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## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

The stability problem of functional equations originated from a question of Ulam [1] concerning the stability of group homomorphisms. Hyers [2] gave a first affirmative partial answer to the question of Ulam for Banach spaces. Hyers' theorem was generalized by Aoki [3] for additive mappings and by Rassias [4] for linear mappings by considering an unbounded Cauchy difference. A generalization of the Rassias theorem was obtained by Găvruța [5] by replacing the unbounded Cauchy difference by a general control function in the spirit of Rassias' approach.

Rassias [6] during the 27th International Symposium on Functional Equations asked the question whether such a theorem can also be proved for $p \geq 1$. Gajda [7] following the same approach as in Rassias [4] gave an affirmative solution to this question for $p>1$. It was shown by Gajda [7] as well as by Rassias and Šemrl [8] that one cannot prove Rassias' theorem when $p=1$. The counterexamples of Gajda [7] as well as of Rassias and Šemrl [8] have stimulated several mathematicians to create new definitions of approximately additive or approximately linear mappings (cf. Găvruţa [5], Jung [9] who among others studied the Hyers-Ulam stability of
functional equations). The paper of Rassias [4] had great influence on the development of a generalization of the Hyers-Ulam stability concept. This new concept is known as Hyers-UlamRassias stability of functional equations (cf. the books of Czerwik [10], Hyers et al. [11]). During the last two decades, a number of papers and research monographs have been published on various generalizations and applications of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability to a number of functional equations and mappings (see [12-17]).

Gilányi [18] showed that if $f$ satisfies the functional inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|2 f(x)+2 f(y)-f(x-y)\| \leq\|f(x+y)\| \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f$ satisfies the quadratic functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 f(x)+2 f(y)=f(x+y)+f(x-y) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

see also [19]. Fechner [20] and Gilányi [21] proved the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the functional inequality (1.1). Park et al. [22] investigated the Jordan-von Neumann-type CauchyJensen additive mappings and prove their stability, and Cho and Kim [23] proved the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the Jordan-von Neumann-type Cauchy-Jensen additive mappings.

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the generalized additive functional inequality in Banach spaces and the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of generalized additive functional inequalities associated with linear mappings in Banach spaces.

Throughout this paper, we assume that $X, Y$ are Banach spaces and that $a, b, c, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are nonzero complex numbers.

## 2. Generalized additive functional inequalities

Consider a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying the following functional inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|a f(x)+b f(y)+c f(z)\| \leq\|f(\alpha x+\beta y+\gamma z)\| \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$.
We investigate the generalized additive functional inequality in Banach spaces.
We will use that for an additive mapping $f$, we have $f((m / n) x)=(m / n) f(x)$ for any positive integers $n, m$ and all $x \in X$ and so $f(r x)=r f(x)$ for any rational number $r$ and all $x \in X$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a nonzero mapping satisfying $f(0)=0$ and (2.1). Then the following hold:
(a) $f$ is additive;
(b) if $\alpha / \beta, \beta / \gamma$ are rational numbers, then $a / \alpha=b / \beta=c / \gamma$;
(c) if $\alpha$ is a rational number, then $|a| \leq|\alpha|$.

Proof. (a) Letting $y=-(\alpha / \beta) x, z=0$ in (2.1), we get $a f(x)+b f(-(\alpha / \beta) x)=0$.
Letting $y=0, z=-(\alpha / \gamma) x$ in (2.1), we get $a f(x)+c f(-(\alpha / \gamma) x)=0$.
Letting $x=0, y=(\alpha / \beta) x, z=-(\alpha / \gamma) x$ in (2.1), we get $b f((\alpha / \beta) x)+c f(-(\alpha / \gamma) x)=0$.

