashin, "On certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with certain integral operators," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*. In press.
- [3] R. M. Ali and V. Ravichandran, "Classes of meromorphic α -convex functions," *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*. In press.
- [4] N. E. Cho, O. S. Kwon, and H. M. Srivastava, "Inclusion and argument properties for certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with a family of multiplier transformations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 300, no. 2, pp. 505–520, 2004.
- [5] R. M. El-Ashwah and M. K. Aouf, "Hadamard product of certain meromorphic starlike and convex functions," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 57, no. 7, pp. 1102–1106, 2009.
- [6] M. Haji Mohd, R. M. Ali, L. S. Keong, and V. Ravichandran, "Subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with convolution," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2009, Article ID 190291, 10 pages, 2009.
- [7] M. Nunokawa and O. P. Ahuja, "On meromorphic starlike and convex functions," *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 32, no. 7, pp. 1027–1032, 2001.
- [8] K. Piejko and J. Sokół, "Subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with the Cho-Kwon-Srivastava operator," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 337, no. 2, pp. 1261–1266, 2008.
- [9] H. M. Srivastava, D.-G. Yang, and N.-E. Xu, "Some subclasses of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with a linear operator," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 195, no. 1, pp. 11–23, 2008.
- [10] Z.-G. Wang, Y.-P. Jiang, and H. M. Srivastava, "Some subclasses of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 57, no. 4, pp. 571–586, 2009.
- [11] Z.-G. Wang, Y. Sun, and Z.-H. Zhang, "Certain classes of meromorphic multivalent functions," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 58, no. 7, pp. 1408–1417, 2009.
- [12] S.-M. Yuan, Z.-M. Liu, and H. M. Srivastava, "Some inclusion relationships and integral-preserving properties of certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with a family of integral operators," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 337, no. 1, pp. 505–515, 2008.

- [13] P. Eenigenburg, S. S. Miller, P. T. Mocanu, and M. O. Reade, "On a Briot-Bouquet differential subordination," in *General Mathematics* 3, vol. 64 of *International Series of Numerical Mathematics*, pp. 339–348, Birkhäuser, Basel, Switzerland, 1983, *Revue Roumaine de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, vol. 29, no. 7, pp. 567–573, 1984.
- [14] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, "Differential subordinations and univalent functions," *The Michigan Mathematical Journal*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 157–172, 1981.
- [15] N. E. Cho, O. S. Kwon, S. Owa, and H. M. Srivastava, "A class of integral operators preserving subordination and superordination for meromorphic functions," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 193, no. 2, pp. 463–474, 2007.
- [16] R. M. Ali, V. Ravichandran, M. H. Khan, and K. G. Subramanian, "Differential sandwich theorems for certain analytic functions," *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 87–94, 2004.
- [17] R. M. Ali, V. Ravichandran, and N. Seenivasagan, "Subordination and superordination on Schwarzian derivatives," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2008, Article ID 712328, 18 pages, 2008.
- [18] R. M. Ali, V. Ravichandran, and N. Seenivasagan, "Subordination and superordination of the Liu-Srivastava linear operator on meromorphic functions," *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 193–207, 2008.
- [19] R. M. Ali, V. Ravichandran, and N. Seenivasagan, "Differential subordination and superordination of analytic functions defined by the multiplier transformation," *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 123–139, 2009.
- [20] T. Bulboacă, "Sandwich-type theorems for a class of integral operators," *Bulletin of the Belgian Mathematical Society. Simon Stevin*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 537–550, 2006.
- [21] N. E. Cho, J. Nishiwaki, S. Owa, and H. M. Srivastava, "Subordination and superordination for multivalent functions associated with a class of fractional differintegral operators," *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*. In press.
- [22] N. E. Cho and H. M. Srivastava, "A class of nonlinear integral operators preserving subordination and superordination," *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, vol. 18, no. 1-2, pp. 95–107, 2007.
- [23] S. P. Goyal, P. Goswami, and H. Silverman, "Subordination and superordination results for a class of analytic multivalent functions," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2008, Article ID 561638, 12 pages, 2008.
- [24] T. N. Shanmugam, V. Ravichandran, and S. Sivasubramanian, "Differential sandwich theorems for some subclasses of analytic functions," *The Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 3, no. 1, article 8, 11 pages, 2006.
- [25] T. N. Shanmugam, S. Sivasubramanian, B. A. Frasin, and S. Kavitha, "On sandwich theorems for certain subclasses of analytic functions involving Carlson-Shaffer operator," *Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society*, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 611–620, 2008.
- [26] T. N. Shanmugam, S. Sivasubramanian, and S. Owa, "On sandwich results for some subclasses of analytic functions involving certain linear operator," *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*. In press.
- [27] T. N. Shanmugam, S. Sivasubramanian, and H. Silverman, "On sandwich theorems for some classes of analytic functions," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2006, Article ID 29684, 13 pages, 2006.
- [28] T. N. Shanmugam, S. Sivasubramanian, and H. M. Srivastava, "Differential sandwich theorems for certain subclasses of analytic functions involving multiplier transformations," *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, vol. 17, no. 12, pp. 889–899, 2006.
- [29] Z.-G. Wang, R. Aghalary, M. Darus, and R. W. Ibrahim, "Some properties of certain multivalent analytic functions involving the Cho-Kwon-Srivastava operator," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 49, no. 9-10, pp. 1969–1984, 2009.
- [30] Z.-G. Wang, R.-G. Xiang, and M. Darus, "A family of integral operators preserving subordination and superordination," *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society*. In press.