Thus, we get $f(-(\alpha / \beta) x)=-f((\alpha / \beta) x)$ and so $f(-x)=-f(x), b f(x)=a f((\beta / \alpha) x)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{b}{a} f\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} x\right)=\frac{c}{b} f\left(\frac{\beta}{\gamma} x\right)=\frac{a}{c} f\left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} x\right)=f(x) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
On the other hand, letting $z=-(\alpha x+\beta y) / \gamma=-(\alpha / \gamma)(x+(\beta / \alpha) y)$ in (2.1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
a f(x)+b f(y)+c f\left(-\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}\left(x+\frac{\beta}{\alpha} y\right)\right)=0 . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The facts that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c f\left(-\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}\left(x+\frac{\beta}{\alpha} y\right)\right)=c\left(-\frac{a}{c}\right) f\left(x+\frac{\beta}{\alpha} y\right)=-a f\left(x+\frac{\beta}{\alpha} y\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $b f(y)=a f((\beta / \alpha) y)$ give that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x+\frac{\beta}{\alpha} y\right)=f(x)+f\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} y\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so $f(x+y)=f(x)+f(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, which implies that $f$ is additive.
(b) Since $f$ is additive by (a) and since $\alpha / \beta$ and $\beta / \gamma$ are rational numbers, the facts that $(b / a) f((\alpha / \beta) x)=f(x)$ and $(c / b) f((\beta / \gamma) x)=f(x)$ give that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{b}{a} \cdot \frac{\alpha}{\beta} f(x)=\frac{c}{b} \cdot \frac{\beta}{\gamma} f(x)=f(x) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Since $f$ is nonzero, we conclude that $a / \alpha=b / \beta=c / \gamma$.
(c) Letting $y=z=0$ in (2.1), since $\alpha$ is a rational number, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|a f(x)\| \leq\|f(\alpha x)\|=\|\alpha f(x)\| \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Since $f$ is nonzero, we conclude that $|a| \leq|\alpha|$, as desired.
As an application of Theorem 2.1, if we consider a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)+f(y)+f(z)\| \leq\|f(x+2 y+3 z)\| \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, then we conclude that $f \equiv 0$.
Actually, for a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying $f(0)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|a f(x)+b f(y)+c f(z)\| \leq\|f(\alpha x+\beta y+\gamma z)\| \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, when $\alpha / \beta, \beta / \gamma$ are rational numbers, the above theorem says that $f \equiv 0$ unless $a / \alpha=b / \beta=c / \gamma$.

Here, we consider functional inequalities similar to (2.1).

Remark 2.2. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping with $f(0)=0$. If $f$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|a f(x)+b f(y)+c f(z)\| \leq\|f(\alpha x+\beta y)\| \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, then by letting $x=y=0$, we get $c f(z)=0$ for all $z \in X$ and so $f \equiv 0$. And if $f$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|a f(x)+b f(y)\| \leq\|f(\alpha x+\beta y+\gamma z)\| \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, then by letting $y=0, z=-\alpha x / \gamma$, we get $a f(x)=0$ for all $x \in X$ and so $f \equiv 0$.
In order to generalize the inequality (2.1), in the following corollaries, we assume that $a_{k}$ 's and $\alpha_{k}$ 's, $k=1,2, \ldots, n(n \geq 3)$ are nonzero complex numbers.

Corollary 2.3. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a nonzero mapping satisfying $f(0)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} f\left(x_{k}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|f\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k} x_{k}\right)\right\| \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{k} \in X$. Then the following hold:
(a) $f$ is additive;
(b) if $\alpha_{j} / \alpha_{i}$ is a rational number, then $a_{i} / \alpha_{i}=a_{j} / \alpha_{j}$;
(c) if $\alpha_{i}$ is a rational number, then $\left|a_{i}\right| \leq\left|\alpha_{i}\right|$.

Proof. (a) Let $x_{k}=0$ in (2.12) except for three $x_{k}$ 's. Then by the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, it is proved and so we omit the details.
(b) Letting $x_{i}=x, x_{j}=y$, by the same reasoning as in the corresponding part of the proof of Theorem 2.1, we can prove it.
(c) Letting $x_{k}=0$ for all $k$ with $k \neq i,(2.12)$ gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|a_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|f\left(\alpha_{i} x_{i}\right)\right\|=\left\|\alpha_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right)\right\| . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f$ is nonzero, we conclude that $\left|a_{i}\right| \leq\left|\alpha_{i}\right|$, as desired.
In the above corollary, similar to Remark 2.2, we notice that if a mapping $f$ satisfies $f(0)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{p} a_{k} f\left(x_{k}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|f\left(\sum_{k=1}^{q} \alpha_{k} x_{k}\right)\right\| \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $p, q \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ with $p \neq q$ and all $x_{k} \in X$, then $f \equiv 0$.
Corollary 2.4. For an invertible $3 \times 3$ matrix $\left(a_{i j}\right)$ of complex numbers, let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a nonzero mapping satisfying $f(0)=0$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|a f\left(a_{11} x+a_{12} y+a_{13} z\right)+b f\left(a_{21} x+a_{22} y+a_{23} z\right)+c f\left(a_{31} x+a_{32} y+a_{33} z\right)\right\| \\
& \quad \leq\left\|f\left(\left(\alpha a_{11}+\beta a_{21}+\gamma a_{31}\right) x+\left(\alpha a_{12}+\beta a_{22}+\gamma a_{32}\right) y+\left(\alpha a_{13}+\beta a_{23}+\gamma a_{33}\right) z\right)\right\| \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then the following hold:
(a) $f$ is additive;
(b) if $\alpha / \beta, \beta / \gamma$ are rational numbers, then $a / \alpha=b / \beta=c / \gamma$;
(c) if $\alpha$ is a rational number, then $|a|=|\alpha|$.

Proof. If we let $s=a_{11} x+a_{12} y+a_{13} z, t=a_{21} x+a_{22} y+a_{23} z, u=a_{31} x+a_{32} y+a_{33} z$, then since a matrix $\left(a_{i j}\right)$ is invertible and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\alpha a_{11}+\beta a_{21}+\gamma a_{31}\right) x+\left(\alpha a_{12}+\beta a_{22}+\gamma a_{32}\right) y+\left(\alpha a_{13}+\beta a_{23}+\gamma a_{33}\right) z=\alpha s+\beta t+\gamma u, \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

inequality (2.15) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|a f(s)+b f(t)+c f(u)\| \leq\|f(\alpha s+\beta t+\gamma u)\| \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $s, t, u \in X$. Thus by applying Theorem 2.1, our proofs are clear.
By the same reasoning as in Remark 2.2, we obtain the following result.
Remark 2.5. For an invertible $3 \times 3$ matrix ( $a_{i j}$ ) of complex numbers, let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping with $f(0)=0$. If $f$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|a f\left(a_{11} x+a_{12} y+a_{13} z\right)+b f\left(a_{21} x+a_{22} y+a_{23} z\right)+c f\left(a_{31} x+a_{32} y+a_{33} z\right)\right\|  \tag{2.18}\\
& \quad \leq\left\|f\left(\left(\alpha a_{11}+\beta a_{21}\right) x+\left(\alpha a_{12}+\beta a_{22}\right) y+\left(\alpha a_{13}+\beta a_{23}\right) z\right)\right\|
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|a f\left(a_{11} x+a_{12} y+a_{13} z\right)+b f\left(a_{21} x+a_{22} y+a_{23} z\right)\right\|  \tag{2.19}\\
& \quad \leq\left\|f\left(\left(\alpha a_{11}+\beta a_{21}+\gamma a_{31}\right) x+\left(\alpha a_{12}+\beta a_{22}+\gamma a_{32}\right) y+\left(\alpha a_{13}+\beta a_{23}+\gamma a_{33}\right) z\right)\right\|
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, then $f \equiv 0$.
Now we investigate linearity of a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$. The following is a well-known and useful lemma.

Lemma 2.6. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an additive mapping satisfying $\lim _{t \in \mathbb{R}, t \rightarrow 0} f(t x)=0$ for all $x \in X$. Then $f$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-linear mapping.

Theorem 2.7. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a nonzero mapping satisfying (2.1) and $\lim _{t \in \mathbb{R}, t \rightarrow 0} f(t x)=0$ for all $x \in X$. Then the following hold:
(a) $f$ is $\mathbb{R}$-linear;
(b) if $\alpha / \beta, \beta / \gamma$ are real numbers, then $a / \alpha=b / \beta=c / \gamma$.

Proof. (a) For a mapping $f$ satisfying $\lim _{t \in \mathbb{R}, t \rightarrow 0} f(t x)=0$ for all $x \in X$, if we let $x=0$, then we get $f(0)=0$. Since $f$ satisfies (2.1), from (a) in Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.6 we conclude that $f$ is $\mathbb{R}$-linear.
(b) Since $f$ is $\mathbb{R}$-linear by (a) and $\alpha / \beta, \beta / \gamma$ are real numbers, by the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2.1(b), we can prove it.

## 3. Stability of generalized additive functional inequalities

In this section, we study the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of generalized additive functional inequalities in Banach spaces.

First of all, we introduce $\alpha$-additivity of a mapping and investigate its properties.
Definition 3.1. For a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$, we say that $f$ is $\alpha$-additive if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+\alpha y)=f(x)+\alpha f(y) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$.
Proposition 3.2. If a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is $\alpha$-additive, then $f$ is additive and $1 / \alpha$-additive.
Proof. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an $\alpha$-additive mapping. Letting $x=y=0$ in (3.1), we get $f(0)=0$. Letting $x=0$ in (3.1), we get $f(\alpha y)=\alpha f(y)$ for all $y \in X$. Moreover, letting $x=0$ and replacing $y$ by $y / \alpha$ in (3.1), we get $f(y / \alpha)=(1 / \alpha) f(y)$ for all $y \in X$. Hence we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+y)=f\left(x+\alpha \cdot \frac{y}{\alpha}\right)=f(x)+\alpha f\left(\frac{y}{\alpha}\right)=f(x)+f(y) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and so $f$ is additive.
On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x+\frac{1}{\alpha} y\right)=f\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}(y+\alpha x)\right)=\frac{1}{\alpha} f(y+\alpha x)=f(x)+\frac{1}{\alpha} f(y) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and so $f$ is $1 / \alpha$-additive.
Remark 3.3. If a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is $\alpha$-additive and $\beta$-additive, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+\alpha \beta y)=f(x)+\alpha f(\beta y)=f(x)+\alpha \beta f(y) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$, which implies that $f$ is $\alpha \beta$-additive.
In the following lemma, we give conditions for a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ to be $\mathbb{C}$-linear.
Lemma 3.4. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an $\alpha$-additive mapping satisfying $\lim _{t \in \mathbb{R}, t \rightarrow 0} f(t x)=0$ for all $x \in X$. If $\alpha$ is not a real number, then $f$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear mapping.

Proof. Let $f$ be an $\alpha$-additive mapping satisfying $\lim _{t \in \mathbb{R}, t \rightarrow 0} f(t x)=0$ for all $x \in X$. Since $f$ is additive, by Lemma 2.6, $f$ is $\mathbb{R}$-linear. When $\alpha$ is not real, if we let $\alpha=a+b i$ for some real numbers $a, b(b \neq 0)$, then since $f$ is additive and $\mathbb{R}$-linear, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a+b i) f(x)=f((a+b i) x)=f(a x)+f(b i x)=a f(x)+b f(i x) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so $f(i x)=i f(x)$ for all $x \in X$, which implies that $f$ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear.
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Now we are ready to investigate the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of generalized additive functional inequality associated with a linear mapping. Here, we give a lemma for our main result.

Lemma 3.5. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping. If there exists a function $\psi: X \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|f(\alpha x)-\alpha f(x)\| \leq \psi(x),  \tag{3.6}\\
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi\left(\alpha^{j} x\right)}{|\alpha|^{j}}<\infty \tag{3.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $x \in X$, then there exists a unique mapping $L: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying $L(\alpha x)=\alpha L(x)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-L(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{|\alpha|} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi\left(\alpha^{j} x\right)}{|\alpha|^{j}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. If, in addition, $f$ is additive, then $L$ is $\alpha$-additive.
Note that this lemma is a special case of the results of [24].
Proof. Replacing $x$ by $\alpha^{j} x$ in (3.6), we get $\left\|f\left(\alpha^{j+1} x\right)-\alpha f\left(\alpha^{j} x\right)\right\| \leq \psi\left(\alpha^{j} x\right)$. Dividing by $|\alpha|^{j+1}$ in the above inequality, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{f\left(\alpha^{j+1} x\right)}{\alpha^{j+1}}-\frac{f\left(\alpha^{j} x\right)}{\alpha^{j}}\right\| \leq \frac{\psi\left(\alpha^{j} x\right)}{|\alpha|^{j+1}} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. From the above inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{f\left(\alpha^{n+1} x\right)}{\alpha^{n+1}}-\frac{f\left(\alpha^{q} x\right)}{\alpha^{q}}\right\| \leq \sum_{j=q}^{n}\left\|\frac{f\left(\alpha^{j+1} x\right)}{\alpha^{j+1}}-\frac{f\left(\alpha^{j} x\right)}{\alpha^{j}}\right\| \leq \sum_{j=q}^{n} \frac{1}{|\alpha|} \frac{\psi\left(\alpha^{j} x\right)}{|\alpha|^{j}} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all nonnegative integers $q, n$ with $q<n$. Thus by (3.7), the sequence $\left\{f\left(\alpha^{n} x\right) / \alpha^{n}\right\}$ is Cauchy for all $x \in X$. Since $Y$ is complete, the sequence $\left\{f\left(\alpha^{n} x\right) / \alpha^{n}\right\}$ converges for all $x \in X$. So we can define a mapping $L: X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(x):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(\alpha^{n} x\right)}{\alpha^{n}} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
In order to prove that $L$ satisfies (3.8), if we put $q=0$ and let $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, then we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-L(x)\| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\alpha|} \frac{\psi\left(\alpha^{j} x\right)}{|\alpha|^{j}} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(\alpha x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(\alpha^{n} \alpha x\right)}{\alpha^{n}}=\alpha \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(\alpha^{n+1} x\right)}{\alpha^{n+1}}=\alpha L(x) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$, as desired.
Now to prove the uniqueness of $L$, let $L^{\prime}: X \rightarrow Y$ be another mapping satisfying $L^{\prime}(\alpha x)=$ $\alpha L^{\prime}(x)$ and (3.8). Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|L(x)-L^{\prime}(x)\right\| & =\frac{1}{|\alpha|^{n}}\left\|L\left(\alpha^{n} x\right)-L^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{n} x\right)\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{|\alpha|^{n}}\left(\left\|L\left(\alpha^{n} x\right)-f\left(\alpha^{n} x\right)\right\|+\left\|L^{\prime}\left(\alpha^{n} x\right)-f\left(\alpha^{n} x\right)\right\|\right) \\
& \leq \frac{2}{|\alpha|^{n}} \cdot \frac{1}{|\alpha|} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi\left(\alpha^{j} \alpha^{n} x\right)}{|\alpha|^{j}}  \tag{3.14}\\
& =\frac{2}{|\alpha|} \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{\psi\left(\alpha^{j} x\right)}{\mid \alpha j^{j}}
\end{align*}
$$

which goes to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in X$ by (3.7). Consequently, $L$ is a unique desired mapping.
In addition, when $f$ is additive, $L$ is also additive and so the fact of $L(\alpha x)=\alpha L(x)$ for all $x \in X$ gives that $L$ is $\alpha$-additive.

According to Theorem 2.1, the inequality (2.1) can be reduced as the following additive functional inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\alpha f(x)+\beta f(y)+\gamma f(z)\| \leq\|f(\alpha x+\beta y+\gamma z)\| \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$.
In the following theorem, we prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the above additive functional inequality.

Theorem 3.6. Let $\xi=-\alpha / \beta$ and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $\lim _{t \in \mathbb{R}, t \rightarrow 0} f(t x)=0$ for all $x \in X$. If there exists a function $\varphi: X^{3} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|\alpha f(x)+\beta f(y)+\gamma f(z)\| \leq\|f(\alpha x+\beta y+\gamma z)\|+\varphi(x, y, z),  \tag{3.16}\\
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi\left(\xi^{j} x, \xi^{j} y, \xi^{j} z\right)}{\mid \xi^{j}}<\infty,  \tag{3.17}\\
\lim _{t \in \mathbb{R}, t \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi\left(\xi^{j} t x, \xi^{j+1} t x, 0\right)}{\mid \xi^{j}}=0 \tag{3.18}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, then there exists a unique $\mathbb{R}$-linear and $\xi$-additive mapping $L: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-L(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{|\alpha|} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi\left(\xi^{j} x, \xi^{j+1} x, 0\right)}{|\xi|^{j}} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. If, in addition, $\xi$ is not a real number, then $L$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear mapping.

Jung Rye Lee et al.
Proof. Replacing $y=-(\alpha / \beta) x, z=0$ in (3.16), since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\alpha f(x)+\beta f\left(-\frac{\alpha}{\beta} x\right)\right\| \leq \varphi\left(x,-\frac{\alpha}{\beta} x, 0\right) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(\xi x)-\xi f(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{|\beta|} \varphi(x, \xi x, 0) \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. If we replace $\psi(x)$ in Lemma 3.5 by $(1 /|\beta|) \varphi(x, \xi x, 0)$, then by (3.17) and Lemma 3.5, there exists a unique mapping $L: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying $L(\xi x)=\xi L(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and (3.19). In fact, $L(x):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(f\left(\xi^{n} x\right) / \xi^{n}\right)$ for all $x \in X$. Moreover, by $\lim _{t \in \mathbb{R}, t \rightarrow 0} f(t x)=0$ for all $x \in X$ and (3.18), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \in \mathbb{R}, t \rightarrow 0}\|L(t x)-f(t x)\| \leq \lim _{t \in \mathbb{R}, t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|\alpha|} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi\left(\xi^{j} t x, \xi^{j+1} t x, 0\right)}{|\xi|^{j}}=0 \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so $\lim _{t \in \mathbb{R}, t \rightarrow 0} L(t x)=0$ for all $x \in X$. Since (3.16) and (3.17) give

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\alpha L(x)+\beta L(y)+\gamma L(z)\| & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\frac{\alpha f\left(\xi^{n} x\right)+\beta f\left(\xi^{n} y\right)+\gamma f\left(\xi^{n} z\right)}{\xi^{n}}\right\| \\
& \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\frac{f\left(\xi^{n}(\alpha x+\beta y+\gamma z)\right)}{\xi^{n}}\right\|+\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi\left(\xi^{n} x, \xi^{n} y, \xi^{n} z\right)}{|\xi|^{n}}  \tag{3.23}\\
& =\|L(\alpha x+\beta y+\gamma z)\|+0 \\
& =\|L(\alpha x+\beta y+\gamma z)\|,
\end{align*}
$$

we conclude that by Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.6, a mapping $L$ is $\mathbb{R}$-linear and $\xi$-additive. When $\xi$ is not a real number, by Lemma 3.4, a mapping $L$ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear.

In the above theorem, we remark that when $\xi$ is $-\gamma / \beta$ or $-\alpha / \gamma$, we obtain the same result as in Theorem 3.6.

As an application of Theorem 3.6, we obtain the following stability.
Corollary 3.7. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $\lim _{t \in \mathbb{R}, t \rightarrow 0} f(t x)=0$ for all $x \in X$ and $\xi=-\alpha / \beta$. When $|\alpha|>|\beta|$ and $0<p<1$, or $|\alpha|<|\beta|$ and $p>1$, if there exists a $\theta \geq 0$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\alpha f(x)+\beta f(y)+\gamma f(z)\| \leq\|f(\alpha x+\beta y+\gamma z)\|+\theta\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}+\|z\|^{p}\right) \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, then there exists a unique $\mathbb{R}$-linear and $\xi$-additive mapping $L: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-L(x)\| \leq \frac{\theta\left(|\alpha|^{p}+|\beta|^{p}\right)}{|\alpha \| \beta|\left(|\beta|^{p-1}-|\alpha|^{p-1}\right)}\|x\|^{p} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. If we define $\varphi(x, y, z):=\theta\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}+\|z\|^{p}\right)$, then $\varphi$ satisfies the conditions of (3.17) and (3.18). Thanks to Theorem 3.6, it is proved.

Before closing this section, we establish another stability of generalized additive functional inequalities.

Lemma 3.8. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping. If there exists a function $\psi: X \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfying (3.6) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}|\alpha|^{j} \psi\left(\frac{x}{\alpha^{j}}\right)<\infty \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$, then there exists a unique mapping $L: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying $L(\alpha x)=\alpha L(x)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-L(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{|\alpha|} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}|\alpha|^{j} \psi\left(\frac{x}{\alpha^{j}}\right) \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. If, in addition, $f$ is additive, then $L$ is $\alpha$-additive.
Note that this lemma is a special case of the results of [24].
Proof. Replacing $x$ by $x / \alpha^{j}$ in (3.6), we get $\left\|f\left(x / \alpha^{j-1}\right)-\alpha f\left(x / \alpha^{j}\right)\right\| \leq \psi\left(x / \alpha^{j}\right)$. Multiplying by $|\alpha|^{j-1}$ in the above inequality, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\alpha^{j-1} f\left(\frac{x}{\alpha^{j-1}}\right)-\alpha^{j} f\left(\frac{x}{\alpha^{j}}\right)\right\| \leq|\alpha|^{j-1} \psi\left(\frac{x}{\alpha^{j}}\right) \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. From the above inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\alpha^{n} f\left(\frac{x}{\alpha^{n}}\right)-\alpha^{q-1} f\left(\frac{x}{\alpha^{q-1}}\right)\right\| \leq \sum_{j=q}^{n}\left\|\alpha^{j} f\left(\frac{x}{\alpha^{j}}\right)-\alpha^{j-1} f\left(\frac{x}{\alpha^{j-1}}\right)\right\| \leq \sum_{j=q}^{n} \frac{1}{|\alpha|}|\alpha|^{j} \psi\left(\frac{x}{\alpha^{j}}\right) \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and all nonnegative integers $q, n$ with $q<n$. Thus by (3.26) the sequence $\left\{\alpha^{n} f\left(x / \alpha^{n}\right)\right\}$ is Cauchy for all $x \in X$. Since $Y$ is complete, the sequence $\left\{\alpha^{n} f\left(x / \alpha^{n}\right)\right\}$ converges for all $x \in X$. So we can define a mapping $L: X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(x):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha^{n} f\left(\frac{x}{\alpha^{n}}\right) \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. In order to prove that $L$ satisfies (3.27), if we put $q=1$ and let $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, then we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-L(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{|\alpha|} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}|\alpha|^{j} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{\alpha^{j}}\right)=\frac{1}{|\alpha|} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}|\alpha|^{j} \psi\left(\frac{x}{\alpha^{j}}\right) \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
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On the other hand,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(\alpha x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha^{n} f\left(\frac{\alpha x}{\alpha^{n}}\right)=\alpha \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha^{n-1} f\left(\frac{x}{\alpha^{n-1}}\right)=\alpha L(x) \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$, as desired.
Now to prove the uniqueness of $L$, let $L^{\prime}: X \rightarrow Y$ be another mapping satisfying $L^{\prime}(\alpha x)=$ $\alpha L^{\prime}(x)$ and (3.27). Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|L(x)-L^{\prime}(x)\right\| & =|\alpha|^{n}\left\|L\left(\frac{x}{\alpha^{n}}\right)-L^{\prime}\left(\frac{x}{\alpha^{n}}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq|\alpha|^{n}\left(\left\|L\left(\frac{x}{\alpha^{n}}\right)-f\left(\frac{x}{\alpha^{n}}\right)\right\|+\left\|L^{\prime}\left(\frac{x}{\alpha^{n}}\right)-f\left(\frac{x}{\alpha^{n}}\right)\right\|\right) \\
& \leq 2|\alpha|^{n} \cdot \frac{1}{|\alpha|} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}|\alpha|^{j} \psi\left(\frac{x}{\alpha^{j} \alpha^{n}}\right)  \tag{3.33}\\
& =\frac{2}{|\alpha|} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}|\alpha|^{n+j} \psi\left(\frac{x}{\alpha^{n+j}}\right) \\
& =\frac{2}{|\alpha|} \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty}|\alpha|^{j} \psi\left(\frac{x}{\alpha^{j}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

which goes to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in X$ by (3.26). Consequently, $L$ is a unique desired mapping.

Theorem 3.9. Let $\xi=-\alpha / \beta$ and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $\lim _{t \in \mathbb{R}, t \rightarrow 0} f(t x)=0$ for all $x \in X$. If there exists a function $\varphi: X^{3} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfying (3.16) and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}|\xi|^{j} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{\xi^{j}}, \frac{y}{\xi^{j}}, \frac{z}{\xi^{j}}\right)<\infty,  \tag{3.34}\\
\lim _{t \in \mathbb{R}, t \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}|\xi|^{j} \varphi\left(\frac{t x}{\xi^{j}}, \frac{t x}{\xi^{j-1}}, 0\right)=0 \tag{3.35}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, then there exists a unique $\mathbb{R}$-linear and $\xi$-additive mapping $L: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-L(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{|\alpha|} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}|\xi|^{j} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{\xi^{j}}, \frac{x}{\xi^{j-1}}, 0\right) \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. If, in addition, $\xi$ is not a real number, then $L$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear mapping.
Proof. Replacing $y=-(\alpha / \beta) x, z=0$ in (3.16), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(\xi x)-\xi f(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{|\beta|} \varphi(x, \xi x, 0) \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. Thus by (3.34) and Lemma 3.8, there exists a unique mapping $L: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (3.36) and $L(\xi x)=\xi L(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Since $L(x):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi^{n} f\left(x / \xi^{n}\right)$ for all $x \in X$, by $\lim _{t \in \mathbb{R}, t \rightarrow 0} f(t x)=0$ and (3.35), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \in \mathbb{R}, t \rightarrow 0}\|L(t x)-f(t x)\| \leq \lim _{t \in \mathbb{R}, t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{|\alpha|} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}|\xi|^{j} \varphi\left(\frac{t x}{\xi^{j}}, \frac{t x}{\xi^{j-1}}, 0\right)=0 \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so $\lim _{t \in \mathbb{R}, t \rightarrow 0} L(t x)=0$ for all $x \in X$. It follows from (3.16) and (3.34) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\alpha L(x)+\beta L(y)+\gamma L(z)\| & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\xi^{n}\left(\alpha f\left(\frac{x}{\xi^{n}}\right)+\beta f\left(\frac{y}{\xi^{n}}\right)+\gamma f\left(\frac{z}{\xi^{n}}\right)\right)\right\| \\
& \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\xi^{n} f\left(\frac{\alpha x}{\xi^{n}}+\frac{\beta y}{\xi^{n}}+\frac{\gamma z}{\xi^{n}}\right)\right\|+\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}|\xi|^{n} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{\xi^{n}}, \frac{y}{\xi^{n}}, \frac{z}{\xi^{n}}\right)  \tag{3.39}\\
& =\|L(\alpha x+\beta y+\gamma z)\|+0 \\
& =\|L(\alpha x+\beta y+\gamma z)\|
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. The rest of the proof is the same as in the corresponding part of the proof of Theorem 3.6.

Corollary 3.10. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $\lim _{t \in \mathbb{R}, t \rightarrow 0} f(t x)=0$ for all $x \in X$. When $|\alpha|>|\beta|$ and $p>1$, or $|\alpha|<|\beta|$ and $0<p<1$, if there exists a $\theta \geq 0$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\alpha f(x)+\beta f(y)+\gamma f(z)\| \leq\|f(\alpha x+\beta y+\gamma z)\|+\theta\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}+\|z\|^{p}\right) \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, then there exists a unique $\mathbb{R}$-linear and $\xi$-additive mapping $L: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-L(x)\| \leq \frac{\theta\left(|\alpha|^{p}+|\beta|^{p}\right)}{|\alpha \| \beta|\left(|\alpha|^{p-1}-|\beta|^{p-1}\right)}\|x\|^{p} \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$.
Proof. If we define $\varphi(x, y, z):=\theta\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}+\|z\|^{p}\right)$, then $\varphi$ satisfies the conditions of (3.34) and (3.35). Thanks to Theorem 3.9, it is proved.
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